

CONTENTS of APPENDIXES

Apx A. -- Statement of Facts (more complete version).

Apx I. -- Why MCR 6.508(D) does not prevent granting relief for the Issues presented.

Apx I.A. -- How Hence & Gallagher were similarly situated; The successor judge committed clear error; and How the Law of the Case Doctrine prohibits reconsideration of some Issues.

Apx I.C. -- Proof that one theory was used at Hence & Gallagher's joint trial.

Apx I.D. -- Specific instances of discrimination suffered by Defendant Hence.

Apx II.A. -- Threats, made by the appeal prosecutor, which helped force Robinson into giving false testimony, and past threats by the prosecutor's office against others in this case.

Apx III.D. -- The prosecutor's calculated use of a surprise accomplice witness, fully expalined.

Apx IV.A. -- Counsel's failure to object to two, surprise rebuttal witnesses prevented reversal.

Apx IV.B. -- Counsel refused to investigate Hence's alibi.

Apx IV.C. -- Bio.; Summary of H. Hence's education, work, & conduct history, and job offer.

Apx IV.D. -- Counsel purposely allowed Hence's watch, to be accepted as state's evidence #1.

Apx VI. -- Post-appeal counsel's total ineffectiveness denied Hence his 14th Amend. right to equal application of State law.

Apx VII. -- Denial of counsel to an indigent, at trial, appeal, and post-conviction, because of sub-standard pay, is a violation of the 14th Amendment's Equal Protection Clause.

Apx VIII. -- Background of the alibi rebuttal statute, and how the ruling in People v. Hence, 110 Mich App 154, (that an objection was required to trigger mandatory language in law) is an activist nullification, of a legislatively enacted statute.