

Table of Contents

1. Definition and Ambiguity.....	2
1.1. The 3 pillars of Holocaust Denial.....	2
2. The number of Jews killed.....	4
2.1. The total number of Jews in Europe at the start of WW2.....	4
2.2. Other, non-murder related factors affecting the Jews.....	4
2.3. The numbers.....	5
2.4. Why the insistence on Six Million.....	6
2.4.1. An Israeli filmmaker questions the Six Million.....	6
2.4.2. “The Benjamins”.....	7
3. The gas chambers.....	8
3.1. Material factual evidence.....	8
3.1.1. The Leuchter Report.....	8
Zyklon-B.....	10
3.2. Documentary evidence.....	10
3.2.1. Aerial photo evidence.....	10
3.2.1.1. Auschwitz.....	10
3.2.2. Britain cracked Nazis’ secret communications.....	10
3.3. Jewish organizations.....	11
3.4. World political leaders.....	11
3.5. Eye witnesses.....	11
3.5.1. Killing uncooperative witnesses.....	12
3.6. An expert: Prof. Dr. Raul Hilberg.....	12
4. Intentionality - the “Final Solution”.....	13
4.1. Expulsion via emigration: the real Final Solution.....	14
4.1.1. Terminated by Britain.....	15
4.2. Hitler’s personal order for extermination?.....	15
4.3. Reality: order to reduce death rate!.....	16
4.4. The ICRC’s Report.....	16
4.5. The logistics rationale.....	17
5. Nuremberg Trials.....	17
5.1. Partiality.....	18
5.2. Professional witnesses.....	19
6. Concluding thoughts.....	19
References.....	22

Note: quotes are presented with **white text on a dark blue background**.

1. Definition and Ambiguity

Holocaust: mass slaughter of European civilians, especially of Jews, by the Nazis during WW2.

The verb “to deny”: to refuse to admit or acknowledge something declared or believed to be true.

The FBI defines mass murder as murdering 4 or more people during an event with no “cooling-off period” between the murders.

Holocaust Denial specifically refers to the mass slaughter of Jewish civilians by the Nazis during WW2. The non-Jewish group of civilians, such as e.g. the Roma, Serbs, mentally handicapped, etc., are never referred to in Holocaust or Holocaust Denial documents or discussions, nor do those groups demand the utmost attention as well as protection by law of the acknowledgement of their past plight. Questioning any aspect of the slaughter of non-Jews is accepted and not responded to by any form of attack. Ask any arbitrary person about the Holocaust or Holocaust Denial and invariably the reply refers to the Jews.

Holocaust Denial (in the Jewish context) is the act of denying the premeditated mass murder of Jews by the Nazis during WW2.

1.1. The 3 pillars of Holocaust Denial

By general consensus, Holocaust Denial (with reference to the Jews, of course) is predicated on 3 central elements¹:

1. roughly 6 million Jewish deaths,
2. homicidal gas chambers, and
3. systematic intentionality (i.e. premeditation) on the part of the Nazis.

In theory, if someone were to refute any 1 of these 3 points, he/she would be a “Holocaust Denier”.

Re 1 - the 6 million. But what does it mean to deny 6 million Jewish deaths? Does a claim of 5 million constitute “denial”? Hardly, since that figure has been long supported by the late prominent Jewish Holocaust historian Raul Hilberg. What about 4 million? Doubtful; Jewish historian Gerald Reitlinger

argued for 4.2 million Jewish deaths, and no one has called him a denier. 3 million? 1 million? What is the limit?

Re 2 - the gas chambers. Even if gas chambers would have been used to kill people successfully, it is very dangerous to extract the dead bodies without poisoning oneself – they are infused with cyanide gas, after all, and the pellets themselves would continue to slowly release the gas for hours afterwards. One would somehow have to carefully aerate the whole room, over a period of several hours, and then cautiously remove the bodies and the pellets, then re-aerate for a lengthy period of time to prepare it for the next batch of victims.

Re 3 - Nazis' systematic intentionality. If one claims that many thousands of Jews died – not in gas chambers, but in other incidental and ancillary ways – is this 'denial'? Every revisionist agrees that the Nazis wanted the Jews out of Germany and eventually out of Europe, and that this was a deliberate and intentional, and even central policy of National Socialism. Many Jews undoubtedly died in the process of being ethnically cleansed by the Reich. And it is true that Hitler and the others were, on the whole, not bothered by this fact. But is this to deny the intentionality of the Holocaust?

We can see, then, how difficult and how meaningless it is to declare someone a "Holocaust Denier."

In any case, by definition, questioning is not denying. Historic events are always re-examined, questioned, discussed, with 1 exception: the Jewish part of the Holocaust. Worse: in a number of countries, in too many, Holocaust Denial, as per the 3 elements of the generally accepted definition outlined above, has been made into a violation of the law, punishable by a stiff fine or even imprisonment, as historian David Irving had to endure in Austria².

Questioning is exercising free speech, which is a basic human right. Free speech is allowed when the non-Jewish side of the Holocaust is discussed, any questions and remarks are accepted by Jews as well as non-Jews, yet, the overwhelming majority of Jews, as well as large numbers of non-Jews, refuse the right to free speech when the Jewish Holocaust is concerned. Why is that?



As with any censorship - yes, that is what denial of free speech is - refusing honest and open discussion about the Jewish part of the Holocaust can only mean that there are

aspects to hide, aspects that cannot bear the light shone onto them. So, let's look at each of the 3 pillars in more detail. An Iranian cartoon depicting Holocaust Denial.

2. The number of Jews killed

2.1. The total number of Jews in Europe at the start of WW2

There are essentially 2 numbers that are quoted: 6½ million and 9½ million. It turns out that both are correct! The difference is that the former excludes the Jews living in the western, i.e. European part, of the Soviet Union and some of its annexed parts.

Since the western Soviet Union and the annexed countries were invaded by Nazi Germany, and the Jews living there were affected, one cannot ignore the statistics of that part of the world.

Consequently, the correct starting number to use is 9½ million.

There are those who quote even higher numbers, but the general consensus is 9½ million, as per the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum³, the Jewish Virtual Library⁴, and Pew Research⁵.

2.2. Other, non-murder related factors affecting the Jews

Not many people, if any, question the fact that Jews were murdered by the Nazis during WW2. What most people do not realize, do not consciously consider, or ignore for convenience, is that Jews died from other causes too.

Those other factors are:

- Death from natural causes such as old age, disease, etc.
- Jews already living in European countries not under Nazi occupation, such as the UK, Sweden,
- Emigration to countries not under Nazi occupation, such as the UK, Sweden, Palestine, even China;
- Evacuation to areas of the USSR not under Nazi occupation.

Lastly, there are the number of Jews who were still alive at the end of the war in 1945. So, what does all this add up to?

2.3. The numbers

Event	Number of Jews
Pre-WW2 in Europe, including the European part of the USSR	9,500,000
Subtract ⁶ : living in European countries not under Nazi occupation: the UK, Sweden, Finland, Denmark, Spain, Portugal, Switzerland, Ireland, Turkey	-400,000
Subtract: emigration to countries not under Nazi occupation: the UK ⁷ , Sweden ⁸ (and Wallenberg's actions ⁹), Switzerland ¹⁰ , Australia ¹¹ , China ¹² , India ¹³ , Palestine ¹⁴ , the U.S. ¹⁵ , Latin America ¹⁶	-450,000
Subtract: evacuation from USSR-annexed territory in E. Europe to USSR's interior (Urals, Sverdlovsk) ¹⁷	-250,000
Subtract: evacuated from western USSR farther east ¹⁸	-1,500,000
Alive under Nazi occupation in 1939	6,910,000
Subtract: mortality from natural causes @ 1%/year	-350,000
Total Jewish population targeted by the Nazis	6,560,000
Subtract: total still living in Europe in 1945, incl. Eur. USSR ¹⁹	-3,800,000
TOTAL EXTERMINATED	2,750,000

Note: numbers rounded to nearest 10,000.

As we can see, taking a starting number of 9½ million and an end number of 3.8 million would mean 5.7 million Jews were murdered, but it does not show the full picture. Numbers were reduced by other causes too, as shown in the table.

Note: whatever happened in the Holocaust happened in the East, the Holocaust did not occur in Germany proper.²⁰

Thus, it appears that **2¼ million Jews, not 6 million, were murdered in the Holocaust.** Prominent Jewish historian, Gerald Reitlinger, estimated 4.2 million Jews died in the Holocaust, as mentioned above. Subtracting an estimated ⅓ of deaths from natural causes²¹, his number nets out at roughly 2¼ million.

A downward revision of the Six Million is, in effect, confirmed by 2 simple, official statistics.

The 6 million includes 4 million victims murdered in the Nazis' biggest concentration camp, Auschwitz, as recorded on a memorial plaque at the camp that was turned into a museum after the war. 90%, or 3.6 million, of those victims were Jewish.

4 million was the sensational figure announced by the Soviet Government after the Communists had "investigated" the camp, at the same time as they were attempting to blame the Katyn massacre on the Germans.

In 1990, the Museum changed the memorial plaque into one recording the total number of victims to 1½ million. In fact, the lower end of the Museum's estimate is 1.1 million, of which the total number of Jewish victims amounted to 960,000²², say 1 million.



That reduction of 2.6 million Jewish victims, from 3.6 million to 1 million, means that the total number of Jews murdered by the Nazis would be 3.4 million (6 million minus 2.6 million). Yet, most of the mass media completely ignored this fact, and the official Jewish number of Six Million was left unchanged. Explanations for this are given below.

That does not diminish in any way the individual Jewish suffering during the Holocaust. As this is such an important event, it is vital that it is dealt with in an open, honest manner, free of censorship.

2.4. Why the insistence on Six Million

2.4.1. An Israeli filmmaker questions the Six Million

In January 2022 Israeli filmmaker David Fisher, son of Holocaust survivors, released a new documentary, "The Round Number", in which he tries to get answers from esteemed Holocaust scholars on how it was determined that 6 million Jews died in the Holocaust and how this number came to be so enshrined in our minds²³.

One of them, Ben-Gurion University historian Hanna Yablonka, made a remarkable statement:

“No historian today will tell you that 6 million Jews were killed in the Holocaust.”

Others told him the importance of this number long ago exceeded the issue of historical accuracy. It long ago became one of the symbols most closely identified with the Holocaust, and **it is very important that a symbol like that be preserved; it must be defended.**

In other words, **for the sake of preserving the memory of the Holocaust, whether justified or not, scholars are not prepared, at least right now, to touch the 6 million number**, because there is pressure from the establishment and Holocaust survivors to preserve the symbols that have been set.

Clearly, that frame of mind only applies to the Jewish Holocaust, the only genocide that counts, in the eyes of the Jews and many non-Jews.

2.4.2. “The Benjamins”

As explained to Israeli filmmaker David Fisher, the figure has become symbolic to indicate something terrible happened to the Jewish people during WW2. Neither the Jews nor the Israelis specifically want this tragedy to be diminished in any way, especially to future generations.

But there is more at stake. Today Germany is still paying reparations to Israel on the basis of the murder of 6 million Jews. Those reparations are an important source of income for the Jewish state, even though that state did not exist at the time of the brutal murders. And since Israel does not represent world Jewry (it only represents the Israeli Jews), those reparations are not even due to Israel.

Reparations paid to Israel never even reached Holocaust survivors now living in Israel: the state sees them as a financial burden only.²⁴

If the number of WW2 Jewish victims were to be revised down, then for ¾ of a century Germany has paid way too much to Israel. Restitution could then be tabled, although Israel would in all likely be able to “persuade” Germany to keep paying.

But a downward revision could even raise the question as to why an Israel that did not exist during WW2 and was therefore no party to it, should even be paid at all, instead of direct payments to the victims living in Israel and mostly

around the world.

Revising the 6 million downwards could thus end a very profitable milking business. Furthermore, exaggerating the number has also allowed Israel, as the bogus representative of the WW2 Jewish victims, to exact political and commercial benefits, notably from the U.S. and EU, who also see it as some sort of atonement.

After all, like former Israeli Minister of Foreign Affairs, the late Abba Eban, said:

“There is no business like Shoah business.”

In fact, that business is a true industry, as described by Jewish Professor Norman Finkelstein, political scientist, activist, and author.²⁵

3. The gas chambers

It is frequently claimed that mass executions in the “death camps” were carried out in gas chambers, often cunningly disguised as shower facilities.

There are 4 categories of evidence that need to be explored:

- Physical evidence, i.e. material evidence,
- Documentary evidence,
- Eye witnesses, and
- Experts, such as historians and others.

Let us look at each category in turn and see what they tell us.

3.1. Material factual evidence

3.1.1. The Leuchter Report

This is arguably the most important, most comprehensive study by a gas chamber expert of the existence of gas chambers in Auschwitz, Birkenau and Majdanek.

Fred Leuchter was an authority and acknowledged expert on the design and operation of gas chambers, as well as on all existing systems for the delivery of lethal gas.

Leuchter agreed to only take Ernst Zündel's^A assignment to investigate the gas chambers in situ with the understanding that he would testify come what may at Zündel's trial, whether his report was for or against the revisionist thesis. As a believer in the gassings, Leuchter fully expected that his testimony would go against Zündel.

Zündel took the gamble and commissioned Leuchter to go to Poland to investigate.


Leuchter visited Auschwitz, Birkenau, and Majdanek. He inspected the areas termed homicidal gas chambers by the Auschwitz museum officials, took samples, made blueprints and schematic drawings and exhaustively photographed the sites.

Leuchter's report²⁶ and verbal testimony²⁷ are available.

From the "Synopsis and Findings" chapter:

"After a study of the available literature, examination and evaluation of the existing facilities at Auschwitz, Birkenau and Majdanek, with expert knowledge of the design criteria for gas chamber operation, an investigation of crematory technology and an inspection of modern crematories, the author finds no evidence that any of the facilities normally alleged to be execution gas chambers were ever used as such, and finds, further, that because of the design and fabrication of these facilities, they could not have been utilized for execution gas chambers.

Additionally, an evaluation of the crematory facilities produces conclusive evidence that contradicts the alleged volume of corpses cremated in the generally alleged time frame."



Professor Dr. Robert Faurisson has always upheld and defended the non-existence of gas chambers during WW2.

people as an evil race because of the Holocaust, which he demonstrated to be based on lies. He was viciously attacked and put on trial twice, kangaroo court style to prove his predetermined "guilt". His travails are described in Michael A. Hoffman's book "The Great Holocaust Trial".

Zyklon-B

All this is not to say that Zyklon-B was not used in the concentration camps. Zyklon-B was most certainly used, but only for disinfecting buildings and prisoners' clothes.

The distinctive blue staining of walls after the use of Zyklon-B are found in camps where the chemical was used, such as e.g. Majdanek, but only in delousing chambers. Those stains are often used as irrefutable evidence the gas chambers existed.

The practical implementation for homicidal purposes was not possible. This was confirmed in a report²⁸ in 1992 written by Walter Lüftl, an expert engineer who headed a large engineering firm in Vienna. Lüftl concluded that **because of operational and time considerations, quasi-industrial scale killing [of humans] using Zyklon-B would be impossible.**

3.2. Documentary evidence

3.2.1. Aerial photo evidence

3.2.1.1. Auschwitz

In 1979 the U.S. government released wartime aerial photographs of the Auschwitz and Birkenau camps taken on several random days in 1944 during the height of the alleged extermination period. These photographs are so remarkable in their clarity that vehicles and even people can be distinguished in them. Many of these photographs were taken at mid-morning on typical workdays. **None of these photos show huge pits or piles of bodies, smoking crematory chimneys, masses of Jews awaiting death outside of the alleged gas chambers, or mountains of coke used to fuel the crematoria.** All of these would have been visible if Auschwitz and Birkenau had been the extermination centers they are said to have been.²⁹

3.2.2. Britain cracked Nazis' secret communications

The British broke the ultra-secret Enigma code used by the Nazis to transmit secret communications.³⁰

During 1942 and 1943 British intelligence intercepted daily coded messages from Auschwitz, Buchenwald, Dachau, and 7 other camps.

The transmissions from Auschwitz mentioned **illness as the primary cause of death**, but also reported deaths attributable to shootings and hangings. There was no reference to homicidal gassings as a cause of death in any of the decoded messages. This was confirmed by death registry volumes that fell into Soviet hands in January 1945 when the Red Army captured Auschwitz.

Since the Germans made their reports in top-secret transmissions using a supposedly indecipherable code, why would they report deaths from shootings and hangings but not from homicidal gassings? The Germans would have no reason to hide deaths by homicidal gassings in their secret messages if such deaths had actually taken place.

As a consequence, the Allies made no effort in this regard because their intelligence sources uncovered no evidence of an extermination program against European Jewry.

3.3. Jewish organizations

Jewish organizations in the U.S. and other Allied nations also never undertook a sustained, unified effort to rescue European Jewry during the war.³¹

3.4. World political leaders

Three of the best known works on WW2 are General Eisenhower's "Crusade in Europe", Winston Churchill's "The Second World War", and the "Mémoires de guerre" of General de Gaulle. In these 3 works one will find no mention either of Nazi gas chambers, a genocide of the Jews, or of 6 million Jewish victims of the war.³²

3.5. Eye witnesses

Eye witnesses are notoriously unreliable, often claiming to have seen what they believed, or to have been told by other eye witnesses, or to have been tortured into "having seen". There are also eye witnesses who lie, like Nobel Peace Prize laureate Elie Wiesel, the most visible representative of the Holocaust.³³

See also the section below, entitled “Professional witnesses”, chapter “Nuremberg Trials”..

3.5.1. Killing uncooperative witnesses

Richard Bär, the last commandant of Auschwitz, adamantly refused to confirm the existence of homicidal gas chambers at Auschwitz. Bär died in June 1963 under mysterious circumstances while being held in pre-trial custody. With his death the prosecutors at the Auschwitz Trial were able to attain their primary objective: to reinforce the gas chamber myth and establish it as an unassailable historical fact.

3.6. An expert: Prof. Dr. Raul Hilberg

During the first trial of German “Holocaust Denier” Ernst Zündel in 1985 in Canada, one of the world’s most pre-eminent authorities on the Holocaust, the late Jewish Prof. Dr. Raul Hilberg, was called to testify.

Hilberg stated that he knew of **no scientific report that shows the existence of gas chambers anywhere in Nazi-occupied territory.**

Holocaust author Jürgen Graf, writing about Hilberg’s book “Destruction of the European Jews”, states³⁴:

“Hilberg set out to prove, not only the persecution of the Jews, that is, the methodical deprivation of legal rights and deportations to camps and ghettos, but their actual extermination as well. He alleges this occurred primarily through mass killings in “homicidal gas chambers” which were constructed in “extermination camps”. However, in all the mountains of German documents from World War II he [Hilberg] was unable to find any evidence whatsoever of such an extermination, and he found just as little material evidence.”

“He ignores the principle, acknowledged in historiography and jurisprudence, that eyewitness testimony is the least credible kind, much inferior to both material and documentary evidence. He turns the hierarchy of evidence upside down, inevitably giving eyewitness evidence precedence over documentary evidence. As for material evidence, he does not deign to consider it.”

“While Hilberg easily establishes the persecution of Jews on the basis of an infinite number of wartime documents, he resorts exclusively to eyewitness testimony to back up his allegations of murders in gas chambers. In other words: **without the testimony of a few eyewitnesses, we would have no**

“Holocaust”.”

That pronouncement is similar to Professor Dr. Robert Faurisson’s pithy statement:³⁵

“No holes, no Holocaust”

when he spoke about the fact that holes claimed to be in the roof of Krematorium 2 at Auschwitz through which an SS man would drop Zyklon-B pellets to kill the Jewish detainees locked inside. Those holes, however, do not exist.

Of course, the absence of those holes, and even the absence of gas chambers, does not prove there was no Holocaust of the Jews. It does, however, make the Six Million claim, as well as the worse suffering of the Jews compared with other groups, a lot weaker.

4. Intentionality - the “Final Solution”

Hitler and the Nazis saw Communism as an existential threat, not just to Germany, but to Western Civilization, and they saw the Jews and Communism as one and the same thing. Moreover, conflating Communism with Judaism was not unfounded: the largest communist party in Europe was in Germany, which had a 78% Jewish membership.

In fact, since the Bolshevik objective to turn all of Europe into a communist “paradise”, Hitler wanted to eventually expel all of the Jews from Europe.

When Hitler and the National Socialists came to power in Germany, the Jews were in complete control of Russia; were very powerful in the United States, Britain and France; and they dominated virtually every institution in Germany.

So, when Hitler outlawed the Communist Party in Germany soon after becoming Chancellor, then rounded up the Communists and incarcerated them at Dachau, it turned out that most of these Communists were Jews.

Jews around the world hysterically characterized this as an unjustified, unprovoked ‘persecution’ of innocent Jews, only because they were Jews. So the Jews residing outside Germany promptly “declared war” on her, as explained on [this](#) CABA page.

4.1. Expulsion via emigration: the real Final Solution

There is no doubt that the German government wanted the Jews to leave Germany, and that it applied increasing pressure to persuade them to do so. There was never any plan to exterminate the German Jews.

The anti-Jewish legislation of the Third Reich is a matter of historical fact which cannot be denied; and the hardship imposed upon the Jews was real. **Jewish emigration from Germany was a legal event carried out in accordance with established, published procedures.** German government offices and Jewish organizations worked hand in hand to facilitate the emigration. Jews interested in emigrating were extensively counseled and received considerable aid. *See the section below entitled "The ICRC Report".*

On August 25, 1933 Germany entered into a pact with representatives of the Jewish Agency, whose members would eventually become the leaders of Israel. The pact was called the Haavara Agreement, or Transfer Agreement, which was a program for moving the Jews out of Germany to Palestine. The details are described on [this](#) CABA page.

With the start of WW2 the Haavara Agreement was wound up, but there was a new plan to rid Germany of the Jews.

The idea was to transfer them to Madagascar, for which negotiations with France, the colonial master, were started. Hitler had even observed to Mussolini that "One could found a State of Israel in Madagascar".³⁶

Negotiations with France were terminated in 1940 following Germany's invasion and the fall of the government there.

At the infamous Wannsee Conference in 1942 the "Final Solution", i.e. the total annihilation of the Jews in Europe, was supposed to have been hatched.

However, Reinhard Heydrich, Chief of the Reich Security Main Office, stated that the war had rendered the Madagascar project impractical, and continued:

"The emigration programme has been replaced now by the evacuation of Jews to the east [of Europe] as a further possible solution, in accordance with the previous authorization of the Führer."³⁷

Subsequently, a directive was issued:

“The war with the Soviet Union has in the meantime created the possibility of disposing of other territories for the Final Solution. In consequence the Führer has decided that the Jews should be evacuated not to Madagascar but to the East. Madagascar need no longer therefore be considered in connection with the Final Solution”.³⁸

The term “Final Solution” was applied both to Madagascar and to the Eastern territories, and that therefore it meant only the deportation of the Jews.

4.1.1. Terminated by Britain

Even as late as May 1944, Adolf-”obsessed-with-seizing-every-single-Jew-he could get his hands-on”-Eichmann had sent Budapest Jewish leader Joel Brand to Istanbul with an offer to the Allies to permit the transfer of 1 million European Jews in the midst of the war, as related in an account of the proposal given by Alexander Weissberg, a prominent Soviet Jewish scientist deported during the Stalin purges³⁹. Unfortunately, the plan came to nothing; the British concluded that Brand must be a dangerous Nazi agent and immediately imprisoned him in Cairo, while the Press denounced the offer as a Nazi trick.

4.2. Hitler’s personal order for extermination?

In the 1961 edition of his book “Destruction of the European Jews”, the late prominent Jewish Holocaust historian Raul Hilberg declared that there were 2 orders from Hitler, both oral, for the extermination of Jews. Of course, no one knows exactly what he said, the historians have no facts about the matter. The extermination allegations against Hitler are based on faith, not documentary evidence.

However, in the “revised and definitive” edition, “... all references in the text to a Hitler decision or a Hitler order for the “Final Solution” have been systematically excised”, Jewish German Lithuanian American economist Samuel Gringauz wrote.⁴⁰

The Germans had an extraordinary propensity for recording everything on paper in the most careful detail, yet among the thousands of captured documents of the S.D. and Gestapo, the records of the Reich Security Head Office, the files of Himmler's headquarters and Hitler's own war directives there is not a single order for the extermination of Jews or anyone else.

4.3. Reality: order to reduce death rate!

There is even evidence that the German authorities responsible for the camps ordered measures to reduce deaths of inmates due to disease. On Dec. 28, 1942, SS officer Richard Glücks, who was the head of the camp administration office, sent a directive to commandants of the concentration camps. It ordered that “camp physicians must use all means at their disposal to significantly reduce the death rate in the various camps. The camp doctors are to see to it that the working conditions at the various labor sites are improved as much as possible.” The directive also stressed that “the Reichsfuehrer SS Heinrich Himmler has ordered that the death rate absolutely must be reduced”.⁴¹

Glücks followed up his directive in January of 1943 by informing the concentration camp commandants:

“As I have already pointed out, every means must be used to lower the death rate in the camps.”

German camp administrator Oswald Pohl, in an order dated Oct. 26, 1943, gave specific measures to ensure the health and productivity of the internees of the camps. A copy of the order was sent to Himmler.

4.4. The ICRC's Report

Volume III of the ICRC Report referred to above, Chapter 3 (I. Jewish Civilian Population) deals with the “aid given to the Jewish section of the free population”, and this chapter makes it quite plain that by no means all of the European Jews were placed in internment camps, but remained, subject to certain restrictions, as part of the free civilian population.

In Slovakia, for example, where the assistant of Adolf-“obsessed-with-seizing-every-single-Jew-he could get his hands-on”-Eichmann, Dieter Wisliceny (who was later tortured by the Allies), was in charge, the Report states that: “A large proportion of the Jewish minority had permission to stay in the country, and at certain periods Slovakia was looked upon as a comparative haven of refuge for Jews, especially for those coming from Poland.

The emigration of European Jews from Hungary in particular proceeded during the war unhindered by the German authorities. The Red Cross report:

“Until March 1944, Jews who had the privilege of visas for Palestine were free to leave Hungary”.

4.5. The logistics rationale

In his book “Adolf Hitler”, Colin Cross writes:

“Is it likely, that at the height of the war, when the Germans were fighting a desperate battle for survival on 2 fronts, they would have conveyed millions of Jews for miles to supposedly elaborate and costly slaughter houses? To have transported the mythical 6 million Jews and countless numbers of other nationalities to internment camps, and to have housed, clothed and fed them there, would simply have paralysed their military operations. There is no reason to suppose that the efficient Germans would have put their military fortunes at such risk.”

“Is it likely that they would have cremated people by the million when they were so short of manpower and required all prisoners of war for purposes of war production?”

5. Nuremberg Trials

“But but, what about the Nuremberg Trials where German Nazi officers made confessed to the planned extermination?”, you may ask. Well, the way the trials were conducted, even the follow-up ones, were not exactly according to accepted standards and in an unbiased manner, to put it mildly.

In a murder trial **the prosecution has the burden of proof** to show the cause of death. Scientific evidence is usually the most convincing evidence to show the cause of death because scientific evidence can be verified in an objective manner. Incredibly, in the biggest and most publicized murder trial of all time, **the prosecution at the International Military Tribunal, produced no autopsy reports or expert reports on the existence and operation of the alleged homicidal gas chambers.** Even in the Auschwitz Trial in Frankfurt in the mid-1960s and the Majdanek Trial in Dusseldorf in the late 1970s, the defense never thought to request a report on the alleged murder weapons, which have partly survived today. In all of these trials the prosecution relied almost exclusively on eyewitness testimony to convict the defendants of murder.⁴²

Ex post facto (= retroactive) application of the law is not permitted.

Thus, charges like *conspiracy for preparation of war, crimes against peace, war crimes, crimes against humanity*, were not illegal at the time of their commitment. Yet, those charges were applied *ex post facto*.

In other words, by all reasonable definition, the Trials were effectively a kangaroo court driven by “*Siegerjustiz*”.⁴³

U.S. Sen. Robert A. Taft courageously denounced the Nuremberg trials in an October 1946 speech:

“About this whole judgment there is a spirit of vengeance, and vengeance is seldom justice.”⁴⁴

Senator Taft called the Nuremberg military trials of 1946 “a shameful parody of justice”.

5.1. Partiality

Although the Nuremberg trials had an appearance of fairness in a courtroom setting, they were **organized not to dispense impartial justice, but for political purposes**. The victorious Allies had control over the judges, prosecution, defense, and execution of the surviving German leaders.⁴⁵

The trials were permeated throughout with **an atmosphere of Jewish vengeance seeking**. Just behind the Gentile front men, most of the lawyers, prosecutors, and investigators were Jews. Hundreds of Jews who could barely speak English disported themselves in American Army officer uniforms. 2 of the 8 Nuremberg judges were Jews, Robert Falco of France, and Lt. Col. A.F. Volchkov (real name Berkman) of the Soviet Union. The General Prosecutor for the ‘High Court’ was Dr. Jakob Meistner, a Jew. Their dominance and control of the trials was blatant.⁴⁶

The World Jewish Congress (WJC) made sure that Germany’s **extermination of European Jewry was a primary focus of the trials**, and that the defendants would be punished for their involvement in Germany’s extermination process.⁴⁷

Charles Wennersturm, presiding judge in the Nuremberg trial of German generals, said that **Jews dominated the staff of the Nuremberg Courts** and were more interested in revenge than justice. He stated:

“The entire atmosphere is unwholesome. . . . Lawyers, clerks, interpreters, and researchers were employed who became Americans only in recent years, whose backgrounds were embedded in Europe’s hatreds and prejudices.” Wennerstrum left the Nuremberg trials “with a feeling that justice has been denied”.⁴⁸

5.2. Professional witnesses

“The major portion of the witnesses for the prosecution in the concentration-camp cases were what came to be known as “professional witnesses”, and everyone working at Dachau regarded them as such. “Professional”, since they were paid for each day they testified. In addition, they were provided free housing and food, at a time when these were often difficult to come by in Germany. Some of them stayed in Dachau for months, testifying in every one of the concentration-camp cases. In other words, these witnesses made their living testifying for the prosecution. Usually, they were former inmates from the camps, and their strong hatred of the Germans should, at the very least, have called their testimony into question.”⁴⁹

6. Concluding thoughts

Let us first look at quotes by 3 renowned personalities as that frames the whole issue of Holocaust Denial.

1. Italian “Holocaust Denier”, Carlo Mattogno, makes this pertinent observation:

“What strikes one most in the voluminous literature dedicated to the “extermination” of the Jews is the disparity between so grave an accusation and the fragility of the evidence furnished for its support.

The elaboration and realization of so gigantic an “extermination plan” would have required a very complex organization, technically, economically, and administratively.”⁵⁰

2. Jewish American technology entrepreneur, political activist, writer, and publisher, Ron Unz, made this statement⁵¹:

“I think it far more likely than not that the standard Holocaust narrative is at least substantially false, and quite possibly, almost entirely so.”

3. Jewish American technology entrepreneur, political activist, writer, and publisher Norman Finkelstein:

“Much of the literature on Hitler’s Final Solution is worthless as scholarship. Indeed, the field of Holocaust studies is replete with nonsense, if not sheer fraud.

Given the nonsense churned out daily by the Holocaust industry, the wonder is why there are so few skeptics.”⁵²

Were large numbers of Jews killed during the Holocaust? Yes, judging by the numbers outlined above, it seems that way. There were also other groups that were mass murdered, such as the Roma, the Slavs, the Poles, and others, all of whom have been ignored for the Jews.

Nevertheless, neither the Jews nor the other groups were murdered in gas chambers, that claim has not been factually proven beyond a shade of doubt by anyone. Furthermore, large numbers of Jews caught typhus, were taken care of as well as possible under the chaotic circumstances towards the end of the war (e.g. Anne Frank, who as described in the ICRC Report section above, was evacuated from Auschwitz to Bergen-Belsen in Germany, where she died of typhus), but died nevertheless.

Was the killing of those Jews premeditated murder? Again, that is a claim that no one has been able to prove factually: there is no documentary evidence showing an order from Hitler himself, nor from any of his henchmen.

History is written by the victors, with, in this case, ulterior motives, which means the Holocaust history is distorted, exaggerated and, to a great extent, wrong.

Why is the Holocaust the only historical event that no one is allowed to question? Why has the narrative been imposed on the Western World’s population like a religious dogma?

Money! Remember Abba Eban’s adage:

“There is no business like Shoah business.”

That explains why in practice the broadest possible definition of a Jewish

Holocaust survivor has been used:

Any Jew who lived anywhere in German controlled territory at any time during the war, whether living in a concentration camp or in the lap of luxury, is a Holocaust survivor and therefore eligible for a German pension. Moreover, any Jew who was forced to leave Europe during the Nazi era is a Holocaust survivor. Christian survivors of the war, no matter how horrific their experience, are not eligible for pensions.⁵³

Note: this is not an official definition.

Apart from the invented Biblical reasons, the Holocaust is also Israel's raison d'être, even though Israel does not represent either the Holocaust survivors or world Jewry. Despite this fact, Israel has managed to use the Holocaust dogma to keep the U.S. and Europe under its thumb with full, blind, unquestioning support for what is, in effect,



a settler colonial Apartheid state, complete with a separation wall and watch towers,

The Apartheid System in Palestine



and even with a separate road system.

In fact, what is now crystal clear, is that the worldwide ban on honest, open discussion about the Holocaust, and the blind support, has meant Israel not



only turned a historic genocide into a business bonanza, it also got away with enshrining in law Jewish ethno-religious supremacy while it carries out a genocide itself (**Palestincide**) with complete impunity.

We have been spoon-fed the adage “Never Again”, i.e. no more genocides! Really?



NEVER AGAIN = never again to Jews only, obviously.

Is it not time we stop using the term “Holocaust Denial” and use **Holocaust Revision** instead?

It is time Israel is held accountable for its crimes against humanity and its **Palestincide Denial**. So, what is the world waiting for?

References

- ¹ [Thomas Dalton](#)
- ² [David Irving sentenced to prison](#)
- ³ [U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum](#)
- ⁴ [Jewish Virtual Library](#)
- ⁵ [Pew Research](#)
- ⁶ [Living in countries not under Nazi occupation](#)
- ⁷ [UK](#)
- ⁸ [Sweden](#)
- ⁹ [Wallenberg's actions](#)
- ¹⁰ [Switzerland](#)
- ¹¹ [Australia](#)
- ¹² [China](#)
- ¹³ [India](#)
- ¹⁴ [Palestine](#)
- ¹⁵ [U.S.](#)
- ¹⁶ [Latin America](#)
- ¹⁷ [Urals/Sverdlovsk](#)
- ¹⁸ [USSR's Far East](#)
- ¹⁹ [Jews still alive in 1945 - see graph](#)
- ²⁰ [The Holocaust happened entirely in the East](#) - point 39':20" in this video
- ²¹ Harwood, Richard E., “Did Six Million Really Die?”, p.11
- ²² [Auschwitz memorial plaques changed](#)
- ²³ [Haaretz](#)
- ²⁴ [Holocaust survivors: “financial burden” for Israel](#)
- ²⁵ Finkelstein, Norman, “The Holocaust Industry”

-
- ²⁶ [Fred Leuchter's report](#)
- ²⁷ [Fred Leuchter's verbal testimony](#)
- ²⁸ "The Lüftl Report," *The Journal of Historical Review*, Vol. 12, No. 4, Winter 1992-1993, pp.395-401
- ²⁹ [Auschwitz aerial photo evidence](#)
- ³⁰ Hinsley, Frank H., "British Intelligence in WW2: Its Influence on Strategy and Operations", New York: Cambridge University Press, 1984, Vol. 2, Appendix 5, "The German Police Cyphers", p.673
- ³¹ Gilbert, Martin, *Auschwitz and the Allies*, New York: Holt, Reinhart and Winston, 1981, p.5
- ³² Unz, Ron, *American Pravda: "Holocaust Denial"*, p.41, 27 August 2018
- ³³ [Elie Wiesel is a fraud](#)
- ³⁴ [Jürgen Graf - Hilberg's incurable Holocaust autism](#)
- ³⁵ [No holes, no Holocaust](#)
- ³⁶ Harwood, Richard E., "Did Six Million Really Die?", p.7
- ³⁷ Harwood, Richard E., "Did Six Million Really Die?", p.13
- ³⁸ Reitlinger, Gerald, "The Final Solution", p.79
- ³⁹ Weissberg, Alexander, "Die Geschichte von Joel Brand"
- ⁴⁰ Gringauz, Samuel, "Some Methodological Problems in the Study of the Ghetto", *Jewish Social Studies*, January 1950, p.65
- ⁴¹ Wear, John, *The Alleged Genocide of European Jewry*, Chapter 8 via [Paul Craig Roberts](#)
- ⁴² Wear, John, *The Alleged Genocide of European Jewry*, Chapter 8 via [Paul Craig Roberts](#)
- ⁴³ [There was no need for twisting the law at Nuremberg](#)
- ⁴⁴ Senator Taft's speech, delivered at Kenyon College, Ohio, Oct. 5, 1946. "Vital Speeches of the Day", Nov. 1, 1946, p.47
- ⁴⁵ Wear, John, *The Alleged Genocide of European Jewry*, Chapter 8 via [Paul Craig Roberts](#)
- ⁴⁶ Bradberry, Benton L., "The Myth of German Villainy", p.5
- ⁴⁷ World Jewish Congress, "Unity in Dispersion", New York: WJC, 1948, pp.141, 264, 266, 267
- ⁴⁸ Foust, Hal, "Nazi Trial Judge Rips Injustice," *Chicago Tribune*, Feb. 23, 1948, pp.1-2
- ⁴⁹ Halow, Joseph, "Innocent at Dachau", Newport Beach, CA: Institute for Historical Review, 1992, p.61
- ⁵⁰ [Carlo Mattogno's observation](#)
- ⁵¹ [Ron Unz](#)
- ⁵² Finkelstein, Norman, "The Holocaust Industry", pp. 55 & 68
- ⁵³ Bradberry, Benton L., "The Myth of German Villainy", p.6