

COPY RECEIVED

10 DEC 17 AM 9:30

SNOHOMISH COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT COMM.

FILED

DEC 16 2010

SONYA KRASKI
SNOHOMISH COUNTY CLERK
EX-OFFICIO CLERK OF COURT

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
IN AND FOR SNOHOMISH COUNTY

In re the Marriage of:

SHANNON A. RYKER,
fka SHANNON WILSON,

Petitioner,

and

STUART M. WILSON,

Respondent.

NO. 10-3 03106 7

DECLARATION OF SHANNON RYKER

SHANNON RYKER, on oath, certifies and declares as follows:

1. I am the Petitioner/Mother in the above-captioned matter. I have personal knowledge of the following facts and I am competent to testify.

2. This Declaration is in support of my Motion for Ex Parte relief at the outset of this Parenting Modification action. It explains why I need immediate relief without notice to my ex-husband STUART, out of fear of what he will do when he is served and reads my requests. I believe he will harm the children psychologically and emotionally (and

DECLARATION OF SHANNON RYKER - 1

LAW OFFICES

MICHAEL W. BUGNI & ASSOC., PLLC

11300 ROOSEVELT WAY NORTHEAST, STE 300

SEATTLE, WA 98125

(206) 365-5500 • FACSIMILE (206) 363-8067

OPPOSING PARTY

1 possibly physically, with his access to and obsession with firearms) if given any advance
2 notice (consistent with his recent reaction when he found out a CPS investigation was to
3 take place). It's also in support of my request for adequate cause at the return hearing, and
4 temporary orders consistent with my Petition, including appointment of a GAL.
5

6 3. Background. Stuart and I were married for eight years. We divorced in 2006,
7 and mailed in our papers to Lincoln County at that time. We have two children, our sons
8 SAGE (now 13) and STUARD (now 11, whom I'll refer to as "Stu" to distinguish from my
9 ex-husband, whom I'll refer to as "Stuart"). I reside in Marysville and Stuart lives on
10 Camano Island. The boys attend school in Stanwood (Lincoln Academy at Stanwood High
11 School and Stanwood Middle School). At the time of our divorce, I was leaving a situation
12 I have come to see more clearly now was abusive—I was afraid of Stuart and believed his
13 threats that if I didn't sign the Parenting Plan the way he wanted it to be, I wouldn't be able
14 to see my children. The Plan names Stuart as the primary residential parent. **Exhibit 1.**
15 Based on historical patterns that existed then and continue today, as well as substantial
16 changes in circumstances that create a present detriment to the children, and based on
17 longstanding deviations from the Plan that Stuart is now seeking to rescind, I'm seeking to
18 modify that Parenting Plan to place the children primarily with me, with alternate weekend
19 time with their Father.
20
21

22 4. Present emergency. What brings me to court now is my concern over the
23 children's safety in their Father's home. On Friday, December 3, 2010, my boys told me
24
25

1 about an incident involving a firearm at their dad's house. My 13-year-old, Sage reported
2 that he'd walked into the garage at his dad's and had see 11-year-old Stu holding a pistol
3 and pointing it at his step-brother, Jesse (his stepbrother). Sage told me he realized the gun
4 was real, grabbed it from Stu, pulled back the slide and ejected a round to show them that
5 it was loaded. He then put the gun back on the desk where the boys knew it was kept.
6 They told no one about this until Sage told me. It's my understanding that this even had
7 occurred a few weeks before he told me.
8

9 5. My reaction. I had a discussion with both boys about gun safety, about never
10 pointing a gun at someone else even if they thought it was not a real gun. Stu became
11 distraught when he realized how close he had come to possibly killing his stepbrother
12 Jesse if he had pulled the trigger. I told the boys they had done the right thing in telling me
13 because it would have been horrible had one of them ended up dead. I took the boys to
14 an activity and when I returned, I called Stuart and his wife Missy. Missy answered and I
15 started to tell her what the boys had reported. Stuart took the phone and started talking to
16 me very aggressively—telling me how safe he was with weapons. He said that Sage was
17 making it up in order to get him into trouble. He then went on to accuse me of bad
18 parenting. When we got back to the gun incident, he then said it wasn't a "real gun."
19 (Whereas before he had denied that it had happened at all.) Then there was more about
20 my parenting skills (or his perception that I lack them), including the suggestion to me that I
21 need to give them a "swift smack on the face to show them who's boss." Missy in
22
23
24
25

1 particular said that she had tried this and experienced little back-talking as a result. This is
2 clearly a different approach in parenting styles; I prefer talking things out and emphasizing
3 natural consequences to choices they make. I said little, knowing from past experience
4 that it would only make things worse. I emphasized that I was not accusing them, but
5 bringing this serious incident to their attention because none of us want to make headlines
6 with a tragic accident. Once again Stuart insisted he was a safe gun owner. At no time did
7 either Stuart or Missy express any concern about the children's safety around guns. They
8 alternated between telling me the children were lying, or that it wasn't a real gun, but an
9 AirSoft (which contradicts the notion that "nothing happened").
10

11
12 6. Children's reaction. When I told the children I'd spoken with their dad, they
13 reacted strongly in fear and were very upset. They told me they were going to get into "big
14 trouble" with their dad and be yelled at. I told them it was a serious matter and I needed to
15 bring it to their attention. Sage told me repeated that he was not going to back to his dad's
16 and that he was preparing to run away if I wouldn't let him stay home. I reminded him
17 that there is a Parenting Plan to follow. Sage went so far as to say he felt like wanting to set
18 his dad's house on fire and rescue only Stu. My husband CURT and I both told him that
19 was a serious thing to say and that we felt he needed someone to help him deal with those
20 strong feelings. In the middle of the night, he tried to leave the house (in order not to have
21 to go back to his dad's) and we kept him from doing that, but waited until he fell asleep
22
23
24
25

1 before we went to bed ourselves, then set the house alarm so he couldn't leave without us
2 knowing.

3 7. Counseling for Sage. The next morning I tried to set up a counseling
4 appointment for Sage with the counselor he has been seeing since September, but the
5 place I called was closed. I called the Crisis Line instead, but did not get a lot of helpful
6 information.

7
8 8. Return to Father's home. On Sunday, December 5, when I returned the boys to
9 Stuart, we had a follow-up conversation. Stuart again said he was a safe and responsible
10 gun owner and that all the loaded guns are kept in his bedroom and the kids are not
11 allowed in there. He told the boys he was angry at them for reporting to me what had
12 happened and said they should have told him. He told them that if they wanted to look at
13 a gun, they should just ask him and he would let them. Then he told Stu, my youngest
14 son, to go get the gun he was talking about. Stu left the room and returned with a black
15 pistol with an orange sight. Stuart told him to hand it to his mom—me—and I took it. I
16 asked Stuart, "It's an AirSoft?" and he said, "No! It's a REAL GUN" in a tone implying I was
17 stupid. He then proceeded to tell us in a lecturing tone how the bolts are removed, there
18 was no bullet, it was inoperable, etc. I placed the gun on the coffee table. Stuart told my
19 son to put it back where he got it from and Stu picked up the gun and walked out of the
20 room. Stuart then told the boys they could not be trusted—only Jesse, his stepson could
21 be—and that they needed to stay out of his garage drawers . . . and then he sends Stu out to
22
23
24
25

DECLARATION OF SHANNON RYKER - 5

LAW OFFICES

MICHAEL W. BUGNI & ASSOC., PLLC

11300 ROOSEVELT WAY NORTHEAST, STE 300

SEATTLE, WA 98125

(206) 365-5500 • FACSIMILE (206) 363-8067

1 the very place he told them to stay away from! At no time did he warn them not to point
2 guns at each other or address the incident directly. Missy avoided eye contact for the most
3 part.

4
5 9. Authorities. On Monday morning, still not sure what the appropriate "next
6 step" might be, I called the Boeing Employee Assistance Counselor to get some resources
7 and was advised to call local law enforcement and CPS. I called Island County law
8 enforcement and spoke with an officer, Brian Legacy, who told me that since in was an
9 issue of child endangerment, to call CPS, and that he would go to the house and check
10 things out. I made the call to CPS.

11
12 10. Stuart's reaction to law enforcement. I received a call from Stuart at 2:12 PM,
13 which I did not take directly. In the timeline of events, it seems to me that this would have
14 been shortly after the Sheriff left Stuart's residence, informing him that CPS would be
15 contacting him. That night, Monday, Stuart kept the boys up until 11:45 PM to tell them a
16 chronological history of our marriage, according to him—events intended to disparage me
17 in their eyes. (I learned this from Sage on Tuesday when he called me from school and we
18 ended up talking about him talking to the CPS investigator.) This is why I am acting now,
19 without notice to him, because if he is given the opportunity, he is likely to say things to
20 the boys (about me or to blame them) that they can never forget—harming them
21 emotionally and psychologically.
22
23
24
25

1 11. November 28, 2010 incident. When delivering the boys on this date and
2 talking about our Thanksgiving trip to Oregon, Stu saw a gun laying there on the coffee
3 table and picked it up. I told him to put it down, and he looked at his dad, who said, "No,
4 it's okay," and commented about it not fitting the holster. I was disturbed by this
5 exchange. Stu put the gun down after a few moments and walked away. Stuart made no
6 move to put the gun away or out of reach.

8 12. Sage suspended from school. This fall Sage was suspended from school for
9 stabbing another student. Sage drew a derogatory image on a kid's backpack and the kid
10 reacted by slapping Sage on the back. Sage then turned around with a pencil in his hand
11 and punctured the boy's neck. I believe that Sage has so much anger bottled up inside and
12 feels helpless to do anything about it—he asks me every week if he can live with me
13 instead of his dad and every time I tell him I have to follow the Parenting Plan—so Sage
14 finally "snapped." Instead of outright expulsion, Sage was placed in the alternative school
15 within Stanwood High School, called Lincoln Academy, after a 20-day suspension. It was
16 in response to this incident that I found a counselor for Sage, whom he has been seeing
17 since September. Without actual changes in Sage's living environment, however, those
18 triggers that arise in his dad's house mainly, I don't think Sage's mental health situation will
19 improve and he may be prone to ongoing outbursts which could lead to more serious
20 trouble. I've heard Stuart call Sage (and Stu) a "dumb ass" or "stupid." He's been told that
21
22
23
24
25

1 he'll never see me again, or that he'll never live with me. He reports that his dad pushes
2 him around.

3 13. Last year's suspension. In the 2009-2010 school year, Sage was suspended for
4 hitting a girl on the school bus. When Stuart found out about this, there was an altercation
5 between Sage and his dad and Stuart called me to tell me Sage was coming to live with me
6 to "work out his Mommy issues." Sage lived with me from October 2009 to February
7 2010. Things improved dramatically for Sage over this period. He attended Explorer
8 Middle School in Everett. He started to make friends, he was happier. Teachers and
9 counselors noted improvements. His grades went from Ds and Fs to As, Bs and Cs. When
10 I withdrew him in February 2010 when Stuart demanded his return, the teachers told me,
11 "We're losing a great kid!" I struggled initially with boundaries as a single mom and Sage
12 tested my discipline, but things were overall pretty smooth for us. Almost immediately
13 upon his return, his grades went down to Ds and Fs, his behavioral problems surfaced
14 again and he asked me constantly about living with me again.

15 14. Ongoing school issues. Sage's behavioral outbursts stem back to elementary
16 school years. Once he ran away and hid on a hill during a school day. Once he hit a
17 female teacher. Stu, also, would often walk out of class and refuse to participate in an
18 activity. Stu and Sage have been "regulars" with school counselors to try to address these
19 concerns. Stuart lives an isolated lifestyle (he works from home, doesn't have many
20 friends) and is not a good model for the boys. They try Stuart's model of inter-personal
21
22
23
24
25

DECLARATION OF SHANNON RYKER - 8

LAW OFFICES

MICHAEL W. BUGNI & ASSOC., PLLC

11300 ROOSEVELT WAY NORTHEAST, STE 300

SEATTLE, WA 98125

(206) 365-5500 • FACSIMILE (206) 363-8067

1 relationships (I'm always right; you're always wrong) and as a result, the boys find that this
2 "doesn't work" in real life. I've seen their interaction with kids their own age and I can see
3 the same tactics Stuart employs with me coming out in the boys with those kids and it
4 doesn't work. (They try the same kind of behavior with me that they saw as effective from
5 Stuart with me while we were married.) As a result, they're doomed to be social outcasts
6 or they'll have a tough challenge trying to live in the "real world" a lifestyle that is vastly
7 different from what they experience at home. This is detrimental to the boys
8 psychologically—not knowing the correct way to behave in order to have nurturing and
9 healthy friendships.
10

11
12 15. Sage's fear. Last Saturday (12/11/10), Sage asked Curt and me to take him to the
13 store so that he could buy—with his own money—a recording device so that he could
14 record what was being said in his dad's house so that people would believe him. He told
15 me he was afraid for me and Curt and he would be sad because we wouldn't see him
16 anymore. "You wouldn't believe what he's planning," he said. I suggested he write things
17 down and he was afraid to do that—in case his father found it. I said he could leave what
18 he wrote at school. I fear for Sage's own safety and sanity even more if he feels he needs
19 to go to this kind of drastic measure to "tell somebody" what's going on. (We didn't get
20 the recording device—I told him I thought that was illegal.)
21

22
23 16. Historical context from marriage. In order to put my concerns into context, I'm
24 providing the court with statements that were prepared in Snohomish County Cause No.
25

1 05-3-02862-1, the original dissolution action filed before we sent agreed papers to Lincoln
2 County. **Exhibit 2.** In sum, due to Stuart's behavior and treatment of me, I suffered and
3 sought treatment for anxiety and panic attacks in June 2005, when I was finally able to
4 muster the courage to leave the harmful environment that was my home with Stuart in
5 November of that year. The attacks began in 1996 shortly after Stuart and I started living
6 together. Stuart was able to control me through fear—constant threats that I would lose
7 custody of my children. Even in the final papers, he undermined my access to my support
8 network (such as not allowing friends or family to babysit). As I tried to leave him, Stuart
9 called me repeatedly—this was my third attempt at ending the marriage. Within our
10 marriage, Stuart threw things at me (a bowl of macaroni and cheese which shattered on the
11 wall behind me; a recliner which left a hole in the wall and broke into pieces). He shoved
12 me down and stood over me with his fist shaking, screaming threats to harm me. He
13 called me names, called me stupid, yelled at me often. He insulted my physical
14 appearance ("Who the f—k would be attracted to this?" grabbing my stomach). He
15 monitored my Internet activity. He caused dishes to crash on the floor and told me, "Clean
16 that, you bitch!" He once threw my keys into the woods as I was getting ready for work
17 and I had to call in sick and get the car re-keyed. When the boys were very young Stuart
18 was sleeping at home when then two-year-old Stu was found wandering along the
19 Darrington Highway. In response to this I quit my job so I could make sure the children
20 were supervised adequately. He often destroyed their toys (in a fire, out the car window)
21
22
23
24
25

DECLARATION OF SHANNON RYKER - 10

LAW OFFICES

MICHAEL W. BUGNI & ASSOC., PLLC

11300 ROOSEVELT WAY NORTHEAST, STE 300

SEATTLE, WA 98125

(206) 365-5500 • FACSIMILE (206) 363-8067

1 as a form of (cruel) discipline, or sometimes because he thought it was funny. His main
2 connection to the boys was—and is—fueling an interest in guns and video games and
3 related violence. Sage was no more than 3 when I saw him pull a loaded Glock 21 from
4 under the front car seat.

5
6 17. Retriggering of fear. As a result of these recent events and confrontation with
7 Stuart, I have returned to the professional care and oversight of my own counselor and
8 physician to preemptively reduce the symptoms of anxiety that are once again resurfacing.
9 My doctor has diagnosed me with PTSD related to Stuart's past behavior and the anxious
10 memories and responses it triggers for me. I do fear his retaliation and his access to
11 weapons and his rage lead me to believe he could make our family another one of those
12 painful murder-suicide statistics. He does not seem to be motivated by any love or
13 concern for his children, only anger, control, his sense of his "rights" and a vindictive spirit
14 to prove me (and everyone but himself) wrong. For these reasons I ask the court for
15 immediate protection until this matter can be fully heard.
16
17

18 18. Other retaliation as to Christmas plans. In response to the CPS involvement,
19 Stuart cancelled the plans that were otherwise in place for the children to spend the
20 Christmas holidays with me and my family. That's not the reason I'm asking for the
21 children to reside with me until the hearing, but I mention this to inform the court that this
22 was already the expectation—until Stuart changed his mind to punish me for involving
23 CPS.
24
25

1 19. Harmful environment. Stuart's discouraging the boys from reporting events
2 related to safety is harmful. Stuart is allowing or encouraging an environment (such as
3 open criticism or indirectly allowing Jesse to be "mean" to the boys in retaliation for having
4 told me about the gun incident). This is detrimental to the boys' emotional and
5 psychological well-being and could lead to physical harm if they are afraid to report on a
6 dangerous situation that ends up in some tragedy.

8 20. Using kids to communicate. Sage told me his dad was going to send them on
9 Thursday, instead of Friday (which is the language in the original Plan, something we have
10 not followed for several years). Stuart did not communicate this to me directly.

12 21. Other psychological harm to children. The children came to me in early 2006
13 and asked me, "Why did you kill our baby sister or brother?" They were referring to an
14 abortion I had which I had not told them about; they could only have learned this from
15 Stuart, who apparently communicated this very personal information in such a fashion that
16 they were disturbed and I was made out to be the guilty party.

18 22. Other reasons I fear Stuart. Stuart purchased an AirSoft gun and would have the
19 boys stand in front of him and he would shoot them with it, execution style. He then
20 joked about it. He mocked me when I told him I didn't think that was funny. Stuart made
21 suppressors (silencers) for his guns and tested them in the woods at Port Susan when we
22 lived there. Some of them worked and he bragged about them to guys he knew. Knowing
23 these are illegal, he hid the perfected ones in the woods. Stuart used "low power" bullets
24

1 to do target shooting indoors in our trailer—sitting on the couch and shooting at things on
2 the kitchen counter. Stuart talked with some guys about raiding a drug dealer's place for
3 guns (knowing he couldn't report them without exposing his own criminal activity); shortly
4 after that he came home with an AK-47 that he said came from that venture. Stuart dressed
5 up in military gear and face paint and went into the woods with his buddies, taking AR-15s
6 and handguns. He had me help him make a "Guillie" suit (a camouflage suit with leaves
7 and brush) and tested it on various outings. He once had me drop them off on the
8 Mountain Loop road in full gear and said they'd call when ready for me to pick them up. I
9 tried to ignore as much as I could and to "know" as little as possible. I was scared to death
10 and I am, even as I prepare this declaration, shaking and in tears about what Stuart might
11 do to retaliate. Stuart's "business" now is making military gear—holsters, backpacks, etc.,
12 and he has connections with military and law enforcement personnel. When we were
13 getting divorced, he used these contacts to harass me and intimidate me.

14
15
16
17 23. Other minor changes needed to Parenting Plan. Alternative relief requested in
18 my Petition and an independent basis for at least a minor modification is the following
19 history in which we have deviated from the 2006 Plan as written:

- 20 a. Weekends. Instead of the Thursday overnight one weekend and Friday-
21 Saturday overnights the next, the boys have stayed with me every weekend
22 from after school Friday until return on Sunday. This includes all three-day
23 weekends, when Stuart has told me to keep the children through Monday night.
24
25

1 Stuart's sudden return to a Thursday visit caught me off guard because I work
2 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM (at Boeing).

3 b. Transportation. The Plan says parents are to exchange the boys at McDonald's
4 east of Stanwood. We have been using public transportation and spouses on
5 occasion instead of doing in-person exchanges.
6

7 c. Spring vacation. Instead of splitting these breaks equally, we have instead been
8 doing "whatever works" which usually means more time in my home.
9

10 22. Sole decision-making. The reason I'm asking the court for sole decision-
11 making is primarily my fear of Stuart and as a result I cannot stand up to him. At one point
12 he wanted to home school the boys and I was against this idea. I felt helpless, powerless
13 to say No, but ultimately he did not follow through so that did not happen. Most recently,
14 I see the need for Sage to be in counseling. Stuart and/or Missy cancelled Sage's
15 counseling appointment this past Monday. On other occasions, I schedule other
16 appointments for the boys (dentist, doctor) and Stuart will, often at the last minute, cancel
17 them. (He doesn't initiate any of this kind of scheduling, but leaves it all to me.) Another
18 issue that has come up is the boys' desire to pursue team sports, which Stuart thinks are a
19 waste of time, silly, stupid, only for jocks, etc. He's told me, "Parents who let their kids
20 play sports are idiots" and as a result, I'm afraid our boys will miss out on the potential for
21 all of the positives that come with physical activity and team-building. I know better than
22 to ask Stuart—his preemptive "No" will not change. What I'm up against is his attitude of
23
24
25

1 "What I say, goes." He'll "let me" go along with planning and scheduling until/unless he
2 decides it doesn't suit him. And then he pulls the plug, pulls in the reins, and what he
3 says, goes. I really don't have the fight in me, nor do I feel safe in disagreeing with him. I
4 fear that with this action, he will be even more polarizing and will reject or refuse things
5 for the children just to spite me or because he can, if he has the "veto power" that comes
6 with joint decision-making. I don't have plans for any radical new decisions for the
7 children; I just need to minimize the interactions I have with Stuart at all costs. Another
8 recent example is that the children's medical bills (they're currently covered by Melissa,
9 Stuart's wife's benefits) don't come to me, but they are not being paid, so I'm somehow
10 still getting collections calls, but I'm unable to address the issue because Melissa is the
11 person whose name they have to deal with. It would be cleaner if their coverage were
12 transferred to me so that I could address this issue directly.

13
14
15 23. Temporary Parenting Plan. On a temporary basis, I don't trust Stuart not to say
16 things that are extremely harmful to the kids, either about them or about me, once this
17 action starts. His contact with the children should only be with supervision (up to eight
18 hours per week) to make sure that this kind of irreparable emotional/psychological harm
19 cannot occur. Once we have a GAL appointed who can investigate and make a temporary
20 recommendation, there can be a plan for other safeguards to protect the children from the
21 concerns I've listed here.
22
23
24
25

1 24. Guardian ad Litem. I am asking the court to appoint an investigator or
2 Guardian ad Litem to look into this situation and make a recommendation that will protect
3 the children's best interests. I believe that is to place both boys primarily with me and to
4 limit their time with their Father until he can demonstrate his commitment to their safety—
5 both physical as it relates to weapons/firearms, as well as emotional—as it relates to how
6 he talks to them or about me, and psychological—his ability to encourage them, show
7 affection, appropriately mentor them in healthy relationships, etc. The GAL fees should be
8 paid 50% by each of us, subject to reallocation when financial information is available.
9

10 25. Conclusion. I ask for immediate protection to get to a hearing, and then for a
11 temporary plan that will allow supervised contact, and ultimately, for a Plan with sufficient
12 safeguards that will allow the children reasonable time with their dad. Counseling should
13 be in place as needed (likely for both boys) and I should have sole decision-making to
14 minimize the detrimental effects of ongoing conflict, especially in light of Stuart's abusive
15 history toward both the boys and myself.
16

17
18 I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington that the
19 foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

20 Date: _____ See Attached
21 Shannon Ryker, Petitioner/Mother

22 Place of Signing: _____
23 City, State
24
25

1 me and to limit their time with their Father until he can demonstrate his commitment to
2 their safety—both physical as it relates to weapons/firearms, as well as emotional—as it
3 relates to how he talks to them or about me, and psychological—his ability to
4 encourage them, show affection, appropriately mentor them in healthy relationships,
5 etc. The GAL fees should be paid 50% by each of us, subject to reallocation when
6 financial information is available.
7
8

9 25. Conclusion. I ask for immediate protection to get to a hearing, and then for
10 a temporary plan that will allow supervised contact, and ultimately, for a Plan with
11 sufficient safeguards that will allow the children reasonable time with their dad.
12 Counseling should be in place as needed (likely for both boys) and I should have sole
13 decision-making to minimize the detrimental effects of ongoing conflict, especially in
14 light of Stuart's abusive history toward both the boys and myself.
15
16

17 I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington that the
18 foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

19
20 Date:

Dec 16, 2010 
Shannon Ryker, Petitioner/Mother

21
22 Place of Signing:

Manassville, WA
City, State

23
24
25
DECLARATION OF SHANNON RYKER - 18

LAW OFFICES

MICHAEL W. BUGNI & ASSOC., PLLC
11300 ROOSEVELT WAY NORTHEAST, STE 300
SEATTLE, WA 98125
(206) 365-5500 • FACSIMILE (206) 363-8067