

A bright sun with rays shining behind a sword blade.

The True Church

by The Sword of God



The True Church

by The Sword of God

Contents

Open Letter

Why I was excommunicated?	1-5
---------------------------------	-----

Chapter 1

Unity in Voting: Blasphemous Doctrine of Jacob!	6-34
---	------

Before Snap Election:	6-7
-----------------------	-----

After Snap Election:	7
----------------------	---

Before the Plebiscite:	7-8
------------------------	-----

Their Biblical bases about Bloc Voting:	
---	--

God has part even when we vote.	8-9
---------------------------------	-----

There should be no divisions among us but only one in judgment.	8-10
--	------

The first Church of Christ united and those who did not were punished.	10-11
---	-------

Is it true they are declaring to us what are written in the Bible?	
---	--

When they chose to replace Judas	11-12
----------------------------------	-------

When they elected seven brethren to serve tables.	12-13
---	-------

When they chose men who will go with Paul and Barnabas.	13-14
--	-------

Did all brethren follow Unity?	14-15
--------------------------------	-------

Did they threaten brethren to be punished if they will not unite?	16-17
--	-------

Is it justifiable to make Ananias and Sapphira as example of punishment for disobeying unity?	17-18
--	-------

It is evil to have strife or vainglory	18-20
--	-------

In accordance with Philippians 2:1, when should we unite?	20-21
---	-------

We are uniting with God in our Bloc Voting.	21-22
---	-------

ii Contents

Unity in Voting glorifies God.	22-23
We are blessed if we are mocked by people in the name of Christ.	23
The questions that shocked Bro. Campania:	23-24
The blasphemous doctrine of Jacob!	24-26
What is the new thing created by God that made Jacob treacherous to Him?	26-28
Rationale why it implied the Manalos had taken the church as their own.	28-31
Is God still there in the house of Jacob or the Manalos?	32
Why I must call that church: Iglesia ni Manalo?	32
Reasons why the Jacob mentioned in Isaiah 48:1-8 could not be Jacob the patriarch:	33-34

Chapter 2

Minister who challenged: Feared to Debate! 35-60

It came out from Brad Julie's mouth that "Unity in Voting" is only Satan's deception.	36-37
Brad Julie's excuse why none were punished to those who did not unite with Apostle Paul.	37-38
How could be punished those who were not there when unity was done?	38
The unity done by the Philippians is different from what was done by Ananias and Sapphira.	38-40
The unity written in Philippians 4:15-16 was not a general commandment.	40
Why can't we read anymore about selling of possessions after the punishment of Ananias and Sapphira?	40-41
Brad Julie threw me his boomerang proof but hit him back.	41-42
Why only the administrators are choosing candidates?	42
Brad Julie's words exposed that they are really receiving bribes.	42-43

Implementation of unity is not enforced.	43-44
Excommunication is only a scarecrow.	45-46
Even in eating and in drinking we should be united.	46-47
They are only using that false doctrine for their boastfulness and self interest.	47
For the purpose of edifying not for destruction is being done by the administrator.	47-48
Should we submit ourselves to the administrator and follow whatever he wants us to do?	48-49
Brad Julie evaded when I was about to prove that Bloc Voting is a doctrine of idolatry.	49-51
Proofs that God sets-up and removes higher powers even outside.	51-52
Because Pilate ordered Christ's arrest, does this means he was not placed by God to power?	52
Trap questions that will prove Bloc Voting is a bluff doctrine.	52-53
Is it true they are not teaching us that we are uniting with God when we vote?	52-53
The proof that their doctrine is really false, Brad Julie feared the debate.	54-55
Satan went down and entered into Brad Julie.	55
Why Brad Julie feared the debate?	55-56
The administrator's answer implied that Bloc Voting is only their invented doctrine.	56-57
Unity in Voting: A beautiful disguise of wickedness	57
The wickedness behind the beautiful name Unity in Voting.	57-59

Chapter 3

Doctrine of Last Messenger: Humiliating and Self-insulting!	60-72
--	--------------

iv Contents

Their illogical reason why Bro. Felix Manalo is the last lessenger?	60-61
Why was Jacob not cast away?	61
The basis with a little logic why they taught Jacob was the last messenger of God.	62
Why the doctrine “Last Messenger of God” is humiliating and self-insulting to Jacob’s ministers?	62
What is the meaning of Angel or Messenger?	62-63
Is it true that Bro. Felix Manalo is the angel mentioned in Revelation 7:2-3?	63-64
When the Messenger of God died, can we call his successor also Messenger of God?	64-65
When Bro. Felix Manalo died, who succeeded him?	65-66
If Bro. Felix Manalo was the last messenger,” are his companions in sealing have the right to continue his work?	66-68
What’s the use of staying in that church without messenger of God?	68
Could the messengers of God commit mistakes?	68-69
The embarrassing mistake committed by Bro. Felix Manalo!	69
Other Messengers of God who committed mistakes	69-71
Could the prophecies in the Bible be avoided?	71
Are there secrets in the mysteries of God that are hidden to His Messengers?	71-72

Chapter 4

Proofs that there are other

Messengers of God: 73-115

God’s way in placing Leaders of His people.	73-74
God sent His servant to repossess the church taken by Jacob.	74-76
God’s way in repossessing the church taken by Jacob.	76

God commands the Sword to smite the shepherd.	76-77
Glaring proof that God will send another messenger.	77-79
Who is the Sword of God?	79-82
Where in the Philippines God's Sword shall come?	83-85
The specified time when God shall bring back His people from captivity.	85-88
The Sword is righteous but if he retreats, God's soul will have no pleasure in him.	88
The book was given to the not learned.	88-89
The promise of God to Jacob is also for Israel the Sword.	89-91
The Sword of God who shall remove the yoke has a light like noontime.	91-92
Israel the Sword shall thresh the mountains of Jacob.	92-95
Israel the Sword is the Redeemer of Jacob.	95-98
Not only the Sword shall be the Redeemer of Jacob but many people.	98-99
God commanded Israel the Sword to bring His sons from afar and daughters from the ends of the earth.	99-100
God will bring out a seed from Jacob and from Judah.	100-102
The Sword shall be called the repairer of the breach, the restorer of paths to dwell in.	102-103
The Sword shall tread upon his enemy's high places.	103-105
The Sword's right to continue Jacob's work.	105
Israel and Judah with their children shall go together.	105
God's perpetual covenant that shall not be forgotten for the house of Israel.	106-108
The words of God shall not depart from Israel's mouth.	108-109
Incidents before: Signs that God prepared me for today.	
I discovered the verse they intentionally hid to us.	109-110
I've read the dreadful prophecy about the house Jacob.	110

vi Contents

I discovered the truth about their Deceptive Unity	111-112
It was God's will that I must learn computer	112-113
The difference between two Israel as messengers of God.	113-114
The messengers of the last days.	114-115
Who must be called the Last Messenger?	115

Chapter 5

Why they said I could not be

Messenger of God?..... 116-130

They said I have high ambition.	116-117
Can a man be messenger of God because of his own will or ambition?	117-118
None can be read from the Bible that the first messenger was opposed by the next messenger.	118-120
Many have denounced the messenger of God but were in vain.	120-121
No Messengers of God fought and overthrown the other.	121-122
Why did God set the time that Jacob is already dead when He sent Israel the Sword?	122
Why then I knew that I'm a messenger of God after my eviction?	122-123
Do all messengers of God know that they are sent by the Lord?	123-124
Bro. Avanilla's excuse about my strong evidence.	125
Those who were excommunicated have no Spirit of God.	125-128
Signs that Judgment Day is near.	
Falling away shall come.	128-129
The son of perdition will be revealed.	129
The ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo mised the very elect.	129-130

Chapter 6

God's Punishments to Jacob! 131-144

The iniquities of Jacob and his ministers:

They hate the good, and love the evil. 131-132

They are perverting equity 132-133

They neglected God's sheep. 133-144

They rule by force and with cruelty. 144

They build the church with blood and iniquity. 134-135

They are truly receiving bribes from the candidates. 135-136

The punishments of Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo)
and his liar ministers:

God will destroy Jacob's cities. 136

Jacob will be given to the curse and humiliations. 137

God shall get His sheep out from their hands. 137

They shall fall from power. 137-138

The mountains of Jacob shall be destroyed. 138-139

Enemies of the church will rejoice for their downfall. 139

In the redemption of Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo),
is his son Bro. Erdy included? 140-142

Only Jacob shall be redeemed. 142-143

Why is it that the prophecies in the Bible are written
as if they already happened even they are not yet done? 143-144

Chapter 7

The doctrine Christ is only a man:

Treacherous to God and Christ! 145-168

The Biblical bases used by those who believe that Christ is God:

He was with God before the creation. 145-147

Christ is equal with God but he took off
his being God. 147-149

Christ is God who transformed-man. 149

viii Contents

Only the body of Christ is man, but inside is God.	149-150
If the Father is God, the Son is also God.	150-151
Why must Christ become a man?	151-152
Rationale why Christ is not God when he was sent to earth:	
He was born like other ordinary children.	152-153
His soul was exceedingly sorrowful for his impending death.	153
Because of the ordeal he suffered on the cross, he was upset with God.	153-154
He has no own power when he was sent to the world.	154
He was made a little lower than angels.	154
When Christ died and ascended to heaven, is he still a man?	155-156
Why Christ is no longer a man when he is already in heaven?	156
Before: Jacob taught that Christ is God that has God.	156-158
The proofs that Christ became God:	
Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father.	158-159
Jesus was made Lord and Christ.	159
All the angels of God worship him and he is called God.	159-161
Christ is the great God and Savior.	161
Christ is the God who shall judge in the congregation of the Mighty.	161-163
Christ is also the God that shall judge in Judgment Day.	163-164

Why Christ could never be God?	164
Since God made Christ God, do we have two Gods now?	164-166
Since Jesus Christ is only a made God, is he not a true God?	166
The doctrine Christ is only a man even in heaven is another treachery of Bro. Felix Manalo.	166-168

Chapter 8

Which is the True Church:

Iglesia ni Cristo? Or Iglesia ng Dios?	169-189
Rationale why the church of Soriano could never be the true church	
There is no testimony about the prophecy.	169-170
There is no continuity from the one who started the mission.	170
The prophecy of the poor wise man did not fit to Bro. Soriano.	170-171
It is not true that no one can gainsay nor resist him.	171-172
He is teaching that Christ is the worm Jacob is false.	172
Bro Eli Soriano is using Isaiah 58:1 when it did not fit him.	172-173
He is also using Isaiah 29:12 for falsehood.	173-74
Reasons of Bro. Soriano why the Iglesia ni Cristo is not the true church:	
Iglesia ni Cristo cannot be read in the Bible.	174-175
How about Soriano's church, can we read its names from the Bible?	175-176
Bro. Soriano's church name became more erroneous when he added the word Members.	176-178
Bro. Soriano is a deceptive seller of fake product!	178
Church of God is the name of the true church.	178-180

God the Father is still the owner of the church.	180-182
The church is called by the name of God.	182-183
It's not true that only the Iglesia ni Cristo shall be saved.	183-184
Bro. Soriano defies the doctrines for salvation	184-185
Only the true Iglesia ni Cristo shall be saved.	185-186
How about the people outside the Iglesia ni Cristo, will they never be saved?	186-187
Iglesia ni Cristo is not the true church because Bro. Felix Manalo taught many false doctrines.	187-189

Chapter 9

Who are the true Iglesia ni Cristo 190-206

The escapees from the house of Jacob shall certainly return to God.	191
God specified the escapees shall draw near Him.	191-193
But why Isaiah 10:21 also specified that the remnant of Jacob shall return unto the mighty God?	193-194
Not only those who escaped shall return to God, but also those who halted, lost and driven away.	194-195
Those who will stay with the Manalos shall be destroyed.	195
What should you do to be excluded from the name to be cursed?	195-196
Where will the escapees worship God?	196-197
Will God hear the escapees' prayers?	197
Israel the Sword shall become the shepherd of God's sheep.	198-199
I don't treat Bro. Felix Manalo as real enemy. Must be done by those who are enlightened:	200
Give a copy of this eBook to the ministers of your locale.	201
Come together those who escaped from the nations.	201-203

Send the eBooks to the brethren.	203-204
Send me an email.	204
If you already have enlightened ministers, verify the truth.	204-205
Appeal to the brethren.	205-206

Open Letter:

Why I was excommunicated?

Kapatid,

God called me from the womb. Meaning: I'm an Iglesia ni Cristo even when I'm still at the womb of my mother because she was already a member then. So I live by faith.

I believed that this is the true church. The ministers can clearly explain almost all the doctrines through the Bible. But there is one that is doubtful: The doctrine: "Bloc Voting;" Not only me doubted it, but also my sibling and even our mother who brought us up with this faith.

Despite this, we just followed because of this reason: Only one is unclear. That's why we just abstain from what we like—incidentally when we don't like their chosen candidates. This was my faith before. But I found out other wrong doctrines taught by Bro. Felix Manalo.

I suppose not to mind if that doctrine is really true or not. We are already accustomed to vote against our own will. But the changes that happened inside the church before the 1986 Snap Election became the reason why I studied the Bible and found out the truth.

In my research, I discovered that their bases in teaching "Bloc Voting" are not really strong. Because I believed that the ministers are better than me about the Bible, I asked Bro. Romulo Campania—the assigned minister then in our place here in Davao City, Philippines. I conjectured that they could answer my questions despite the strength of my proofs that such doctrine is not from God.

Bro. Campania could not answer and seemed to be evading my questions. We met before the Plebiscite (Pres. Cory Aquino's time). Instead of answering, he scolded me. Why he got mad when I just

asked? Because of what he did, my belief was more strengthened that such doctrine is not really from God.

I planned not to unite with them in the Plebiscite. I intend to do the real way of uniting with God; I will write: "It's up to God" on the ballot. This was done once before during the time of President Marcos. Since my questions were left unanswered, I still followed them because, coincidentally, I also like "Yes."

Before the Senatorial and Congressional Elections, (Pres. Cory's term) I tried again to question them. That time, I addressed the questions to the then administrator Bro. Joaquin Esquivel. I sent him a voice tape to avoid his anger like what Bro. Campania did to me. The reason that I just use a cassette tape is to evade meeting him face to face. What happened was worse. I was ordered to make a statement (salaysay) when my questions were not yet answered.

Because the purpose of the statement was not explained to me, I thought I will be excommunicated. That time, I'm already sure that such doctrine is not true. In my Biblical study, it was God who taught me and I was destined for a mission even I was at my mother's womb. So I did not fear. I immediately made the statement.

When the deacons visited one of my brothers, I asked them, "Why was I evicted immediately when I was just asking questions? I was immediately excommunicated when I have not done it yet." (My ejection was done before the election.)

This was the answer of the Head Deacon: "That's because you wrote Iglesia ni Manalo in your statement. It should be like this: "Bro. Esquivel, I'm confused with the doctrine of Unity..."

Why should I do that when I already said that on the tape? Even if I said, I no longer believe that doctrine, it does not mean that I will not really follow. If they can answer me, I will still submit myself with them. The truth: They ejected me because they cannot answer my questions. They cannot disprove my concrete evidence that "Bloc Voting" is not a commandment of God.

Why did I write Iglesia ni Manalo in my statement? I cannot turn my back from Christ. They don't have the right to eject me from

being an Iglesia ni Cristo. I did not do any transgressions that could cut me off from Christ. Is it evil to ask questions or in not believing false doctrine? Since that doctrine is only of Manalo and because I did not follow them anymore, they have the right to drive me out in the Iglesia ni Manalo—but not in the Iglesia ni Cristo.

This must be exposed: “Bloc Voting” is a blasphemous doctrine! It implies that Manalo is higher than God! Why? Members who commit adultery—God is disobeyed—are not immediately expelled. They are given the chance to amend their lives and repent for their sins. But if they will not unite—only Manalo is disobeyed—immediate eviction will be implemented.

By teaching that doctrine, Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) has taken the church. The name Iglesia ni Cristo is just a disguise now because the truth is: It is already the Iglesia ni Manalo! They are only deceiving us by using the name of Christ there.

So I just got out from the Iglesia ni Manalo to become a true Iglesia ni Cristo that only follows the commandments of God and Christ; Not a follower of the commandment of man like Manalo. I also realized that their doctrine that the head of the church is Christ is only a deception. In truth, the head is Manalo.

Because of or Bro. Felix Manalo’s transgression (Isaiah 48:1-8), this is the mission assigned to me by God: To bring back Jacob to Him or the church taken by Bro. Felix Manalo (Isaiah 49:1-6); And cut off the transgressors in Jacob or the members who will continue to follow his wrong doctrines (Romans 11:27).

I will not build another church and houses of worship because all the very same taken by Jacob will be brought back to God. That church is not of the Manalo’s so it must be repossessed and be returned to God. We could have never given tithes and thanksgivings (pasalamat) for the building of big churches if they explicitly declared that it’s Iglesia ni Manalo.

I wrote a book and printed two hundred copies. I sent them to the administrators in different places here in the Philippines. Only one responded, but retreated for he cowardice to stand for the truth.

He hid his identity as Cirilo A. Hizon.

This is the content of his letter I translated to English dated May 15, 2000:

Rey,

“I received a copy of your book, I already read all its contents. There are some parts of this that I don’t conform. However, the most important issue that you discussed—unity in election—coincidentally, we are of the same views. Like you, I’m also willing to stand in the side of the truth. I can greatly help you in your stand. If it’s necessary, I can leave my present position for our principle. The Bible says: ‘Therefore to him that knoweth to do good, and doeth it not, to him it is sin.’

“However, it is not just all easy, bro. There are so many things to consider—like the family, for example. I would like to discuss with you personally so we can create what appropriate moves to be done. This time, I cannot go there in Davao because I am still at my post. (Meantime, can I hide my identity?) Let’s talk in any available communication (telephone, internet, etc.) Or much better if you can come here in Manila. Write to me in this address so I will know what is your decision: CIRILO A. HIZON c/o...

“My real name is not Cirilo Hizon and this is also not my true address, however, I will certainly receive your letter.

“I will wait for your reply.”

Cirilo

I purposely did not write the address here. I don’t want his identity be traced if there are ministers who can read this book. I knew that he cowardice to stand for the right because he did not reply my letter. He chose the welfare of his family and continued preaching lies. He used words from the Bible but he did not do what is good even he knew that it’s a sin.

This is the reason why I’m pursuing my mission because it’s good. This is the appropriate time to reach out and awaken many members of the church worldwide.

Try to read all the contents of this book so you can examine the truth that can open your eyes, set you free and will bring you back to God now hiding His face from the house of Jacob (Isaiah 8:17 and Micah 3:4). So wake up kapatid. This is your trial how to be refined like silver and gold or how to become True Iglesia ni Cristo (Zechariah 13:9).

After reading all, the decision is yours.

The True Iglesia ni Cristo,

Reynaldo **Liwanag** Basco

Chapter 1

Unity in Voting: Blasphemous Doctrine of Jacob!

In Matthew 10:26, Lord Jesus said: “Fear them not therefore: for there is nothing covered, that shall not be revealed; and hid, that shall not be known.” This is the time to reveal what are covered and to be known what are hidden.

Before I prove to you that Bloc Voting is not a commandment of God, let’s go back what happened before that made way for me to discover the truth.

Before Snap Election:

1. While preaching inside the church, the ministers spoke about the black propaganda of Marcos against Cory—that she is a communist—when they did not do it before. We only vote for the candidates, but we don’t help in destroying their opponents.
2. Before: It is prohibited to campaign. But the church helped in campaigning for Marcos when shown on TV the story of the church members persecuted at Hacienda Luisita. They were oppressed by their coworkers because they refused to join the Labor Union. That happened in 1964 (Youtube: Ang Pag-uusig sa Hacienda Luisita), but was shown on TV in 1986 during the campaign period of the candidates. Known to many, the owners of Hacienda Luisita are the Aquinos and the Cojuangcos. So the motive is clear: Destroying Cory could help Marcos.

3. They even ordered us here in Davao City to campaign for Marcos and Tolentino to our relatives, friends and neighbors who are not church members. The excuse of a minister, Romulo Campania: “That was not campaigning.” Maybe, he said that because the order was only to give leaflets. Leaflet is a campaign material. When we are giving this, even if we don’t talk, it’s still an act of campaigning because the content was Vote Marcos-Tolentino!
4. The worst of all: They even prayed for Marcos? It seemed that Marcos is the only hope of the Iglesia ni Cristo? They told us before: “We don’t mind whether our candidates will win or loss. What’s important is to fulfill unity.” Why did they pray for Marcos to win?

After Snap Election:

They said before: “After the election, we must not talk anymore about the candidates—whether they win or loss.” But when Cory won, almost a year passed after the election, they were still denouncing her government inside the church while preaching?

I was born Iglesia ni Cristo. I never heard them before denouncing the government officials—specifically the President of the Philippines. I was only accustomed with their criticisms to priests and pastors of other religions.

Before the Plebiscite:

There was a minister of the Iglesia ni Cristo who prayed in the last campaign of “Yes” rally held in Luneta. My mother heard that on the radio. Because of that, I recalled the past local election here in Davao City.

A church member named Aqui was excommunicated. He was evicted not for disobedience to unity order. He went there and prayed at the stage of the unity-chosen candidates. I presumed he was ejected for campaigning.

I was also disgusted by what he did. But when I heard from my mother about the minister who prayed at Yes Rally, I pitied Bro.

Aqui. Does this mean that if a minister will do it, it's not bad? But if only a member, it's already bad? That's unfair! Where is righteousness in that doctrine?

Their Biblical bases about Bloc Voting:

1. God has part even when we vote.

Colossians 3:17:

“And whatsoever ye do in word or deed, do all in the name of the Lord Jesus, giving thanks to God and the Father by him.”

This is not a strong proof that God has part even when we vote. Why? If we will literally understand “whatsoever ye do in word or deed, do all in the name of the Lord Jesus,” voting can be included. But it's not happening and impossible to happen.

Smoking is a deed. I have seen many ministers smoking. Is it not unpleasant for ministers having vice? If that's literal, Bro. Felix Manalo could have ordered this to smoking church members: “When you smoke, do this all in the name of the Lord Jesus: You must smoke the same brand of cigarette!”

We should not be divided also in anything: in clothes we wear, actors and actresses we admire, in food, hobbies in sports etc.

What are the words or deeds that we must do in the name of the Lord Jesus?

If you will read Colossians 3:1-25, you will know the meaning of all in Colossians 3:17. Even if you will only read Colossians 3:2, you will already know what are the words and deeds we must do in the name of our Lord.

Please read Colossians 3:2:

“Set your affection on things above, not on things on the earth.”

The things above are for holiness. Therefore, the word **all** in reference with Colossians 3:17 are about all the words or deeds that

could sanctify our souls. Since voting is only an earthly thing, it's not necessary to do it in the name of the Lord.

2. There should be no divisions among us but only one in judgment.

1 Corinthians 1:10:

“Now I beseech you, brethren, by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all speak the same thing, and that there be no divisions among you; but that ye be perfectly joined together in the same mind and in the same judgment.”

They are declaring that the meaning of judgment: is to vote. They are supporting from the dictionary—vote is an expression of judgment. But if you will continue reading downward till verse 15, it's very clear that the specific meaning of judgment is not to select or vote?

Please read verses 11 until 15:

“For it hath been declared unto me of you, my brethren, by them which are of the house of Chloe, that there are contentions among you.

“Now this I say, that every one of you saith, I am of Paul; and I of Apollos; and I of Cephas; and I of Christ.

“Is Christ divided? was Paul crucified for you? or were ye baptized in the name of Paul?

“I thank God that I baptized none of you, but Crispus and Gaius;

“Lest any should say that I had baptized in mine own name.”

The brethren were not selecting when they said they are of Paul, of Apollos, of Cephas and of Christ? Apparently, they were divided in their faiths.

Those who said they are of Christ understood the doctrines of the apostles, while the others did not. That's why Paul asked them: “Is Christ divided? Was Paul crucified for you? Or were you baptized in the name of Paul? Because they preached Christ was crucified and

they were baptized in the name of Jesus.

So Paul corrected them in 1 Corinthians 3:4-5:

“For while one saith, I am of Paul; and another, I am of Apollos; are ye not carnal?

“Who then is Paul, and who is Apollos, but ministers by whom ye believed, even as the Lord gave to every man?”

This is the exact meaning of judgment not taken from the dictionary.

Ephesians 4:13:

“Till we all come in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fullness of Christ:”

Since it’s absolutely impossible for us not to be divided in other things, what Paul said, there should be no divisions among us: is in **unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God**—not Unity in Voting. They twisted the meaning of judgment to deceive us with their false doctrine!

3. The first church united and those who did not were punished.

Their bases are when the brethren agreed to sell their lots or houses (Acts 4:31-35) and when Ananias and Sapphira were punished by God (Acts 5:1-10).

Let’s study the unity that the first church did and compare to what are being done today.

Before: Who were involved in the discussions when they unite?

Acts 4:31-32:

“And when they had prayed, the place was shaken where they were assembled together; and they were all filled with the Holy Ghost, and they spake the word of God with boldness.

“And the multitude of them that believed were of one heart and

of one soul: neither said any of them that ought of the things which he possessed was his own; but they had all things common.”

They were assembled when united. Since the **multitude** that believed were of one heart and of one soul, they were included in the discussion. The majority decided.

Now: Who are discussing and deciding?

Allegedly, the way to have fellowship with God is 1 John 1:3:

“That which we have seen and heard declare we unto you, that ye also may have fellowship with us: and truly our fellowship is with the Father, and with his Son Jesus Christ.”

This means: We should unite with them to have fellowship with the Father and the Son. That’s why only the administrators select candidates and will decide for us. We are no longer included in the discussion.

This written in 1 John 1:3: **“That which we have seen and heard”** is not literal when used by ministers today. They are non-existing yet, so they have not seen and heard what happened before. It was only literal for the apostles who were alive in that time. Therefore, the meaning of **“seen and heard”** are those written in the Bible.

Is it true they are declaring to us what are written in the Bible?

Before: When they chose to replace Judas.

The process is in Acts 1:15:

“And in those days Peter stood up in the midst of the disciples, and said, (the number of names together were about an hundred and twenty,)”

Apparently, the disciples were included in the discussion because Peter stood up **in their midst**.

In Acts 1:23-26:

“And they appointed two, Joseph called Barsabas, who was surnamed Justus, and Matthias.

“And they prayed, and said, Thou, Lord, which knowest the hearts of all men, shew whether of these two thou hast chosen,

“That he may take part of this ministry and apostleship, from which Judas by transgression fell, that he might go to his own place.

“And they gave forth their lots; and the lot fell upon Matthias; and he was numbered with the eleven apostles.”

Two options were presented: Joseph and Matthias. The way used for electing is by means of lottery and the lot fell on Matthias. They believed that the Lord chose him. It was not an administrator's decision.

Now: Only the administrators decide.

They are liars! They are not declaring to us what are written in the Bible.

Before: When they elected seven brethren to serve tables.

Acts 6:2-5:

“Then the twelve called the multitude of the disciples unto them, and said, It is not reason that we should leave the word of God, and serve tables.

“Wherefore, brethren, look ye out among you seven men of honest report, full of the Holy Ghost and wisdom, whom we may appoint over this business.

“But we will give ourselves continually to prayer, and to the ministry of the word.

“And the saying pleased the whole multitude: and they chose Stephen, a man full of faith and of the Holy Ghost, and Philip, and Prochorus, and Nicanor, and Timon, and Parmenas, and Nicolas a proselyte of Antioch:”

The whole multitude had chosen the people to serve tables. Note Acts 6:3: “look ye out among you.” Could it happen that they were not divided when they themselves chose? If the brethren in Corinth were divided when they were indoctrinated before baptism, what more to the brethren who were instructed in that very moment to select seven among them?

Since the majority of the disciples were there, it is expected that not all know each other. Granting they knew each other, divisions still occurred. Could you place someone whom you knew but doesn't have the qualities needed? If they have the characters that Peter wanted? Naturally, you will only nominate those you knew qualified for the position.

It's also possible that the nominations exceeded to seven. Remember: When they chose the replacement for Judas, there were two choices. In verse 5: “And the saying pleased the **whole multitude: and they chose...**” the seven disciples. Therefore, even during their time, they had election. The majority of the brethren decided in electing their companions.

Why did they not teach this to us? Their system is against the Bible.

Before: When they chose men who will go with Paul and Barnabas.

Acts 15:22:

“Then pleased it the apostles and elders, with the whole church, to send chosen men of their own company to Antioch with Paul and Barnabas; namely, Judas surnamed Barsabas, and Silas, chief men among the brethren:”

The **whole church** was included in the discussion. The administrator did not decide on the chosen men to accompany Paul and Barnabas but the whole church.

Now: Why are we not included in selecting people?

We could have held mock elections nationwide: one or two weeks before the scheduled election. So we will know the pulse or the will of the whole church. Why is it that only Bro. Erdy (now Bro. Eddie) and the locale administrators are selecting and deciding for us?

If the decision is ours, no more politicians will draw near them. The political power they are boasting will be gone.

Before: Did all brethren follow Unity?

Acts 4:32:

“And the multitude of them that believed were of one heart and of one soul: neither said any of them that ought of the things which he possessed was his own; but they had all things common.”

Apparently, not all the brethren united but only the multitude of them who believed.

In Romans 14:1-2:

“Him that is weak in the faith receive ye, but not to doubtful disputations.

“For one believeth that he may eat all things: another, who is weak, eateth herbs.”

The food mentioned here is not literal. They are teaching us that the words of God are food for our souls. The believer that may eat all things means: he can accept anything even up to selling his possessions.

Can the weak accept to sell his possessions and give the sales to the apostles? Certainly, not! So he must be feed by herbs or by the words of God to make him strong in faith. And if he is already a strong believer, he can accept all things.

So this is written in Romans 15:1, 2 and 7:

“We then that are strong ought to bear the infirmities of the weak, and not to please ourselves.

“Let every one of us please his neighbor for his good to edification.

“Wherefore receive ye one another, as Christ also received us to the glory of God.”

The apostles are also receiving the **weak**. So it’s certain that not all the brethren united: because not all of them are strong in faith—some are weak.

Because of dissimilarity in Bible translations, even in English versions, I will cite here the Tagalog Bible used by Bro. Felix Manalo when he preached this doctrine.

Filipos 4:15-16:

“At kayo mga taga Filipos, nalalaman naman ninyo, na nang pasimulan ang evangelio, nang ako’y umalis sa Macedonia, alin mang iglesia ay walang nakipagkaisa sa akin sa pagkakaloob at pagtanggap kundi kayo lamang;”

This is the translation from Tagalog to English:

“And you Philippians, you knew, that in the beginning of the gospel, when I departed from Macedonia, any other church, none united with me in giving and receiving except you only;”

Since it is written that in any other church, none united with Apostle Paul, can we say that there are no strong believers from the Corinthians, Macedonians, Thessalonians, Ephesians and brethren in other places? That’s why in Acts 4:32: “the **multitude of them that believed** were of one heart and of one soul.” Not all believers united.

Now: Even the weak are obliged to unite because those who resist will be excommunicated.

It’s really not true that they are declaring to us what are written in the Bible. That 1 John 1:3 boastfully shown to me by Bro. Romulo Campania that I should follow them. Their system of unity is against the Bible and their bases are used in wrong way.

Before: Did they threaten brethren to be punished if they will not unite?

We cannot read that Peter warned them about punishment. Certainly, they were not forced nor threatened. What they did was in true spirit of Unity. There were meeting of the minds, agreement, and absolutely accomplished according to heart and soul.

Now: Do they force and threaten brethren to be punished if they will not unite?

Because of the penalty of excommunication and they are warning us that we will be punished by God if we will not obey, it is enforced by threatening. Is ruling by constraint in conformity with the teachings of the apostles?

1 Peter 5:1-3:

“The elders which are among you I exhort, who am also an elder, and a witness of the sufferings of Christ, and also a partaker of the glory that shall be revealed:

“Feed the flock of God which is among you, taking the oversight thereof, not by constraint, but willingly; not for filthy lucre, but of a ready mind;

“Neither as being lords over God’s heritage, but being ensamples to the flock.”

They contradicted again what is written. The rule they are using upon us is by **constraint** and as if they are lords because they are evicting those who will resist; And **filthy lucre**: their political power!

It should not be called unity at all. We are not involved in the discussions. It’s more appropriate to call it **dictatorial**. How can it be called unity when the system that they are using is by **dictation**? No meeting of the minds, agreement. God likes with cheerfulness and ready mind.

Of course, there are some who cheerfully follow if the candidates

dictated to us are incidentally what they liked. But some followed with heavy hearts.

Is it justifiable to make Ananias and Sapphira as example of punishment for disobeying unity?

Basing on the Bible: Not all brethren united, the rule was not by constraint and there were no people punished for disobeying unity. Examples: those who did not unite in believing or having faith with Jesus (1 Corinthians 1:11-15) and those who did not unite in giving Paul's needs (Tagalog Bible: Filipos 4:15-16).

Therefore, Ananias and Sapphira should not be used as basis for punishment of excommunication today. That was a different case. We knew what God wants when we follow: according to the will of our hearts.

That's why Apostle Paul wrote this in 2 Corinthians 9:7:

"Every man according as he purposeth in his heart, so let him give; not grudgingly, or of necessity: for God loveth a cheerful giver."

Is it acceptable if only part of the possessions will be given to the poor?

This is the truth—Luke 19:8-9:

"And Zacchaeus stood, and said unto the Lord; Behold, Lord, the half of my goods I give to the poor; and if I have taken any thing from any man by false accusation, I restore him fourfold.

"And Jesus said unto him, This day is salvation come to this house, for so much as he also is a son of Abraham."

In Luke 18:22, though Christ said to the rich man, "sell all that thou hast," that does not mean: absolutely all. It can also be acceptable even one half, one third or what the heart desires—like Zacchaeus who only gave **one** half.

During the discussion: If Ananias and Sapphira say that they will only give part of the sales of their possessions, why will God

punish them if that was the only purposed by their hearts?

The evil in what they did: At first, they agreed to sell their possessions and give all the sales to the apostles; But when already sold, they tried God and lied to Him by keeping some part of the sales. Therefore, Ananias and Sapphira as basis for punishment of excommunication must be wrong.

One more: That was similar to offerings, while what we are doing now is electing people? It's very doubtful and so far-fetched? The reason for this: they cannot find Biblical bases that some brethren were punished when they chose people. So they used Ananias and Sapphira even it's a doubtful and far-fetched example.

4. It is evil to have strife or vainglory.

Philippians 2:1-3:

“If there be therefore any consolation in Christ, if any comfort of love, if any fellowship of the Spirit, if any bowels and mercies,

“Fulfill ye my joy, that ye be like-minded, having the same love, being of one accord, of one mind.

“Let nothing be done through strife or vainglory; but in lowliness of mind let each esteem other better than themselves.

They did not understand what is written? Verse 2 refers to unity. In verse 3: “**Let nothing be done through strife or vainglory.**” Why are they using it? United in strife for the candidates when it should not be done?

What else? They are also using unity through **vainglory**. Only the administrators are choosing and deciding for us. We are not included in the discussion because they think: they are better than us. It's **vainglorious**! They completely opposed what is written.

This is their reason why it's evil to have strife, written in James 3:14 and 16:

“But if ye have bitter envying and strife in your hearts, glory not, and lie not against the truth.

“For where envying and strife is, there is confusion and every

evil work.”

If our partiality with the candidates is only through ballots—we will not go with their evil deeds, not engage ourselves in disputes and fight for them—what trouble will happen?

But even this written by James, they also contradicted. This is the proof: At the webpage of Philippine Center for Investigative Journalism, 29-30 April 2002, Iglesia ni Cristo: Church at the Crossroads:

“Hours before the rampage, Arroyo had appealed to INC leaders, who ordered their members to pull out of EDSA and return home. Many stayed, anyway. When the melee was over, four protesters were killed, three of them members of the INC; 113 were injured, including many church members.”

Before: It was strictly prohibited to conspicuously join campaigning even to the unity-chosen candidates. The punishment is immediate eviction if violated. But in 1986 EDSA Revolution, turbulence is already happening because of factions between candidates, why did Bro. Erdy let the brethren go there in Marcos’ inauguration at Malacañang?

That was the start when the church campaigned for a candidate (Marcos) and joined strife. That mistake may be excusable because there is no report about untoward incident to brethren when the pro-Cory group charged. Maybe they had already pulled-out and gone home when the protesters arrived at Malacañang.

The ministers’ denied that they order brethren to join the pro-Estrada demonstration. They blamed the hard-headed members because they did not heed the call to return home. But, whether they accept or not, Bro. Erdy was responsible for that tragedy. If he did not allow brethren to join that kind of strife in EDSA 1, it could not have been followed by EDSA 3. Also consider that there was no record, prior to EDSA 1, that the church members were involved in any kind of protest. In fact, even joining Labor Union is strictly prohibited.

In Daniel 2:21, it is written that **God removes kings and sets up kings** (Presidents in our time); And **He rules in the kingdom of men and gives it to whoever He will** (Daniel 4:25). Therefore, God placed Marcos and Estrada to power since they became Presidents. But it's also God that removed them through People Power in EDSA 1 and EDSA 2 respectively—due to their inequities.

Though Bro. Erdy and his ministers dislike Cory, as the successor of their beloved Marcos, I assumed that they eventually accepted her. Because (I don't know if this is correct) there is no protest action against Cory involving church members. **But with Erap, they showed that they are against God's will.** Why? Even he was already removed by God from power, they want to reinstall him by allowing brethren to join the pro-Estrada protesters?

The brethren, known to be peace loving people because they are forbidden to mingle in any kind of protest even with Labor Unions, suddenly joined the mob and some shed their blood just for siding with Erap; A man well-known to be an adulterer and convicted of plunder? **That disastrous doctrine and Bro. Erdy's negligence caused death and injuries to the brethren!**

That's abominating and embarrassing to the church and to Christ! Are they worthy to be called Iglesia ni Cristo? When they had given shame to the clean name of Christ? Is it right to implicate Christ's name in the evil works of Manalo and his followers? So it is just suitable for them to be called Iglesia ni Manalo—because Christ shall certainly disown them for their wickedness.

In accordance with Philippians 2:1, when should we unite?

- 1. If there be therefore any consolation in Christ.** How can we have consolation in Christ by voting? It's dubious!
- 2. If any comfort of love.** Do we love the candidates that we voted? But if we love them, why are they saying that we don't mind if the candidates will win or loss? It's confusing again. We should have wanted them to win if we love them? Their words are contradicting

because Bro. Erdy and his ministers really loved Marcos and Estrada—both well-known for their bad reputations.

3. **If any fellowship of the Spirit.** Is there any fellowship with God when we are voting? You will also be enlightened regarding this later.
4. **If any bowels and mercies.** Do we have mercy for the candidates why we are uniting for them? But if we just think, the candidates that we are voting are not brethren. There are no church members that run for candidacy. (Do you know some?) They are more merciful to the candidates who are not church members than the members. They are evicting those who will not follow. It also shows that they love more the outsiders than the insiders.

That's why that doctrine is not in truth and not in righteousness. The unity done before was for the benefit of the insiders. Now, outsiders are benefited. I couldn't think on what or how could this doctrine be justifiable. That's why I said to my voice tape sent to the administrator: "I leave this to you to think because I am confused about it." He was more confused than me. Where will they find answers from the Bible? Because they're not capable in disproving my strong evidence God taught me, he ordered me to write a statement. They ejected me.

5. We are uniting with God when we vote.

Is it true? Before I answer this, you need to know this first: Who is choosing higher powers?

Romans 13:1:

"Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God."

Although ordain means religious appointment, it could still be applicable even for other higher powers like kings because of this—written in Daniel 2:21:

“And he changeth the times and the seasons: he removeth kings, and setteth up kings...”

God really chose higher powers. He removes kings and sets up kings—today: the Presidents and heads of government. The historical Snap Election is an example. Even how Marcos maneuvered to stick to power, his efforts were in vain. God removed him and installed His choice: Cory—through People Power.

If we are really uniting with God when we vote, why not all that we voted won or placed to power? Why some are losers? Who is the god that we are uniting with?

These questions will disturb the minds of those liar ministers. These will reveal what they are covering for a long time and will expose their deception!

6. Unity in Voting glorifies God.

Bro. Campania angrily said, “Just submit to us because in our unity, we are glorifying God!”

“Because of that doctrine, the clean name of Christ is attached with blemished names of corrupt politicians during every election. Everybody knew that Marcos was voted by the Iglesia ni Cristo. So his name was attached to the clean name Christ. When he was removed from power, it seemed that Christ was also defeated. Is that the way of glorifying God and Christ? We have given shame to the clean name Christ?” When I said these, he kept silent.

Do you believe that God was glorified with that EDSA 3 tragedy because of siding with Erap? Is he worth dying for? Are the souls of Bro. Erdy and those who died for Erap be rewarded come Judgment Day?

Let’s ask God if that doctrine really glorifies Him?

This is His Answer in Isaiah 58:5-6:

“Is it such a fast that I have chosen? a day for a man to afflict his soul? is it to bow down his head as a bulrush, and to spread sackcloth

and ashes under him? wilt thou call this a fast, and an acceptable day to the Lord?

“Is not this the fast that I have chosen? to loose the bands of wickedness, to undo the heavy burdens, and to let the oppressed go free, and that ye break every yoke?”

With Bloc Voting, we are like fasting by denying ourselves of what we want; The ministers are not only **afflicting our souls, but also our hearts**—specifically when we don’t like the candidates they are dictating to us. We just bow our heads like bulrush. We can’t do anything. If we will not unite with them, we will be evicted. God said that the fast He had chosen: “to loose the bands of wickedness, to undo the heavy burdens, and to let the oppressed go free, and that ye break every yoke.”

But what have they done? Instead of removing that yoke, **they imposed upon us a heavy burden** we are carrying every election and plebiscite. Supposedly, no more burden and yoke today. Even in the time of the apostles, they already abolished heavy loads (Acts 15:28-29).

Now, they have put upon us that yoke—a heavy burden. Is that the way to glorify God? When they are contradicting what are written?

7. We are blessed if we are mocked in the name of Christ.

Why are we ridiculed and denounced by the people every election? It’s not in the name of Christ, **but of Manalo and the names of the candidates that we are voting!**

In fact, other detractors of the church could not dare to mention Christ’s name when they are denouncing us. Instead, they call us Iglesia ni Manalo to evade from dragging Christ’s name in that ridiculous doctrine. Some call us Iglesia ni Cristo ni Manalo which means: we are not really of Christ but of Manalo.

Therefore, we are being mocked not in the name of Christ but of Manalo!

The questions that shocked Bro. Campania:

I asked him: “Adultery is written many times in the Bible to be a big sin to God and is strictly prohibited by Him. **Why is it that fornicators are not immediately excommunicated?** They are given chances to amend their lives and repent for their sins. **But those who don’t unite**—not clearly written in the Bible—**why are they immediately excommunicated?** **When God is disobeyed, no immediate eviction?** **But if you (ministers) are disobeyed, immediate ejection is implemented?”**

He angrily said: “Why will you include that?!”

Why he does not want me to include those questions? They cannot find answers from the Bible. They are not capable to give justifiable reasons to that false doctrine!

The blasphemous doctrine of Jacob!

When Bro. Felix Manalo taught this doctrine, he unintentionally exalted himself higher than God because of the mentioned questions Bro. Campania did not want me to include.

The prophecy is written in Isaiah 48:1-8. Let’s start from verses 1 and 2:

“Hear ye this, O house of Jacob, which are called by the name of Israel, and are come forth out of the waters of Judah, which swear by the name of the Lord, and make mention of the God of Israel, but not in truth, nor in righteousness.

“For they call themselves of the holy city, and stay themselves upon the God of Israel; The Lord of hosts is his name.”

In verse 1, God called the house of Jacob to hear Him. Because Bro. Felix Manalo claimed that he was Jacob, he and his ministers are referred to. They swear by the name of the Lord and made mention of the God of Israel, **but not in truth, nor in righteousness.** They are swearing that such doctrine is a commandment of God and threatening us that God will punish us if we will not unite with

them. The prophecy was fulfilled. This is the reason why they cannot give righteous answers to my questions: **That doctrine is not in truth, nor in righteousness.**

Let's continue verses 3-5:

"I have declared the former things from the beginning; and they went forth out of my mouth, and I shewed them; I did them suddenly, and they came to pass.

"Because I knew that thou art obstinate, and thy neck is an iron sinew, and thy brow brass;"

"I have even from the beginning declared it to thee; before it came to pass I shewed it thee: lest thou shouldest say, Mine idol hath done them, and my graven image, and my molten image, hath commanded them.

From the beginning, it is strictly prohibited by God to make idols, graven images and molten images. He declared it to Jacob so that he could not make excuses. Even we don't have graven image in our church, Jacob molded it into our minds through teaching that we are uniting with God when we vote. But since not all we voted did not win or not placed to power, it's not true that we are uniting with God.

I learned that even the prohibition in making graven image, they had also violated? The prophecy was fulfilled: "...thou art obstinate, and thy neck is an iron sinew, and thy brow brass," because they built a brass statue of Bro. Felix Manalo! But I don't know the hard-headed transgressor who ordered the making of that statue. Is it Bro. Felix himself, when he was still alive? Or the son when his father was already dead?

There is a warning below the statue: "We must always remember the appointment of the messenger of God but the picture and he, must never be worshipped." But we did not know that we had worshipped him by believing that we are uniting with God when we vote. The ministers' reminder before we vote: "Upon writing on the ballot, no one could see you except God who is in heaven. He will

punish you if you will disobey unity.” So I feared and obeyed even sometimes I don’t like the candidates they dictated to me.

The hidden truth, the God that I feared and obeyed is not the true God but false gods of deception: Bro. Felix Manalo, Bro. Erdy and the administrators I united with in voting! Why is it that Bro. Felix is included when I was not yet qualified to vote when he was still alive? Because that doctrine is not a commandment of God but only of Bro. Felix Manalo; He is the false god that I feared and obeyed.

In verses 6-8:

“Thou hast heard, see all this; and will not ye declare it? I have shewed thee new things from this time, even hidden things, and thou didst not know them.

“They are created now, and not from the beginning; even before the day when thou heardest them not; lest thou shouldest say, Behold, I knew them.

“Yea, thou heardest not; yea, thou knewest not; yea, from that time that thine ear was not opened: for I knew that thou wouldest deal very treacherously, and wast called a transgressor from the womb.”

Because of that doctrine, Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) unintentionally became treacherous to God and was called transgressor from the womb. He and his administrators unknowingly exalted themselves to be gods. Although they did not teach that they are gods, they likened themselves to be God who chose higher powers. But because they are false gods, not all the candidates they have chosen won or were placed to powers.

Jacob’s transgression happened when God created new things, even hidden things from this time, not from the beginning.

What is the new thing created by God that caused Jacob’s treachery to Him?

In the book “Philippine Constitution and Government” written by

Antonio Orendain, Ph. D., Dean of Graduate Studies and Professor of Political Science, Far Eastern University, page 131, please read:

“Suffrage was then limited to male citizens, at least 23 years of age, and who owned real property. The Jones Law of 1916 liberalized somewhat the voting qualifications by reducing the age requirement to 21 years, but still restricting the privilege to male citizens who owned real property valued at no less than Five Hundred Pesos (P500.00), or who annually paid Thirty Pesos (P30.00) or more in real estate taxes. In the evolution of suffrage, this is described as limited manhood stage.

“Suffrage was still a monopoly of the male when Commonwealth Act No. 233, approved on Sept. 15, 1937, abolished the property qualification in favor of the literacy requirement. The law also required residence of at least one year in the Philippines, at this point in time, was in the universal manhood stage because more male citizens were permitted to vote in account of the abolition of property requirement.

“The Filipino women won the right to vote in 1937, thus putting the Philippines much ahead of other countries in reaching the universal stage in the development of suffrage.”

The right to vote then was limited to male citizens who owned real property valued at no less than Five Hundred Pesos (P500.00) and those who annually paid Thirty Pesos (P30.00) or more in real estate taxes. The mentioned amounts were already very big during that time. So we can say that only the rich voted then.

The Iglesia ni Cristo was established in the Philippines in 1914. Since 1914 until 1937, the brethren were not able to vote yet. Almost all members then were poor. Since Bro. Felix Manalo was also said to be poor, he has not voted then.

According to history, the election for the Philippine National Assembly during the Commonwealth Government was held in 1938. That's the time when the poor church members voted because the property requirement was already abolished.

I asked my mother if she remember there was unity in 1938. She was fourteen years old then and already a church member. She told me that she did not remember that such doctrine has been preached in the church. What she recalled was during the time of Quirino. According to the list of Presidents of the Philippines in Wikipedia, Elpidio Quirino served as President from April 17, 1948 until December 30, 1953.

Since Second World War happened in 1939 to 1945, maybe there was no election during the Japanese time. So it was probably in 1946 or 1947 when Bro. Felix Manalo preached that doctrine.

One of the new things that God created is the Election Law. Although we can read the brethren elected seven among them to serve tables (Acts 6:2-5), in the beginning, kings were not voted. There was no Election Law then.

Therefore, the true Iglesia ni Cristo was during the time Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) was not yet teaching that blasphemous doctrine. When he preached that, he unintentionally exalted himself like God. And he did not know, until he passed away, that he had taken the church. So that church is no longer of Christ but by Manalo. The name Christ there is only as disguise. The truth: That's Iglesia ni Manalo!

Rationale why it implied the Manalos had taken the church as their own:

1. **The system of succession in administration.** They made it like a family corporation because the successors are all Manalos.
2. **They did not live by the example of Christ.** Though the Son of God and King of Kings, he humbled himself to live as poor man. But the Manalos luxuriously live like kings through the offerings from the labors and sweats of the brethren.
3. **Their Deceptive Unity is for their self-interest and for the outsiders.** They deceived us to unite with them to attain political

power that they are boasting. Those benefited are the candidates who are outsiders. Though they have projects for the brethren? If they have, only few are benefited.

4. **They love more the outsiders than the insiders.** Just because of not voting outsiders, the insiders are excommunicated? The Lingap sa Mamamayan (Care for the Citizens) Medical Mission seems to be for the benefit of the outsiders also. They are offering free consultation and medicines. The hypocrites only care for nonmembers yet. But when already members, they don't mind anymore—whether they get sick or even die!
5. **They extravagantly spend church money.** The grandeur of houses of worship showed their lavish spending. Their priority is for their boastfulness—the marvelous temple. They keep on building extravagant churches. They live abundantly like kings while other brethren are hungry and suffering from intense poverty.
6. **They are ungenerous and greedy.** Though the church is very rich now, they are still craving for more money. Bro. Erdy was known to be the Billionaire Preacher. But where did he placed or spend the millions of money of the church? Are those intended only for building houses of worship? Why they did not even make projects to alleviate the plight of the very poor members? They have no mercy. Instead of helping, they want to collect money from them. Their ministers could still dare to remind: “Don't forget the tithes and deposits for thanksgiving.”
 The priority of Christ was for the welfare of the poor—not building houses of worship. That's why he commanded the rich believers then to sell their possessions and give to the poor. But the Manalos have no conscience. They live abundantly not minding the plight of the poor church members. They only like to receive but don't want to give—even they are already very rich.
7. **They discriminated poor brethren.** It was prohibited not to wear T-shirt without collars when entering the church. That was done

in Davao City during Bro. Erdy's time. I don't know if that was a nationwide order or still implemented now.

Before: There are only few church members. Almost all of us were poor. Our chapel then in Surigao, was a humble house. The walls and roof were made of dried palm leaves and bamboo floorings. Some of the brethren wore holed or ripped T-shirts, dilapidated shoes or slippers and even barefooted when they went to church. Because of the offerings of the poor members, big and amazing churches were built. When they already have so many members—with rich and famous people—the poor pioneer members were discriminated to enter the church. Why? What happened? Their riches changed their traits.

8. **Bro. Erdy built the Christian Era Broadcasting Service, New Era Univer-sity and other businesses.** If that church is really of Christ, why the names were derived from ERAño Manalo? Why not Iglesia ni Cristo Broadcasting Service and Iglesia ni Cristo University? It's not strange to build the broadcasting network. It helps in the propagation of the gospel. But their university really means business! No free scholarships even for the students who will study ministry; They must pay tuition fees. If they can't afford, they must look for sponsors for their study. I knew this from a church member who took up ministry there. He was not able to find sponsor, so he went home and shifted to education. Now, he is a Teacher. His ambition to become a minister was not fulfilled because of lack of money. If that university is really of Christ, is it believable that Jesus is greedy for money?

In my research, I have read in a webpage of Philippine Center of Investigative Journalism, 29-30 April 2002, Iglesia ni Cristo, 'A Powerful Union:'

“Over the years, the INC's business interests have grown. A search at the Securities and Exchange Commission showed that INC leaders are incorporators and board directors in companies engaged in education (New Era University Inc.; Global

Foundation for the New Era); medical care (New Era General Hospital; Felix Manalo Puericulture and Maternity Clinic), mass media (Scan Society of Communicators; Association of Christians in IT); manufacturing (Hi Mill Corporation); construction (Ramdustrial Corp.); and legal service (Christian Lawyers Association Foundation).”

Perhaps, the reason why they did not use Christ’s name in the mentioned companies, at least, they respected the Lord; They knew that **it’s obscene and unbelievable to hear that Christ is interested in monetary gain.**

Are these preachers, greedy for money and enriching themselves with earthly wealth, truly God’s people? The answer is in Psalms 73:12: “Behold, these are ungodly, who prosper in the world; they increase in riches.” They also violated Colossians 3:2: “Set your affection on things above, not on things on the earth.”

The aforementioned are proofs that the Manalos had indeed owned that church. Although they don’t say nor accept this, it cannot be denied because their deeds speak louder than words! But you could not see nor perceive what they are doing. You are blinded and deafened with your too much faith with the Manalos.

That’s why God commanded me to bring forth His servants.

Isaiah 43:8 and Isaiah 42:19:

“Bring forth the blind people that have eyes, and the deaf that have ears.

“Who is blind, but my servant? or deaf, as my messenger that I sent? who is blind as he that is perfect, and blind as the Lord’s servant?”

I know you abhor me for what I’m doing now. But I have to follow also this, written in Isaiah 58:1

“Cry aloud, spare not, lift up thy voice like a trumpet, and shew my people their transgression, and the house of Jacob their sins.”

Since the Iglesia ni Cristo are the people of God, you are the

blind and deaf servants of the Lord that I must bring out from the house of Jacob. I must also show you your transgression and to the house of Jacob or the Manalos their sins. Believing in Bloc Voting is a transgression with God because it's a doctrine of idolatry!

Is God still there at the house of Jacob or the Manalos?

Isaiah 8:17:

“And I will wait upon the Lord, that hideth his face from the house of Jacob, and I will look for him.”

Micah 3:1 and 4:

“And I said, Hear, I pray you, O heads of Jacob, and ye princes of the house of Israel; Is it not for you to know judgment?

“Then shall they cry unto the Lord, but he will not hear them: he will even hide his face from them at that time, as they have behaved themselves ill in their doings.”

The heads of Jacob are the administrators and princes are the ministers of the church. The house of Jacob is also the house of Israel because Jacob is also called Israel (Isaiah 48:1). Therefore, God is certainly gone with the Manalos! He will not answer their cries or prayers. He will hide His face because of their wickedness.

Why I must call that church Iglesia ni Manalo?

Isaiah 43:28:

“Therefore I have profaned the princes of the sanctuary, and have given Jacob to the curse, and Israel to reproaches.”

Before, I also get disgusted when called Iglesia ni Manalo. It hurts to hear. But now, I realized why the detractors of the church criticize us in the name of Manalo. They don't want to implicate Christ's name when denouncing us. They respect his clean and holy name. But others are really denouncing us in the name of Christ. Because of their false doctrine, Christ is disrespected. There's no wickedness in Christ. His name must not be involved with the wickedness of

Manalo. That's why it's better to disrespect Manalo than Christ.

It's only right to call that Iglesia ni Manalo. The punishment of God to Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) is to be given to the curse, reproaches and humiliations!

Warning:

The ministers will possibly deny that the Jacob mentioned in Isaiah 48:1-8 was Bro. Felix Manalo. To continually deceive you, they might say: that was Jacob the patriarch.

Reasons why the Jacob mentioned in Isaiah 48:1-8 could not be Jacob the patriarch:

1. He deceived his father Isaac—he disguised as Esau, his elder brother—so that he will be anointed to be the head of the house (Genesis 27:1-35);
2. Although it was also a sin, it couldn't be considered treachery to God but only to Esau;
3. He did not swear in the name of the Lord when he lied to Isaac that he was Esau;
4. We could not read in Genesis like he was obstinate, and his neck is an iron sinew, and thy brow brass;
5. What is written in Isaiah 48:6-7, God created new things, hidden things that Jacob did not know, things that were made in this time, not from the beginning. So it couldn't be Jacob the patriarch because he lived and died from the beginning—he was even ahead of Moses;
6. If we'll just thoroughly examine the written words in Isaiah 48:1-8: Jacob there had unknowingly transgressed to God when He created new things. While Jacob the patriarch knew that he intentionally sinned with Esau. He also knew that the eldest son will be anointed and that was not a new thing in their time.

Therefore, the Jacob taken by God from the ends of the earth (Isaiah 43:8-9) is certainly Jacob the treacherous and transgressor from the womb mentioned in Isaiah 48:1-8. The prophecy was fulfilled on Bro. Felix Manalo.

Chapter 2

Minister who challenged: Feared to Debate!

That was January 16, 1988 at about 2:00 p.m. I was surprised when I had seen three men called at our gate. I saw Bro. Julie dela Cruz. He is popularly known as Brad Julie here in Davao City. In English: Even in shortened or contracted form, bro. is still pronounced brother, no such word brod and brad means small nail. I think only the Filipinos are pronouncing bro. as brad. So let me use the Filipino spelling: Brad.

Even I knew Brad Julie for a long time (he indoctrinated me), I don't know his real name; Maybe Julio because Julie is a female name. His companions were two deacons. I did not expect them to visit me because I was already excommunicated then.

He asked me where my mother resides. Since she questioned the administrator Bro. Ricardo Avanilla (successor of Bro. Esquivel), she stopped going to church including all my brothers and sisters in Davao City. The administrator was not able to answer justifiably from the Bible. His answer has proven more that such doctrine is certainly not of God but was only invented. It will be revealed to you in the appropriate page of this book.

The deacons and deaconesses persuaded them back to church. My mother told them, they would only go back if a minister can answer their questions about Unity in Voting. Not just answers, but justifiably from the Bible. Since the administrator's answer was not acceptable, my mother wanted another minister—preferably not irritable. Because my mother mentioned to the brethren who visited them that her choice is Brad Julie, maybe some

relayed that to him. That's it. He asked me where my mother resides. So I brought them there.

It came out from Brad Julie's mouth that "Unity in Voting" is only Satan's deception!

While we were arguing on that doctrine, he challenged me to a public debate. Since I don't have experience yet in debating on stage, he presumed that I will not accept the challenge. He wanted me to sign for a formal debate. The theme he wanted was to prove that I'm Sugo (in English: sent by God).

I told him, "Let's prioritize Unity in Voting—Sugo later."

But Brad Julie refused. It did not puzzle me yet. Why he wanted Sugo when the topic we are arguing with that time is about Bloc Voting? To evade from the debate agreement, he changed the discussion.

He said, "So it should fit to you, what you said about adulterers, they are better than you!" His proof that those who don't unite are more evil than adulterers: "When Ananias and Sapphira disobeyed, they were killed."

His example is King David who committed adultery. He was not killed but only his begotten son for his sin (2 Samuel 12:19); While for Ananias and Sapphira punishment was instant death.

My mother and one of my sisters entered in the disputation. "That was not election, but offerings. Your example is so far-fetched. Unity in Voting, then the example for punishment: Ananias and Sapphira when that was only applicable to offerings or thanksgiving?"

This is his answer: "Just there you were deceived by Satan!" Brad Julie betrayed himself by his own mouth. He was trapped. He exposed their far-fetched example is only a deception—to frighten us and follow them. Sometimes, it's true that evildoer can be caught by his own mouth. Brad Julie damned himself by saying, "Just there you were deceived by Satan!"

Of course, we understood his insinuation: we were deceived because we don't believe their doctrine anymore. But why can't he

answer justifiably from the Bible? Instead of defending their doctrine, his answer proved more that it's only a deception by Satan!

Brad Julie's excuse why none were punished to those who did not unite with Apostle Paul:

I asked him: "Why are you using Ananias and Sapphira? We can read some who did not unite but were not punished?"

As evidence, I read Filipos 4:15-16: (I prefer the Tagalog version translated to English here because we used Tagalog Bible in our disputation.)

"And you Philippians, you knew, that in the beginning of the gospel, when I departed from Macedonia, any other church, none united with me in giving and receiving except you only;"

"For even when I was in Thessalonica, you sent me aid more than once when I was in need."

Because it's clear that only the Philippians united with Apostle Paul, I asked him if there were brethren punished from Thessalonians, Corinthians and other places?

According to him, the unity done by Philippians was different. That was not a commandment to be implemented to all. That was giving and receiving Paul as a visitor. He even gave an example why those who did not unite with Paul were not punished.

He asked me: "Like you, me, I'm here now. Your brothers and sisters, are they here?" I answered, "They are here," He asked again, "All of you are here?!" I replied, "Not all."

"O, that's what Paul meant! Will they be punished? When I did not instruct you all to unite in receiving me? That mentioned by Paul is not the unity that should be implemented to all, but when Paul went as a visitor that he should be welcomed," his defense.

I attempted to reason that the unity done when they sold their possessions was also offerings for other brethren. But he immediately interrupted. "No! That's different! So that you will know brad, in Philippians, even here, to make you see the difference there; So here

in 17, he (Paul) said these: ‘Not that I desire a gift...’ that was a gift, eh!”

Is Brad Julie’s answer justifiable? Let’s examine all his points.

1. How could be punished those who were not there when unity was done?

It’s very blurry, erroneous, illogical and showing that he does not understand Filipos 4:15-16. Why?

Please read again what is written:

“And you Philippians, you knew, that in the beginning of the gospel, when I departed from Macedonia, any other church no one united with me in giving and receiving except you only;”

“For even when I was in Thessalonica, you sent me aid more than once when I was in need.”

Brad Julie’s reasoning is contradicting and foolish. It’s very clear that those who were not there united in sending aid to Paul. When he departed from Macedonia, any other church, none united with him in giving and receiving, except the Philippians. Even the Macedonians themselves, who were there, near him, did not unite for him. He was about to leave Macedonia when he received the aid from the Philippians. And when he was in Thessalonica, the brethren near him did not give. Instead, those from afar—the Philippians—sent twice for his needs.

How can Brad Julie’s answer pass? “Punish them?” He meant my brothers and sisters who were not there when he visited my mother’s house. What happened was reverse or against his point?

The prophecy in Isaiah 29:13-14 was fulfilled:

“Wherefore the Lord said, Forasmuch as this people draw near me with their mouth, and with their lips do honour me, but have removed their heart far from me, and their fear toward me is taught by the precept of men:

“Therefore, behold, I will proceed to do a marvellous work among this people, even a marvellous work and a wonder: for the

wisdom of their wise men shall perish, and the understanding of their prudent men shall be hid.”

So we should not be surprised why Brad Julie could not understand anymore. Because of teaching a doctrine taught by man, his wisdom perished.

2. The unity by the Philippians is different from what was done by Ananias and Sapphira.

The Philippians united in giving aid to Apostle Paul. Those who sold their possessions also united in giving aids to other brethren (Acts 4:34-35). Those are the same deed of giving. What is the difference there? Those who gave for Paul's needs have done unity lightly. But those who donated the sales of their possessions to the brethren, carried out unity heavily.

Imagine: You will sell your house or lot and the sales will be donated to the brethren. Even you are the owner of the properties, you will only be given just enough for your needs.

If those who did not unite with Apostle Paul were not punished, those who did not unite in selling their possessions must not also be punished. That's a very heavy sacrifice! Because of defending that twisted doctrine, Brad Julie's brain has also been twisted. He does not know logic anymore.

3. The unity written in Philippians 4:15-16 was not a general commandment.

Brad Julie used a combination of Tagalog and English word “pan-general.” Meaning: To be enforced to all. Allegedly, the unity done by the Philippians was not a general commandment. That's why none was punished. That was voluntary. It's up to you if you will give or not. Or how much would you like to give.

But if it's a general commandment, you must give. You must sell your properties, whether you like it or not. Since Ananias and Sapphira are their basis for those who were punished, they are

implying that you must give all your possessions.

Is it true selling possessions was a General Commandment before?

Luke 18:22:

“Now when Jesus heard these things, he said unto him, Yet lackest thou one thing: sell all that thou hast, and distribute unto the poor, and thou shalt have treasure in heaven: and come, follow me.”

It’s clearly written. So I don’t disagree that it was commanded by Christ. But I’m opposing that it was a general commandment.

Where was the unity done when they sold their possessions?

In Acts 5:1-10, it is written what happened to Ananias and Sapphira when they hid part of the sales of their possessions: They were separately confronted by Apostle Peter. After hearing him, Ananias fell down and died instantly. Then Sapphira came. What happened to her husband was the same with her.

In Acts 5:11-12:

“And great fear came upon all the church, and upon as many as heard these things.

“And by the hands of the apostles were many signs and wonders wrought among the people; (and they were all with one accord in Solomon’s porch.”

Where was Solomon’s porch built? John 10:22-23:

“And it was at Jerusalem the feast of the dedication, and it was winter.

“And Jesus walked in the temple in Solomon’s porch.”

The unity of selling possessions was done in Jerusalem.

It was in the time of the apostles that the church spread out. Of all the apostles, Paul has reached and traveled so many places. Can we read about this in his books: like Romans, Corinthians,

Thessalonians, etc? None can be read.

How can he prove that such unity was a General Commandment? There is no Biblical basis that it was done in all places where the church reached? That was done only in Jerusalem then he called it “pan-general?” This only showed that his wisdom really perished. He does not even know the meaning of General Commandment because he has no Biblical basis. He is really a deceiver!

Why can't we read anymore about selling of possessions after the punishment of Ananias and Sapphira?

Acts 15:28-29:

“For it seemed good to the Holy Ghost, and to us, to lay upon you no greater burden than these necessary things;

“That ye abstain from meats offered to idols, and from blood, and from things strangled, and from fornication: from which if ye keep yourselves, ye shall do well. Fare ye well.”

Apostle James said that when they abolished the doctrine of circumcision. You might say that only circumcision was abolished. Selling possessions was not mentioned. Answer the questions acceptable to your own conscience. If you are a female, consider yourself as a male—even just for a while. Which is greater burden: To be circumcised? Or sell all your possessions and donate the sales to the poor?

So that doctrine is really not in truth and not in righteousness. The first church has already removed heavy burdens. While now, they put again heavy burden upon you? They are contradicting the Bible.

Brad Julie threw me his boomerang proof but hit him back.

He instructed me to read Philippians 2:1-3. While I was reading the words in verses 1 and 2, he kept on saying, “Okay...Okay...Okay...” He ordered me to repeat reading verse 2 which refers to unity and

proudly said, “You see?!” which seemed that such was a solid proof that he was right.

When I read verse 3, he kept on saying, “Yan!... ‘Yan!...and ‘Yan!” ‘Yan is a contracted Tagalog word **iyán**, which means: **that** in English.

In Philippians 2:3:

“Let nothing be done through strife or vainglory; but in lowliness of mind let each esteem other better than themselves.”

After reading, he proudly asked, seemingly that what I read was a glaring one hundred points that he is right. “O! What’s in your mind now?! Wha-what’s in your mind now?!”

Then I answered: “It is stated here, unity must not be done through strife. Why unite in voting or siding for the candidates?

His Okay, okay, okay and ‘yan, ‘yan, ‘yan became “Hin...!” He was not able to finish what he wanted to say. Why he suddenly stopped? The boomerang that he threw me hit him back. For a while, he thought he got 100 points, then he realized that was zero. The unfinished Tagalog word is **hindi** which means **no** in English.

“It is also stated there that it should not be used through vainglory. Why did they use it vaingloriously? They are choosing for us because they are considering themselves better than us. You see? They contradicted!” I said to them looking at the two deacons—his companions.

Why only the administrators are choosing candidates?

This was his example: “When I asked him (he pointed at one deacon), he gave me. When you asked, you were not given. What will you say? Beautiful? We are both iglesia, I will shout: Good! You will shout: Bad?!” One deacon interrupted, “Where are the brethren now?”

What he said showed that even a candidate has done evil to us, as long as he has done good deeds to them, we must still vote for that candidate. Instead of giving justification to their system, his example

has proven more that it's vainglorious! Again his boomerang hit him.

It's vainglorious because only the administrators have the right to choose. They consider themselves better than us. They are also afflicting the feelings of brethren. Even the candidates have done evil deeds to us, they will still dictate to vote for them? It's very painful for our hearts to do that. That's why we cannot blame other brethren who chose to be excommunicated rather than follow them.

Then they are saying that we have no candidates? What about those they have chosen? Those deceitful ministers made us fools.

Brad Julie's words exposed that they are really receiving bribes.

"But this, I will show you the argument: That I said to you earlier, that you will shout, evil. Me: beautiful. I was given, e! You were not, e!" These were the exact words he used in Tagalog: "Binigyan ako, e! Ikaw, hindi, e!" He was trapped again and betrayed by his own mouth. They are really receiving bribes from the candidates. I believe that it's true. I have Biblical proof for this. You will know later at the appropriate page.

His words implied that it's not only vainglorious: only the administrators choose candidates; Sadistic: they are hurting the feelings of brethren by enforcing them to vote for the candidates that they don't like; But also selfishness are used in their system.

It's also evident that their self-interest is their priority. Even if we or our relatives are victims of violence of a certain candidate, they don't mind. What's important to them, they were given by that candidate!

Implementation of unity is not enforced.

I said this to him: "It would have been better if the chosen candidates were agreed upon by all." One deacon asked, "How to agree?"

"That's by enforcing!" I said. This was Brad Julie's shocking answer,

“The proof that you are not forced, you are driven away!”

That’s it? Is that a proof that we are not forced? Or proof of being forced? His reasons are absolutely twisted! From his words, he was caught: They are truly receiving bribes from the candidates and enforcing is used because they are driving away or ejecting those who resist.

What happened to the mind of Brad Julie? Analyze his statement well, if the implementation of unity is not by force. The truth that we are not forced is when there is no punishment even if we don’t follow. But when there is punishment, we are forced to obey.

The answer for the deacon who asked “How to agree?” the details is written in my printed book. For example: If we are holding mock elections. But still, there’s no certainty that all candidates that we will vote will surely win—particularly in Barangay Elections because there are so many names of unknown candidates to be chosen. So I did not include it here. A twisted doctrine cannot be corrected. The only way to correct it is to totally break it!

This is the will of God written in Isaiah 58:6:

“Is not this the fast that I have chosen? to loose the bands of wickedness, to undo the heavy burdens, and to let the oppressed go free, and that ye break every yoke?”

Since that is a heavy burden, oppressive for you seemed captive and tied with that false doctrine, you must be free. Loose the bands of wickedness. That yoke must be broken!

Excommunication is only a scarecrow.

There are some brethren that are really excommunicated. They are reading circulars about this. But the truth: Not all who don’t follow their wicked doctrine are being punished.

There was a church member, an Electronics Engineer that I talked regarding unity. He was also doubtful with that doctrine. He told me that he frankly said to the administrator then, Bro. Esquivel: he will not unite with them in the Plebiscite for the Ratification of

the Constitution (Feb. 1987). His preference was “No.” He would not mind even if they will excommunicate him. But Bro. Esquivel did not do it to him.

When he said that, I doubted to believe him. Before: I really thought that punishment is strictly enforced to those who will not obey. I’m an example. I have not done it yet when I was excommunicated.

One day a deaconess incidentally passed by in front of our house. My wife asked her if they were already excommunicated (my wife, my mother, brothers and sisters). That day was more than two years since they did not go to church and two elections that they did not unite in voting anymore. The deaconess was slightly glad assuming that they might go back to church again. She answered. “You are not yet excommunicated.”

So I believe now the story of that church member. Excommunication is just a scarecrow for those who could be threatened. If you are not afraid to disobey—especially if you are many in the family, educated or professionals and rich that could give big amount of money in Thanksgiving—they will never excommunicate you. The proof is my wife, my mother, brothers and sisters who did not follow that false doctrine anymore after my excommunication. They also stopped from going to church then. So they were not able to hear the circular about my eviction. A church member (my mother’s neighbor) told my sister that she heard the circular that I was excommunicated due to disobedience to unity.

My eviction was a foolish judgment of administrator Bro. Esquivel. Why did he punish me of a violation that I did not yet commit? There was no election yet when I sent my letter to him? It was a voluntary termination of my membership because I wrote that I’m getting out of the Iglesia ni Manalo. Though I did not follow their bluff doctrine anymore, do they still have the right to punish me when I’m no longer a member of their church?

So that circular was only to get even with me—to destroy my reputation to the brethren.

Even in eating and in drinking, we must be united.

Brad Julie read 1 Corinthians 10:31:

“Whether therefore ye eat, or drink, or whatsoever ye do, do all to the glory of God.”

Then he said, “Even in eating, you might get mad at me if I will say: ‘Don’t eat corn, palay only.’ Here, our aim, you should place in your minds, that we are doing this not for people.” Palay is a Tagalog word which means: not milled rice.

Brad Julie apparently claimed that the meaning of what he read is literal. That we should unite even in the food we eat. If this is really literal, why is it that we are not united in eating and in drinking? Why we can eat corn and rice? Not milled rice (palay) for Brad Julie?

How can we glorify God in eating and drinking?

1 Corinthians 11:20-22:

“When ye come together therefore into one place, this is not to eat the Lord’s supper.

“For in eating every one taketh before other his own supper: and one is hungry, and another is drunken.”

So they were advised by Apostle Paul in 1 Corinthians 11:33-34:

“Wherefore, my brethren, when ye come together to eat, tarry one for another.

“And if any man hunger, let him eat at home; that ye come not together unto condemnation. And the rest will I set in order when I come.”

This is the way to glorify God when we eat and drink; Not Brad Julie’s way that we should not eat corn, rice only.

His reasons are absolutely twisted, not in righteousness and treating us like idiots with his foolish answers. He knew that it’s not

literally meant, because we are not united in eating and in drinking. Actually, we can eat any food that we like except food offered to idols, strangled animals and blood (Acts 15:29).

They are only using that false doctrine for their boastfulness and self interest.

In the Editorial of Pasugo entitled “Inggit lang ang Dahilan,” (Envy is the Only Reason) that was published in June 1965, page 2, last paragraph, this is written (in Tagalog):

“Dahil sa katakatakang lakas na ito sa pulitika ng Iglesia ni Cristo, hindi nahihiyang ito’y suyuin o ligawan ng dalawang pangkatin sa pulitika sa nakaraang eleksiyon. At sa taon-taon kapag dumarating daw ang kaarawan ng Tagapamahala ng Iglesia ni Cristo, ay makikita ang mahabang pila ng mga pulitiko na nagbibigay-galang dito. Ito ang tunay na dahilan kung bakit minamasama ng mga Katoliko ang pagkakaisa ng Iglesia ni Cristo. Kinaiingitan nila ito! Gayong nasa minority lamang ay makapangyarihan sa pulitika at sinusuyo ng malalaking pulitiko, samantalang silang nakararami ay walang kapangyarihan at ayaw suyuin ng mga kandidato. Wala kaming magagawa. Ito ang kapalaran namin. Salamat sa Dios na siyang tunay na may gawa nito.”

In English:

“Because of this marvelous power in politics by the Iglesia ni Cristo, the two political parties were not ashamed to coax or court it in the last election. And in every year, when the birthday of the Administrator of the Iglesia ni Cristo is coming, a very long line of politicians can be seen giving respect to him. This is the true reason why the unity of the Iglesia ni Cristo is bad for Catholics. They envy this! When only in minority, is powerful in politics and wheedled by big politicians, while they, the majority, has no power and are not coaxed by the candidates. There is nothing we can do. This is our fate. Thanks to God the real maker of this.”

They even used the name of God in boasting their political power? Is it true that God is the real maker of this?

This is His answer, Isaiah 45:19:

“I have not spoken in secret, in a dark place of the earth: I said not unto the seed of Jacob, Seek ye me in vain: I the Lord speak righteousness, I declare things that are right.”

He did not tell the seed of Jacob to seek Him in vain. Certainly, it is not from God because He speaks righteousness and declares things that are right. Just because of candidates that are not church members, some brethren are being ejected? So that doctrine is not in righteousness and also in vain to God.

That doctrine is only valuable to the ministers who are choosing the candidates. They have big benefits from that. According to Brad Julie, “I’ve been given, e! You’re not, e!”

For the purpose of edification not for destruction is being done by the administrators.

Brad Julie read the judgment made by Apostle James when they abolished the doctrine of circumcision (Acts 15:19) and removed the heavy burdens and yokes (Acts 15:28).

Brad Julie is very foolish by using bases that will self-destruct him. Why? Did they follow Apostle James? Did they abolish also that false and worthless doctrine? Did they remove that yoke, a heavy burden? Their deeds are contrary to the Bible. Then, he used James as his example when they did not follow him?

His wisdom has really perished because his reasons are all twisted. Instead of using his Biblical bases to prove him right, those only proved him really wrong. What they are doing are contrary to his proofs.

Should we submit ourselves to the administrator and follow whatever he wants us to do?

Is this a commandment of God?

1 Peter 2:13-14:

“Submit yourselves to every ordinance of man for the Lord’s sake: whether it be to the king, as supreme;

“Or unto governors, as unto them that are sent by him for the punishment of evildoers, and for the praise of them that do well.”

Even if only the kings and governors are mentioned, the administrators can also be included provided that his ordinance is for the Lord’s sake. So I’m not against it. But does this mean that whatever he wants us to do, we must still follow?

In Daniel 3:13-28, Shadrach, Meshach, and Abed-nego disobeyed King Nebuchadnezzar’s command to worship his golden image. So they were cast into the midst of a burning fiery furnace. But God saved them from the punishment of the king.

Therefore, even if God commanded us to submit ourselves to kings, governors and administrators, if the ordinance is for other gods or images, we must not follow anymore even if we have to be punished.

So you should not follow that deceptive unity anymore. It’s not for the Lord’s sake but only for their boastfulness and self-interest. The truth: You are only uniting with fake gods—the administrators who likened themselves to God! They also chose higher powers. But since they are only false gods, not all they have chosen are placed to power.

Brad Julie evaded when I was about to prove that Bloc Voting is a doctrine of idolatry.

I asked him, “It isn’t that God chose higher powers? He answered me a sarcastic question, “He will write unto the ballot?” I explained to him: “We are just tools to write (on the ballots), but it is God’s will whoever He wants to win there (in the election). He sets up and removes kings written in Daniel 2:21.”

“That’s to His people” his answer. “Today, His will do not prevail?” I asked him again. “You mean to say, those outside are still His?” His

answer made it appear that it's not God that sets up kings outside or those who are not His people.

So I asked him again, "Setting up kings there is not of God anymore?"

"That is His will. But does not mean that He wants whatever, but there is government or ways by the laws that we follow today," his confusing explanation. It seemed that he agreed that God's will prevails, but it also seemed not.

Because his answer was confusing, I repeated the question, "It isn't that we are just tools in voting, but it's really God that is placing (high powers, I mean)?"

"It could be. It could be." his answer.

Because I'm sure that God certainly sets up higher powers for there are so many bases from the Bible, so I asked him, "Why is it that it could be?"

This was his evasive answer: "Satan also have kings. Do you not believe that Satan has kings? Who ordered Christ's arrest? Is it not king? You mean, that king, was placed by God to captivate Christ?"

"That's also the will of God because, this... Look: Is it not that Nebuchadnezzar was an evil king? There is written that he is also a servant of God?" I also asked him with questions.

"It could be: partly," his answer. "So, it's absolutely God's will whoever He will place, that's why He also dethroned Nebuchanezzar," I said.

He did not listen anymore and changed the topic. When I tried to bring it back to that point, he said anything just to evade from answering yes. To stop me from bringing back to that topic, he told me to stop talking and he did not listen anymore when I asked him again about that.

Maybe, he already knew my next questions if he answered yes. My next questions will expose it's not really true that we are uniting with God when we vote, but only to the false gods—the administrators who chose candidates!

That's why he did his best to evade. He was afraid that if his

companions could hear, they might also believe that it's a false doctrine.

Proofs that God sets-up and removes higher powers even outside.

Since Brad Julie tried to show that it's not God that places higher powers outside, here are the proofs that he is again wrong.

Daniel 4:25:

"...till thou know that the most High ruleth in the kingdom of men, and giveth it to whomsoever he will."

It is clear that God rules in the kingdom of men and gives it to whomever He will. That's why He places and removes kings (Daniel 2:21). What's the proof that even outside of God's people His will still prevails in placing and removing kings?

Jeremiah 25:9:

"Behold, I will send and take all the families of the north, saith the Lord, and Nebuchadrezzar the king of Babylon, my servant..."

We knew that Babylon is not God's people, but we can read that king Nebuchadnezzar was sent and also a servant of God—even he was an evil king. He was placed and was also removed from power by God.

Jeremiah 25:11-12:

"And this whole land shall be a desolation, and an astonishment; and these nations shall serve the king of Babylon seventy years."

"And it shall come to pass, when seventy years are accomplished, that I will punish the king of Babylon, and that nation, saith the Lord, for their iniquity..."

The ministers are teaching us that we, the Iglesia ni Cristo, are the people of God. This means that those who are in other religions are outside or not God's people.

Let's go back to Brad Julie's statement: "You mean to say, those outside are still His?" If it is not God that sets up kings outside,

why should we unite in voting for them? It showed that we are not uniting with God when we vote because those that we are electing are outsiders?

Even in whatever angle we look: That God sets up kings even outside and vice versa, their doctrine is still wrong.

Because Pilate ordered Christ's arrest, it is not God who placed him to power?

The answer is in John 19:10-12:

"Then saith Pilate unto him, Speakest thou not unto me? knowest thou not that I have power to crucify thee, and have power to release thee?"

"Jesus answered, Thou couldest have no power at all against me, except it were given thee from above..."

And from thenceforth Pilate sought to release him..."

If Pilate's will prevail, he wanted to release Christ. Even if he said that he had the power to release, his will was not fulfilled. In Christ's answer, he declared that it's really God that gives power to those who already have powers—like Pilate. But there are limitations to the powers that were given to them. The power to release Christ was not given by God to Pilate.

Why must Christ be captivated and crucified?

The answer is in Matthew 26:54 and 56:

"But how then shall the scriptures be fulfilled, that thus it must be?"

"But all this was done, that the scriptures of the prophets might be fulfilled..."

Written here clearly declares that it's God's will prevailed—to fulfill the scriptures. What Brad Julie said, king of Satan failed again. I don't believe that he really does not know about this. His reasons were just to evade from answering yes to my questions. He already knew what's next if he agreed. He will be trapped that their doctrine is really false. What they are covering shall be revealed and what

they are hiding shall be exposed.

Trap questions which will expose that Bloc Voting is a bluff doctrine.

Bro. Rudy Cabahug, a minister, visited my mother's house. I also asked him the same questions I asked to Brad Julie. I read to him the proofs from the Bible that it's certainly God who chose higher powers (Romans 13:1 and Daniel 2:21). He nodded as sign of agreement.

So I followed-up with these questions:

"Is it not that you are teaching us that in our Unity in Voting, we are uniting with God? Since God chooses higher powers, all that we are voting should be winning? Why others are losing or not placed to powers? Who is that god that we are uniting with?"

These questions are like effective traps that will captivate them. They can no longer escape that they are guilty of teaching false doctrine. They are only bluffing as that we are uniting with God when we Vote. It should not be called Bloc Voting but "Bluff Voting," because they are always deceiving you every election. They are threatening that we will be punished by God if we will disobey; but only the false gods (the administrators) are punishing.

But like some criminals, despite proven guilty and sentenced by the court, they still refuse to admit their guilt. Bro. Cabahug also obstinately stood with his lies. He denied the wrongdoing by this question: "Who said to you that we are uniting with God when we vote?"

Is it true they are not teaching us that we are uniting with God when we vote?

In a copy of "Pasugo" that was published in November 1967, written by Bro. Inocencio Santiago, entitled "Hindi Pakikialam sa Pulitika Ang Pagkakaisa ng Iglesia ni Cristo" (Bloc Voting of the Iglesia ni Cristo is not Meddling with Politics), page 9, fourth paragraph, first column, this is written in Tagalog:

“Papaano malulubos ang pagkakaisa ng Iglesia ni Cristo? Dapat makipagkaisa sa Dios at kay Cristo. Ito ang lubos na pagkakaisa ng Iglesia ni Cristo—ang pakikipagkaisa sa Dios at pakikipagkaisa kay Cristo. Paano nagagawa ng Iglesia ni Cristo ang pakikipagkaisa sa Dios at kay Cristo?

‘Yaong aming nakita at narinig ay siya rin naming ibinabalita sa inyo, upang kayo naman ay may pakikisama sa amin; oo, at tayo’y may pakikisama sa Ama, at sa Kaniyang anak na si Jesucristo.’ (1 Juan 1:3)

“Nagagawa ng Iglesia ni Cristo ang pagkakaisa sa Dios at kay Cristo sa pamamagitan ng pakikisama o pakikipagkaisa sa Tagapamahala o sa pamamahala ng Iglesia.”

In English:

“How to complete the unity of the Iglesia ni Cristo? Should unite with God and Christ. This is the complete unity of the Iglesia ni Cristo—by uniting with God and uniting with Christ. How does the Iglesia ni Cristo unite with God and Christ?

‘That which we have seen and heard declare we unto you, that ye also may have fellowship with us: and truly our fellowship is with the Father, and with his Son Jesus Christ.’ (1 John 1:3)

“The Iglesia ni Cristo have done unity with God and Christ through fellowship or by uniting with the Administrator or church administration.”

They are clearly teaching us that we are uniting with God and Christ in our Unity in Voting. Why Bro. Cabahug denied it? Because he can no longer defend that their doctrine is really false. He lied again that they are not teaching that to us. He stood still with his lies!

So it has been exposed that they are only using 1 John 1:3 to deceive us. It’s not true that we are uniting with God when we vote. As proof: Not all that we are voting are winning—some are losing.

The proof that their doctrine is really false, Brad Julie feared the debate.

He refused the theme “Unity in Voting” when it was the topic that we are arguing that time. He wanted me to prove that I’m sent by God or Sugo. “No! Unity first, Sugo later,” I said.

My mother and sister interrupted: “That unity only. Other doctrines are clear.” That time, they did not completely believe yet that Bloc Voting is not a commandment of God. Even my evidence are very strong, they still hoped that the ministers have answers for those. That’s why they said other doctrines are clear. They did not know yet that the doctrine “Last Messenger” is also wrong.

“Let’s prioritize Unity in Voting!” I said. “No! Even this is your house Brad!” He was so mad.

For his obstinate refusal on that theme, my mother perceived him. Since she was then enlightened that such doctrine is really wrong, with a tone of challenging, she asked Brad Julie, “I thought, both of you will sign?”

Since I have no experience yet in public debate, I also don’t know the contents of a formal debate agreement, he supposedly should write because he was the one who challenge me. So I asked one of my sisters to look for bond papers and asked Brad Julie to write.

He adamantly refused. That’s why I also perceived him then. Why he does not want Unity in Voting? He certainly knew: he has no chance of winning. I perceived that his challenge was only to shock me. He thought: I will be afraid to face him because I don’t have any experience yet. So he used psychological warfare on me.

When it did not shock me, he was frightened. Since I already know basing on his reactions, that he is the sure loser, I said to him, “Aaaaa! No chance to win!” That’s the time my mother completely believed that I was right. Unity in Voting is really a false doctrine. So she said, “Aaaa! It’s really wrong!”

To prove also to others who were there (my brother, sisters and Brad Julie’s companions) that it’s a wrong doctrine, I told them: “That’s a lie! Look: He does not want to fight!” (In a debate, I mean.)

After that, I countered and incite him to accept my challenge. But he really refused. Brad Julie angrily shouted at me. He already wanted a different kind of dispute—fistfight.

Satan went down and entered into Brad Julie.

When they were about to leave with the second deacon, because the first was already ahead of them waiting outside, Brad Julie pulled me. “Let’s go outside, brad!” he said trembling with anger.

When they were newly arrived at my mother’s house, he boastfully said to us, that he can answer all questions and he could even make Satan go down. What he said was fulfilled. Satan really went down and entered into him.

Is that an example of Christ’s minister? If unable to fight in a debate will recourse to a fistfight? What kind of minister is that? He should have been a boxer because he is fond of challenging fistfight. Since Christ will disown that kind of liar minister, his alliance is with Satan—the father of liars.

His expression was always Satan: “I could make Satan go down; that’s where Satan deceived you; and king of Satan.” So he was really able to get Satan down. But he entered into him because of what he did. One of the deacons was better than him because he pacified Brad Julie. But he, a minister supposed to be calm, provoked trouble.

Why Brad Julie feared the debate?

Presumably, he was afraid that the audience might also be enlightened that their doctrine is only a deception by Satan.

In the formal debate: I will have my time—10 or 15 minutes per stand?—to lay down all my evidence without interruptions. Unlike in my mother’s house: He did his best to block, interrupt and complicate what I will say to stop me from talking. Those were done intentionally because his two deacon companions might also doubt their doctrine—if I was only given the chance to prove my points. But I’m sure, that it was marked in their minds: Why did Brad Julie refused the debate when in the beginning he incited the challenge?

The brethren believe that no minister of the church retreats in a debate. The two deacons will never forget that disputation. Maybe until now, they are still wondering: Why Brad Julie retreated? If I had gave in to the theme of the debate that he wanted—about the Sugo—he would have been more embarrassed. You will know why after reading Chapter 3.

The administrator's answer implied that Bloc Voting is only their invented doctrine.

My mother, together with my brother and his wife, went to the house of the administrator Bro. Ricardo Avamilla. She asked why they are using far-fetched example for punishment (the couple: Ananias and Sapphira). He reminded my mother because she got old with the church. She might have forgotten it.

This is the story:

Before: There were brethren who were employed with 7-up (soft drink). They were terminated without due cause. To sympathize with them, all church members were requested not to drink 7-up anymore. Because they followed, at least, it affected the sales of 7-up in the entire Philippines. So the terminated brethren were reinstated.

Instead of answering why far-fetched, the answer was also far-fetched: 7-up? We understood the point of his story. He meant that unity helped brethren return back to their work. By analyzing his answer, it implied that they only invented that doctrine.

Upon realizing the good effect of that unity for the brethren employed with 7-up, they invented the doctrine Bloc Voting. They look for Biblical bases that they could possibly use; They taught us that it's a commandment of God; Used 1 John 1:3 so that we will unite with them; And because they were not able to find brethren punished for not following unity, they used far-fetched example (Ananias and Sapphira) to frighten us and follow their invented doctrine.

Even if there are some good results in unity, what they did is still evil. They are using the name of God for their lies. So Brad Julie's words, which are supposed to be defensive for their doctrine, became offensive. He betrayed himself by saying, "Just there you were deceived by Satan." Like Brad Julie, Bro. Avani also betrayed himself because his story gave us the hint that Bloc Voting is ungodly and only their invented doctrine.

Unity in Voting: A beautiful disguise of wickedness.

Unity: It's a very beautiful word to hear. If there's trouble, "Let's unite!" It means understand each other, be calm, don't make anger prevail and don't use violence to maintain peace and order. If there are those who need sympathy—like victims of earthquake, volcanic eruption, flood and other calamities—"Let's unite!" This means we should sympathize and help for their needs.

But the specific meaning of **unity** is impossible to achieve. Not all people could be of one mind. Each man has individual beliefs and principles in life. The faith of a Christian is different from a Muslim. Even those who believed that they are Christians are not united in their beliefs—there are Catholics, Protestants etc.

Despite this, even if not all people participated in a deed provided done by the majority, it could already be called Unity. This word will only be beautiful if done for the benefit of the multitude and if it's for goodness and righteous goals.

But this Unity in Voting: Is this for the benefit of the majority of church members? Is it for goodness and righteousness? Unity in Voting: Also good to hear. But if we dig into its depth, it's only a beautiful disguise of wickedness.

The wickedness behind the beautiful name Unity in Voting:

1. **Dictatorship prevails.** Only the administrators of the church have the right to choose candidates. Whoever they chose will be

dictated to us to be voted—even we don't like their choices. So it should be appropriate for them to be called Dictators.

2. **Not for the benefit of the majority.** Only the Dictators are benefited because the politicians are giving them bribes. Maybe some brethren are also benefited but some met hardships in life because of the third reason.
3. **Some loss their jobs, others are evicted and cause disaster to brethren.** Other brethren who follow that false doctrine are willing to sacrifice their jobs; Incidentally, if their boss is a candidate, but his name will not be included in the list to be voted by the church. They can do this believing that such doctrine is a commandment of God. Others are excommunicated. That's also a disastrous doctrine because three church members died in EDSA 3.
4. **Not in righteousness.** Proof of this, they cannot disprove my strong evidence that their doctrine is a big lie. Just because of not voting outsiders or not Iglesia ni Cristo, the insiders are excommunicated? What kind of doctrine is that? It is not in righteousness but also worthless to God because voting cannot sanctify our souls.
5. **There is punishment for disobedience.** This is the proof that such doctrine is only a disguise. It was only made beautiful by the word unity. It should not be called unity because the implementation is by force and threatening for there is punishment. It is true that some are following with cheerfulness. But how about others who just follow because of fear to be excommunicated? They are like the drivers who go with "Transport Strikes" even against their will, because of fear that their vehicles will be destroyed or they will be beaten hard by their co-drivers leading the strike. Is it still beautiful to hear or is it appropriate to call it unity when there is a threat to those who will not follow?
6. **They are using God's name for wickedness.** This is the worst of all. Those liar ministers were not even aghast in using God's name

in their deceptive doctrine? They used 1 John 1:3 so that we will unite with them and taught us that in our unity, we are uniting with God. But because not all candidates that we are voting are winning, it appeared that we are not really uniting with God but only to the false gods—the administrators who are choosing candidates.

Chapter 3

Doctrine of Last Messenger: Humiliating and Self-insulting!

Because I discussed in my printed pamphlet “Proofs that there are other Messengers of God,” the administrator, Bro. Ricardo Avanilla and a minister, Bro. Rudy Cabahug answered through their radio program (DXED), “Ang Dalan sa Kinabuhi” (The Path of Life).

Even when I was young, I always read “Pasugo.” I admired the ministers before because they can answer almost all questions through the Bible. All the Biblical bases of wrong doctrines taught by the priests or pastors of other religions were discussed in “Pasugo.” But they were able to disprove those bases through counter evidence also written in the Bible.

But in my case now, they did not discuss even one of my many proofs that Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) is not the Last Messenger. They hid or they did not read my evidence. They did not discuss each point and issues of my Biblical bases because those were very strong and very clear.

So they got away from the issues and points.

Their illogical reason why Bro. Felix Manalo is the last messenger?

This is their illogical basis—Isaiah 41:9:

“Thou whom I have taken from the ends of the earth, and called thee from the chief men thereof, and said unto thee, Thou art my servant; I have chosen thee, and not cast thee away.”

“The promise of God will become a lie if there is other messenger,” the inconsistent reason of Bro. Cabahug. Just because of the written: not cast thee away, is it enough to conclude that there is no other messenger? Where is the logic of that reasoning?

To the logical-minded person, after reading not cast thee away, the next question that comes to mind is why was he not cast away? Not that no other messenger because he was not cast away.

Why was Jacob not cast away?

Isaiah 43:1:

“But now thus saith the Lord that created thee, O Jacob, and he that formed thee, O Israel, Fear not: for I have redeemed thee, I have called thee by thy name; thou art mine.”

Jacob was not cast away because God redeemed him. After knowing that Jacob was not cast away because God redeemed him, does it conclude that God will not send messengers anymore? Not still, but the conclusion that he has transgressed with God but was not cast away because he was redeemed. But the ministers will possibly deny that it was not Bro. Felix Manalo the Jacob mentioned treacherous to God and called transgressor from the womb in Isaiah 48:8.

Please study if their denial could pass.

Isaiah 48:9:

“For my name’s sake will I defer mine anger, and for my praise will I refrain for thee, that I cut thee not off.”

So the Jacob who was not cast away in Isaiah 41:9 is the same Jacob that will not be cut off by God in Isaiah 48:9. Since Jacob was not cast away or was not cut off despite his treachery and transgression with God, does these follow that there is no other messenger? Not still. The next logical question would be: Because he was redeemed, does it mean that God will not punish him anymore? You will know the answer later.

The basis with a little logic why they taught Jacob was the last messenger of God.

Maybe they have forgotten this.

Isaiah 41:4:

“Who hath wrought and done it, calling the generations from the beginning? I the Lord, the first, and with the last; I am he.”

This could mean: God will be with the first messenger and also up to the last. So there is a little logic because of the word last. Not like their illogical reason: No more messenger because Jacob was not cast away. But this is not a strong proof that Jacob was the one referred to as the **last**? Although it's true that God has taken Jacob from the ends of the earth, he could never be the last messenger.

Why the doctrine Last Messenger of God is humiliating and self-insulting to Jacob's ministers?

That's not only very erroneous, inconsistent, ridiculous but also a foolish doctrine! It's appropriate for them to be called by oxymoron: an expression with contradictory words: a phrase in which two words of contradictory meaning are used together for special effect e.g. “wise fool.”

Therefore, all ministers teaching that false doctrine are “wise fools.” After knowing, not only you will believe that such doctrine is humiliating and self-insulting, but also the ministers themselves.

In Isaiah 43:28:

“Therefore I have profaned the princes of the sanctuary, and have given Jacob to the curse, and Israel to reproaches.”

The truth written here is the way of God to profane the princes of the sanctuary—the administrators and ministers—and to curse Jacob or put to shame the Iglesia ni Manalo.

What is the meaning of Angel or Messenger?

Here is a sarcastic explanation of a minister: In a copy of “Pasugo”

published in November 1973, written by Bro. Teofilo C. Ramos, Sr. entitled “Ang Anghel o Sugo sa Apoc. 7:2-3” (The Angel or Messenger in Revelation 7:2-3), page 23.

This is written in Tagalog:

“Angel sa literal ay Sugo: (1) Isang nasa kalagayang maluwalhati, isang utusan ng Dios, Gen. 24:7; Dan. 3:28; Gawa 12:8; (2) Isang ministro o pastor ng isang iglesia, Apoc. 2:1.”

In English:

“Angel literally Messenger: (1) One in glorious state, a servant of God, Gen. 24:7; Dan. 3:28; Acts 12:8; (2) a minister or pastor of a church, Rev. 2:1.”

O, what? Did you see or get why it’s sarcastic? If not yet, please just continue reading. You will know later.

Is it true that Bro. Felix Manalo is the Angel in Revelation 7:2-3?

“And I saw another angel ascending from the east, having the seal of the living God: and he cried with a loud voice to the four angels, to whom it was given to hurt the earth and the sea,

“Saying, Hurt not the earth, neither the sea, nor the trees, till we have sealed the servants of our God in their foreheads.”

But if you will read the continuation, verses 4 until 8, he could never be the angel who ascended from the east. Please read:

“And I heard the number of them which were sealed: and there were sealed an hundred and forty and four thousand of all the tribes of the children of Israel.

“Of the tribe of Juda were sealed twelve thousand. Of the tribe of Reuben were sealed twelve thousand. Of the tribe of Gad were sealed twelve thousand.

“Of the tribe of Aser were sealed twelve thousand. Of the tribe of Nephthalim were sealed twelve thousand. Of the tribe of Manasses were sealed twelve thousand.

“Of the tribe of Simeon were sealed twelve thousand. Of the tribe of Levi were sealed twelve thousand. Of the tribe of Issachar were sealed twelve thousand.

“Of the tribe of Zabulon were sealed twelve thousand. Of the tribe of Joseph were sealed twelve thousand. Of the tribe of Benjamin were sealed twelve thousand”

This is another mistake of the teachings of Bro. Felix Manalo. He claimed what is written that could never be for him. This is also like their basis in 1 Corinthians 1:10 that allegedly the meaning of judgment: is to vote. But if we will continue reading until verse 15, their meaning is indeed wrong. Could it happen that he is the angel ascending from the east when those who were sealed were Israelites from the Middle East? That's not the new Israel (Philippines) because those who were sealed are the ancestors of Jesus!

When the messenger of God died, is his successor can also be called messenger?

Who succeeded Moses when he died?

The successor of Moses was Joshua. God chose him even the time when Moses was still alive (Joshua 1:1-2 and Numbers 27:15-18).

But could Joshua be also called messenger of God?

The answer is in Joshua 1:9:

“Have not I commanded thee? Be strong and of a good courage; be not afraid, neither be thou dismayed: for the Lord thy God is with thee whithersoever thou goest.”

Since God commanded Joshua, he is also a servant or messenger of God.

Before ascending to heaven, who were sent by Christ?

The apostles were sent by Christ to continue the work he started (John 20:21). But can we call the apostles also messengers of God?

2 Corinthians 5:20:

“Now then we are ambassadors for Christ, as though God did beseech you by us: we pray you in Christ’s stead, be ye reconciled to God.”

Apostle Paul clearly said: We are ambassadors for Christ; He did not say that he is the only ambassador or sent by Christ, but including his companions who preached the gospel.

In 2 Corinthians 8:23 Apostle Paul wrote this:

“Whether any do inquire of Titus, he is my partner and fellow helper concerning you: or our brethren be inquired of, they are the messengers of the churches, and the glory of Christ.”

Therefore, the apostles are also called messengers of God.

In Haggai 1:13:

“Then spake Haggai the Lord’s messenger in the Lord’s message unto the people, saying, I am with you, saith the Lord.”

Also in Mark 1:2:

“As it is written in the prophets, Behold, I send my messenger before thy face, which shall prepare thy way before thee.”

Some servants of God are called kings or governors and whatever title they may be called. But the messenger, when referred as sent by God, is limited only for those who speak His words or relay His messages—like Haggai, John the Baptist, the apostles who preach the gospel and His Son Jesus.

When Bro. Felix Manalo died, who succeeded him?

In a copy of “Pasugo” published in August 1971 written by Bro. Inocenco Santiago, entitled “Ang Karapatan ng Namamahalang Kapalit ng Sugong Nagsimula ng Organisasyon” (The Right of the Administrating Successor of the Messenger that Started the Organization) page 36, in Tagalog:

“Sino ang magpapatuloy sa gawaing pagtatatak na sinimulan ng Kapatid na Felix Manalo na siyang sugo sa huling araw? Ang kaniyang kasama sa pagtatatak. Dapat nating malaman na ang sugo sa huling araw ay hindi nag-iisa sa pagtatatak kundi siya’y may mga kasama.”

In English:

“Who will continue the work of sealing that was started by Bro. Felix Manalo the messenger of God in the last days? His companions in sealing. We must know that the messenger of God of the last days is not alone in sealing but he has companions.”

The writer explained the meaning of sealing: This is by preaching the gospel (Ephesians 1:13) and it will be done until the end of the earth (Matthew 13:39). According to him, even the messenger who started the work of sealing died, there are some who will continue the work he left—his companions in sealing.

If Bro. Felix Manalo was the last messenger, are his companions in sealing have the right to continue his work?

Let one of his companions in sealing or in preaching the gospel answer this: Bro. Teofilo C. Ramos, Sr., through his article in “Pasugo” published in December 1973, “Ang Hindi Mapaparisang Katangian ng Tunay na Iglesia ni Cristo” (The Unmatchable Quality of the Iglesia ni Cristo), page 20, in Tagalog:

“Maipangangaral ba ang tunay na ebanghelyo ng mga hindi tunay na sugo ng Dios?”

“Sa Roma 10:15 ay ganito ang sabi ng Santong Sulat:

‘At paano sila magsisipangaral kung hindi sila mga sinugo?’

“Kung sa bagay, ang talatang ito’y tanong. Hinahanap ang dahilan kung bakit sila nangangaral ay hindi sila sinugo ng Dios. Nakakapangaral ba sila? Ang totoo’y nakakapangaral, nguni’t hindi mga salita ng Dios o hindi tunay na ebanghelyo ang naituturo.”

In English:

“Can the true gospel be preached by the not truly sent by God?

“In Romans 10:15 this is said by the Holy Scriptures:

‘And how shall they preach, except they be sent?’

“Anyway, this verse is a question. Seeking the reason why they are preaching when they are not messengers of God. Can they preach? The truth is they can preach, but not the true words of God or not the true gospel are being taught.”

If they already realized why they should be aptly called “wise fools,” while reading this part: the hair of their skin might stand (goose bumps) because of mixed emotions. They will fear God, pain in their hearts and self-humiliation. Then, they will ask themselves these questions: If Bro. Felix Manalo is the only messenger, why am I preaching? How can I preach when I am not sent by God?

This is the reason why that doctrine is very erroneous and sarcastic to them—humiliating and self-insulting. They did not know that such doctrine is hurting them? Although they were companions of the messenger, they are not messengers of God?

One of the meanings of Angel or Messenger is minister or pastor of a church.” Why they are not messengers of God when they are ministers of the church?

I pitied most Bro. Teofilo C. Ramos, Sr. A companion of the messenger of God in preaching the gospel; He wrote the meaning of Angel or Sugo; And asked, “Can they preach?” But he, himself was not able to see and think that the question he answered is **self-afflicting**. They really deserved to be called “wise fools!”

It’s very hurting on his part thinking that he was a companion in sealing by the Messenger of God, grew old in preaching until his death (Nov. 27, 2006), has no right at all to preach because Bro. Felix Manalo is the only sugo? None other because they said he was the last.

According to Bro. Santiago: The mission of sealing or preaching the gospel is up to the end of the earth (Matthew 13:39). Bro. Ramos wrote: those who are not truly sent by God cannot preach the true gospel. Therefore, we should not run out of messengers of God until

the end of the earth. Because only those sent by God have the right to preach the true gospel.

What's the use of staying in that church without messenger of God?

Teaching that Bro. Felix Manalo was the last messenger is really wrong.

Please read 1 Peter 2:13-14:

“Submit yourselves to every ordinance of man for the Lord’s sake: whether it be to the king, as supreme;

“Or unto governors, as unto them that are sent by him for the punishment of evildoers, and for the praise of them that do well.”

Granting that Bro. Felix Manalo was the last messenger: It will appear that God favors more the outsiders. Why? Because they will never run out of sent by God—like kings and governors—while the Iglesia ni Cristo, the insiders or the people of God, have no more messenger to come?

Only the messengers of God have the right to preach the gospel and can save your souls in Judgment Day. Therefore, they are more important than kings and governors. Then, that kind of servant of God will be gone? Or none will come anymore because Bro. Felix Manalo was the last? That’s a foolish doctrine!

Since the last messenger is already dead, what’s the use of staying in that church when there is no more left there? Could his companions save your souls when those who are not messengers of God, not the true gospel are being taught?

I leave it to you to answer these questions.

Could the messengers of God commit mistakes?

When I talked with Bro. Campania, he said, “Bro. Erdy could not commit mistakes.” When I said to Brad Julie that Unity in Voting is a false doctrine and it’s the fulfillment of the prophecy in Isaiah 48:1-8, one of the deacons interrupted: “But he was sent by God?”

He meant to say: Bro. Felix Manalo is infallible because he was a messenger of God.

Bro. Campania believed that Bro. Erdy is not capable in making mistakes when he is not a messenger of God. What more with his father—the sugo? His belief is wrong. Bro. Felix Manalo has committed many mistakes. In Isaiah 48:8, God said: “...I knew that thou wouldest deal very treacherously, and wast called a transgressor from the womb.”

The embarrassing mistake committed by Bro. Felix Manalo!

In my research with the Internet, I have read that it was in 1922 when he proclaimed himself to be God’s last messenger. I need not to mention the websites here because almost all are detractors of our faith.

However, learning that Jacob was treacherous to God and was called transgressor from the womb (Isaiah 48:8), I believe that it’s true. When Bro. Felix Manalo started preaching the Iglesia ni Cristo, what he taught was correct; He is just a messenger of God. But when he proclaimed himself the “last,” he committed another transgression.

That mistake shall put himself, his son Bro. Erdy, his grandson Bro. Eddie and his ministers to shame!

Other messengers of God who committed mistakes:

1. Moses and Aaron

This was commanded to them in Numbers 20:8:

“Take the rod, and gather thou the assembly together, thou, and Aaron thy brother, and speak ye unto the rock before their eyes; and it shall give forth his water, and thou shalt bring forth to them water out of the rock: so thou shalt give the congregation and their beasts drink.”

Did they follow accordingly to the instruction of God?

The answer is in the next verses 9-11:

“And Moses took the rod from before the Lord as he commanded him.

“And Moses and Aaron gathered the congregation together before the rock, and he said unto them, Hear now, ye rebels; must we fetch you water out of this rock?

“And Moses lifted up his hand, and with his rod he smote the rock twice: and the water came out abundantly, and the congregation drank, and their beasts also.”

What they did was reverse: They spoke to the congregation, not unto the rock and smote it with his rod. Aside from committing mistake in following the instructions, he added another by smiting the rock not commanded by God. As their punishment, they were not allowed to bring the congregation to the land promised to the children of Israel. Moses viewed the land from afar, but was not able to enter there (Numbers 20:12 and Deuteronomy 32:51-52).

2. The prophet from Judah

1 Kings 13:17:

“For it was said to me by the word of the Lord, Thou shalt eat no bread nor drink water there, nor turn again to go by the way that thou camest.”

But he disobeyed God because of another prophet in 1 Kings 13: 18-19:

“He said unto him, I am a prophet also as thou art; and an angel spake unto me by the word of the Lord, saying, Bring him back with thee into thine house, that he may eat bread and drink water. But he lied unto him.

“So he went back with him, and did eat bread in his house, and drank water.”

The biggest mistake committed by the prophet from Judah is he

preferred to listen more to the prophet like him than God. Because of that, he was given to the lion that devoured and killed him (1 Kings 13:26).

So Bro. Campania's assertion that Bro. Erdy cannot commit mistakes is a lie! Even the prophet sent by God, like Moses, greater than his father Felix, made mistakes. So Bro. Erdy had committed more errors because he was not a messenger of God.

Could the prophecies in the Bible be avoided to happen?

Certainly, Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) already read Isaiah 48:1-8, but he was not able to avoid the prophecy. Maybe he assumed that his past sins were referred there—when he was not yet called by God; And also because it is written that he was not cast away (Isaiah 41:9) or not cut off (Isaiah 48:9) and was redeemed by God (Isaiah 43:1). Perhaps, he presumed that whatever sin he had done before was already forgiven because redeemed is in past tense.

Since God's prophecy is definitely fulfilled, what is destined shall come true even the prophesied already knew the eventualities. As example for this is the prophecy of Jesus to Peter. Jesus told Peter in advance that he will deny him thrice. Though Peter said, even if he will die with Christ, he will never deny him, the prophecy of Christ was still fulfilled (Matthew 26:34-35).

Are there secrets in the mysteries of God hidden to His messengers?

Even it is written in Matthew 13:11 that Christ said to his disciples that the mysteries of heaven were given to them, not all Messengers of God know all His secrets.

Daniel did not understand some that is written.

In Daniel 12:5-7, he saw and heard vision about the end of wonders.

In verse 8-9:

“And I heard, but I understood not: then said I, O my Lord, what shall be the end of these things?”

“And he said, Go thy way, Daniel: for the words are closed up and sealed till the time of the end.”

Even Christ don't know about the day and hour of Judgment.

Matthew 24:35-36:

“Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away.

“But of that day and hour knoweth no man, no, not the angels of heaven, but my Father only.”

Chapter 4

Proofs there are other Messengers of God:

You will know why they could not read these to you inside the church. They are intentionally hiding those because if they will read, they themselves will expose that Last Messenger is absolutely wrong doctrine. But before I prove this to you through the Bible, you should know the next subject first.

God's way in placing Leaders of His people.

Saul was chosen as first king (1 Samuel 9:16-17).

God declared to prophet Samuel that Saul is His chosen king of Israel. But because Saul turned his back away from God, he did not follow what was commanded to him (1 Samuel 15:2-11), the kingdom was ripped and was given to his neighbor better than him (1 Samuel 15:28).

The kingdom was given to David (1 Samuel 16:1, 12-13).

But David also transgressed with God; He got Beth-sheba and ordered the murder of her husband—Uriah (2 Samuel 11:2-15 at 12:9). God's punishment to him: His child on Beth-sheba died (2 Samuel 12:15-18). Although David committed that sin, God did not repossess the kingdom; It was inherited by his son when he died.

Solomon inherited David's kingdom (1 Kings 2:1-12).

But Solomon also has done evil deeds in the eyes of the Lord. He possessed seven hundred wives who were princess and three hundred mistresses. His wives misled his heart. He worshiped false gods (1

Kings 11:1-10). So God got angry with him.

This was his punishment—1 Kings 11:11-13:

“Wherefore the Lord said unto Solomon, Forasmuch as this is done of thee, and thou hast not kept my covenant and my statutes, which I have commanded thee, I will surely rend the kingdom from thee, and will give it to thy servant.

“Notwithstanding in thy days I will not do it for David thy father’s sake: but I will rend it out of the hand of thy son.”

“Howbeit I will not rend away all the kingdom; but will give one tribe to thy son for David my servant’s sake, and for Jerusalem’s sake which I have chosen.”

When Solomon died, His son Rehoboam succeeded him (1 Kings 11:43). But like his father, Rehoboam also transgressed with God.

Jeroboam was sent to repossess the kingdom from Solomon’s son.

But Jeroboam also made false god. So God repossessed the kingdom (1 Kings 14:8-10). Every time the kings commit evil deeds in the eyes of God—making false gods—He repossessed the kingdom through others that He sent.

God also sent His servant to repossess the church taken by Jacob.

Since Jacob also made a doctrine of idolatry, God sent another messenger to repossess the church. Because it was not fulfilled in the time of Jacob’s son Bro. Erdy, probably, it is likened to King David: The church will also be repossessed at the time of Jacob’s grandson.

In the discussed doctrine of Last Messenger in Chapter 3, I was able to prove that it’s absolutely wrong—even without citing Biblical bases. Now, when I lay down my proofs, the more it will exposed that the last messenger is not Bro. Felix Manalo.

Supposing this is boxing between me and the ministers of the

Iglesia ni Manalo: The verses from the Bible shall serve as our punches.

This is the first punch that will rock the doctrine last messenger! Isaiah 58:1:

“Cry aloud, spare not, lift up thy voice like a trumpet, and shew my people their transgression, and the house of Jacob their sins.”

There is another one sent by God. He is also a messenger because he was sent to show or reveal the message of God: about the transgression of His **people** and to the house of Jacob their sins. Who are the people of God? The Iglesia ni Cristo. Who is **Jacob**? Bro. Felix Manalo.

What else did God command to that messenger?

Isaiah 43:8:

“Bring forth the blind people that have eyes, and the deaf that have ears.

This is the second punch that shall knock down that doctrine! Isaiah 42:19:

“Who is blind, but my servant? or deaf, as my messenger that I sent? who is blind as he that is perfect, and blind as the Lord’s servant?”

If the blind and deaf servant here is called by God His messenger, it’s more worthy to call messenger of God that mentioned in Isaiah 58:1. Not only one messenger will be brought forth but many because God said, “Bring forth the blind people” (plural). Hence, there are many messengers of God in the last days.

So the fight is over! With only two punches, that doctrine has been knocked-out already. It cannot stand anymore.

Therefore, Bro. Felix Manalo is not the last messenger of God; Not me because even the blind and deaf ministers are also messengers. Who is the last messenger? You will also know this in the proper page.

Since I already used Isaiah 58:1 twice, I will not include this in

my proofs that there are other messengers of God.

1. God's way to repossess the church taken by Jacob.

Haggai 2:22:

“And I will overthrow the throne of kingdoms, and I will destroy the strength of the kingdoms of the heathen; and I will overthrow the chariots, and those that ride in them; and the horses and their riders shall come down, every one by the sword of his brother.”

The mentioned throne of kingdoms, chariot riders and horse riders are the church administration of every place and countries where the church has reached. But everyone will be overthrown by the sword of his brother—not in flesh but in faith—also an Iglesia ni Cristo.

2. God commands the Sword to smite the shepherd.

Zechariah 13:7-9:

“Awake, O sword, against my shepherd, and against the man that is my fellow, saith the Lord of hosts: smite the shepherd, and the sheep shall be scattered: and I will turn mine hand upon the little ones.

“And it shall come to pass, that in all the land, saith the Lord, two parts therein shall be cut off and die; but the third shall be left therein.

“And I will bring the third part through the fire, and will refine them as silver is refined, and will try them as gold is tried: they shall call on my name, and I will hear them: I will say, It is my people: and they shall say, The Lord is my God.”

What are these two parts that shall be cut-off and die?

Acts 2:39:

“For the promise is unto you, and to your children, and to all that are afar off, even as many as the Lord our God shall call.”

The three parts of the people are: first (you), the Jews; second

(children), the Gentiles; and third (afar), the Iglesia ni Cristo now in the last days. This is written in the book “Isang Pagbubunyag sa Iglesia ni Cristo” (An Exposition of the Iglesia ni Cristo), Chapter 16, Pages 129-131. Why the two parts were cut-off and died is because the church was apostatized. But the third part shall be left, be brought through the fire, be refined as silver and be tried as gold. In other words, you will be tried: How to become true Iglesia ni Cristo?

Although it's clearly written in Matthew 2:31 that Jesus was the shepherd that was smitten, Zacariah 13:7 is not only for him but also for other shepherds of God. Even it is written in singular—shepherd—it's also for shepherds (plural).

The sword was commanded by God to smite His shepherd, refers to the head shepherd of the church now—Bro. Eddie. Since there are so many shepherds or ministers under him, so all shall be smitten by the sword.

Note that God said in Zechariah 13:7: “Awake, O sword, against my shepherd, and against the man that is my fellow...” Therefore, the ministers there ought to be messengers of God too because he called them my shepherd and my fellow. But how can they become messengers when they are denying this by teaching the wrong doctrine last messenger? Their blindness and deafness must be healed.

3. Glaring proof that God will send another messenger.

Malachi 3:1-3:

“Behold, I will send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me: and the Lord, whom ye seek, shall suddenly come to his temple, even the messenger of the covenant, whom ye delight in: behold, he shall come, saith the Lord of hosts.

“But who may abide the day of his coming? and who shall stand when he appeareth? for he is like a refiner's fire, and like fullers' soap:

“And he shall sit as a refiner and purifier of silver: and he shall purify the sons of Levi, and purge them as gold and silver, that they

may offer unto the Lord an offering in righteousness.”

Verse 1 proved that Last Messenger is absolutely false doctrine! God said: “I will send my messenger... behold, he shall come.” He has indeed arrived now. This is the messenger of God mentioned in Isaiah 58:1. He is given the authority to try and refine the third part of His people in the last days.

This is also one of the concealed knowledge not known by Bro. Felix Manalo. I grew up with the church, but I did not hear from ministers that he claimed to be the refiner of God’s people in the last days. In case he claimed this, the prophecy did not fit on him. Why? How could he try and refine when he is already dead by now? And he is not even worthy to be the refiner because he was treacherous to God and transgressor from the womb. It could not also be Christ because he will not preach anymore; When he returns, it’s already Judgment Day.

“But who may abide the day of his coming? And who will stand when he appeared?” These are the requirements of God for you (as sheep) and the minister (shepherd): You must abide with His will and make a stand to be true *Iglesia ni Cristo*. This messenger of God is like a refiner’s fire and like soap for whitening.

Why a refiner’s fire? Jeremiah 23:29:

“Is not my word like as a fire? saith the Lord; and like a hammer that breaketh the rock in pieces?”

You will be tried by means of the word of God—this will also break into pieces the false doctrines of the *Iglesia ni Manalo*. Those who will listen to me are the righteous, while those who will remain with the *Iglesia ni Manalo* are evil.

Not literally the son of Levi in the time of the patriarchs will be purified. The purifying will be done in the last days and those who will be purified are only the third part (Zechariah 13:9). Levi is the son of the patriarch Jacob. The sons of Levi were called Levites. Moses took them to be cleansed (Numbers 8:6) and Aaron offered them to serve the Lord (Numbers 8:11). How can they be purified

when they are already dead?

Therefore, Sons of Levi in our time means: ministers serving God. They are the shepherds that I will cleanse also to become messengers of God. Then, they will have the right to preach the gospel until the end of the earth.

Since the word messenger is identified Bro. Felix Manalo, I prefer to be called Sword of God.

4. Who is the Sword of God?

This is written in Isaiah 49:1-6. Let's discuss verse 1 first:

“Listen, O isles, unto me; and hearken, ye people, from far; The Lord hath called me from the womb; from the bowels of my mother hath he made mention of my name.”

This is the proof that the Philippines is the new Israel because it consists of many islands. Not like the Israel in the Middle East. So listen to me islands (the Philippines) and hear me, you people from afar—the nations or countries where the church has reached.

God called me from the womb. Meaning: I was already an *Iglesia ni Cristo* even I was at the womb of my mother because she was already a member then. Besides, she prayed to God that the child in her womb to become a minister.

Although I never wanted to be a minister—I don't like even just a deacon—I became one. I could not refuse God when He called me. I was really destined to be a minister even I'm still at the womb of my mother.

In verses 2 and 3:

“And he hath made my mouth like a sharp sword; in the shadow of his hand hath he hid me, and made me a polished shaft; in his quiver hath he hid me;

“And said unto me, Thou art my servant, O Israel, in whom I will be glorified.”

God made my mouth like a sharp sword means: God puts His word unto my mouth because the it is sharper than any two-bladed

sword (Hebrew 4:12). Therefore, the meaning of Sword of God is Spokesman of God; and shaft is bitter words (Psalms 64:3).

So I will not use a literal sword in smiting the shepherds. My warfare with them is only through the words of God—the weapons I will use against them to overthrow the strength of their power. God called me Israel, whom He will be glorified.

In Isaiah 49:4:

“Then I said, I have laboured in vain, I have spent my strength for nought, and in vain: yet surely my judgment is with the Lord, and my work with my God.”

How did I spend my strength for nothing and in vain?

I preferred then to play chess than join the association of Buklod or become a deacon. They called me twice to be a deacon but I refused. I don't like even Buklod, what more of being a deacon? I did not really like to have a position. If I'm a deacon, I have to go to the church early, but the last to go home because I have to attend meetings. Then I also have to visit the houses of brethren. So it's easier to be just a member of the church.

In verse 5:

“And now, saith the Lord that formed me from the womb to be his servant, to bring Jacob again to him, Though Israel be not gathered, yet shall I be glorious in the eyes of the Lord, and my God shall be my strength.”

Although Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) was called Israel (Isaiah 48:1), there is another servant of God also called Israel. He is the Sword of God, messenger, refiner's fire, fuller's soap, polished shaft and was called from the womb. While Jacob was called ravenous bird, worm and was not born Iglesia ni Cristo but was called when he grew up. Though he was also called from the womb, but as a transgressor and treacherous to God (Isaiah 48:8).

If Jacob was the Israel mentioned in Isaiah 49:3-6, how can he bring himself back to God when he is already dead? So bring Jacob again to Him means: bring back to God the church that was taken

by Jacob.

Even I spent my strength in vain, I became God's servant. I will be glorious in the eyes of the Lord for He is my strength.

How did God become my strength?

When I met Bro. Campania, I frankly told him that I'm not uniting with them anymore. I intend to write on the ballot: "It's up to God" in the Plebiscite then. It's a better way to unite with God because we don't know He's will—whatever or whoever will win in the elections.

He said that I should submit to Bro. Erdy. What I intend to do is good only if it was decided. He insinuated that I should follow first Bro. Erdy rather than God? What a foolish order of a "wise fool!" I cannot accept his blasphemous order.

When we were about to pray before they left, I requested him if I can also pray. I know that they will pray God to enlighten me because they believed that my mind was darkened. He refused because he and his deaconess companions have to visit other brethren.

So I prayed ahead of him:

"Father: If I will be cut off by this people for following You, I am entrusting my soul to You. I will follow You first before man. It is better to trust in the Lord than to put confidence in man. It is better to trust in the Lord than to put confidence in head leaders."

I preferred to be excommunicated rather than follow his false god: Bro. Erdy! That's why God became my strength. Then I became His servant to bring back Jacob and gather His people—the True Iglesia ni Cristo.

In verse 6:

"And he said, It is a light thing that thou shouldest be my servant to raise up the tribes of Jacob, and to restore the preserved of Israel: I will also give thee for a light to the Gentiles, that thou mayest be my salvation unto the end of the earth."

Apostle Paul claimed that he was the light of the Gentiles in Acts 13:46-47:

“Then Paul and Barnabas waxed bold, and said, It was necessary that the word of God should first have been spoken to you: but seeing ye put it from you, and judge yourselves unworthy of everlasting life, lo, we turn to the Gentiles.

“For so hath the Lord commanded us, saying, I have set thee to be a light of the Gentiles, that thou shouldest be for salvation unto the ends of the earth.”

Although a light—singular—is used, this doesn’t mean that only one has the right to claim the written prophecy. Not only Paul claimed that he is the only light but including Barnabas because Paul said, “The Lord commanded us.”

In the bible, the word of God does not really mean singular. In King James Version, it has 50 matches, while words of God have only 6 matches. The singular form is used many times in the Bible than the plural form.

Paul did not claim to bring back Jacob. Can he do this? It’s impossible for him because he was also dead. He was even much ahead of Jacob. Paul only claimed the lower part of Isaiah 49:6: A light to the Gentiles and salvation unto the ends of the earth. Although he is dead now, his written books in the New Testament served as salvation until the end of the earth. In fact, his books helped me a lot in proving that Unity in Voting is a false doctrine.

Not only Paul and Barnabas can claim that they are the light of the Gentiles or nonbelievers but even the brethren of the true church.

Philippians 2:15:

“That ye may be blameless and harmless, the sons of God, without rebuke, in the midst of a crooked and perverse nation, among whom ye shine as lights in the world;”

Therefore, the brethren of the true church may claim to be the lights of the world but not the false church—Iglesia ni Manalo!

5. Where in the Philippines God's Sword shall come?

Ezekiel 21:3-4:

“And say to the land of Israel, Thus saith the Lord; Behold, I am against thee, and will draw forth my sword out of his sheath, and will cut off from thee the righteous and the wicked.

“Seeing then that I will cut off from thee the righteous and the wicked, therefore shall my sword go forth out of his sheath against all flesh from the south to the north:”

The land of Israel mentioned in verse 3 is the Philippines.

Jacob taught this to us basing Romans 9:6-8:

“Not as though the word of God hath taken none effect. For they are not all Israel, which are of Israel:

“Neither, because they are the seed of Abraham, are they all children: but, In Isaac shall thy seed be called.

“That is, They which are the children of the flesh, these are not the children of God: but the children of the promise are counted for the seed.”

When land of Israel is mentioned in the Bible, it does not mean Israel in the Middle East: Because not all Israel are from Israel but the children by promise will be counted as the seed.

The separation of the righteous and the wicked shall start from the south to the north. So the sword shall come from the south; He will smite all the shepherds beginning from the south to the north to scatter the sheep. Since Davao City is located at the South of the Philippines, the prophecy of God written by Ezekiel is fulfilled.

It is also written in Isaiah 41:25-29 where the sword will come and what he will do. Let's discuss verse 25 first:

“I have raised up one from the north, and he shall come: from the rising of the sun shall he call upon my name: and he shall come upon princes as upon mortar, and as the potter treadeth clay.”

This does not contradict Ezekiel 21:4 that the sword will come

from the south. Even if I started my mission here in the south, I came from the north—Luzon. I was born in Marinduque. We also lived in Manila and Daet, Camarines Norte before we went to Mindanao; In Surigao, Surigao del Norte first (north of Mindanao) then Davao City; The last destination of my father who worked for the government.

So the prophecy was still fulfilled on me. I came from the north and from the rising sun (Philippines).

In verse 26:

“Who hath declared from the beginning, that we may know? and before time, that we may say, He is righteous? yea, there is none that sheweth, yea, there is none that declareth, yea, there is none that heareth your words.”

The sword that was raised by God from the north is righteous but no one declares this. When I was still a member of the Iglesia ni Manalo, they told me that I’m righteous. That’s why they wanted me to be a deacon. But because I contradicted the false doctrines of Manalo, no one declares that I’m righteous now. The prophecy was fulfilled.

In verse 27:

“The first shall say to Zion, Behold, behold them: and I will give to Jerusalem one that bringeth good tidings.”

Since the mentioned land of Israel is the Philippines, where is Zion and Jerusalem located?

Hebrews 12:22:

“But ye are come unto mount Sion, and unto the city of the living God, the heavenly Jerusalem, and to an innumerable company of angels,”

Mount Sion means the city of the living God, or if in heaven it is called Jerusalem. Therefore, the Jerusalem of the Philippines is Davao City—the city of the living God on earth.

You may wonder why Davao City when the capital of Israel in the Middle East is Jerusalem? You might think that it's more logical to make Manila as Jerusalem. It's the capital of the Philippines. There is really a rationale for this. I will place it in the appropriate page of this eBook. So please don't miss or skip every page to know this.

In Isaiah 41:28-29:

“For I beheld, and there was no man; even among them, and there was no counsellor, that, when I asked of them, could answer a word.

“Behold, they are all vanity; their works are nothing; their molten images are wind and confusion.”

No counselor (deacon, minister and administrator) was able to answer God's questions. I was only sent by God as His messenger to ask them. They cannot answer righteously because their molten images—the false gods choosing candidates—are wind and confusion. That's why they were confused how to answer the questions that will expose their deceptions.

What God meant that there was no man, among them that could answer a word when He asked, is no one of them could answer correctly. Although they answered, their defense turned to be offensive to themselves—betraying and destroying their false doctrines!

6. The specified time when God shall bring back His people from captivity.

Jeremiah 31:21-23:

“Set thee up waymarks, make thee high heaps: set thine heart toward the highway, even the way which thou wentest: turn again, O virgin of Israel, turn again to these thy cities.

“How long wilt thou go about, O thou backsliding daughter? for the Lord hath created a new thing in the earth, A woman shall compass a man.

“Thus saith the Lord of hosts, the God of Israel; As yet they shall

use this speech in the land of Judah and in the cities thereof, when I shall bring again their captivity; The Lord bless thee, O habitation of justice, and mountain of holiness.”

The mentioned virgin of Israel or backsliding daughter is the church; It is likened to a virgin—the bride or the wife of the Lamb (Revelation 21:9 and Matthew 25:1-12). They taught false doctrines.

A woman compass a man is translated “a woman protects a man” in Revised Standards Version. Even different words were used—compass and protects—both show that a woman is powerful than a man. When this happens, it will be the time God will bring back His people from captivity. You are really captives and tied in that Deceptive Unity. No freedom to choose candidates that you like and you will be excommunicated if you will disobey.

Since I started this mission when Cory became President of the Philippines, the prophecy was fulfilled. That was the time God taught me His words and commanded me to set free His people from bondage of the false doctrines of the Iglesia ni Manalo!

Am I really sent by God?

Because nothing happened with the books I sent to the church administrators, I doubted the mission. Only one minister replied but draw back because he cowardice to stand for the right. Maybe I’m not the Sword, that’s why nothing happened. So I kept silent for many years.

As years passed, I grew old waiting for someone who will claim the mission. But no one came. Bro. Erdy died in Aug. 31, 2009, but the people of God are still tied with their false doctrines. So I meditated: If I’m not the one sent by God, can I discover the secrets of Jacob by myself? How about the many Biblical bases that could absolutely break their false doctrines, did I learned those by myself?

I did not study ministry. My way of searching answers to my questions is by praying to God first: “Father, teach me where to find the answer?” Then, I open the Bible randomly. In one opening only,

I could find the answers instantly; But sometimes, in two, three or more openings of pages.

When God sent Moses, no other man claimed similar to his mission; When John the Baptist claimed the prophecy for him, none other claimed it; When Christ came, no other man declared that he is the Messiah. Although, there is one I heard from the radio who claimed the prophecy used by Bro. Felix Manalo, he has no credibility at all because he was not the first or original but a copycat.

In the history of God's people who claimed the written prophecy for them, it never happened there was a second claimer because the first who claim was wrong. The real servant sent for a mission is always the first claimer of the prophecy. Hence, I gradually erased my doubts that I'm the one sent by God. That's why my doubts that I'm the one sent by God gradually erased. The reason why nothing happened in the time of Bro. Erdy, is because it was not the right time yet. So I decided to continue and fulfill my mission.

I must fulfill my destiny.

In 2009, I searched for online jobs at the Internet to earn money so that I could make my own website for my mission. Now, Internet is much more effective and inexpensive medium of communication than other expensive media—like radio, TV and real book. I cannot afford those because I'm poor.

While searching for online jobs, I discovered about eBook (electronic book). It's easy to copy and download on your computer. I also learned about the advantage of Facebook in finding relatives and friends around the world.

So I thought of a good Idea: I will use YouTube, Facebook and eBook as tools for my mission. I don't have to need money for printing expensive book because of eBook. Sending the eBook is also free through email. And I don't need to spend for building my own website anymore—Facebook and YouTube are also free.

The eBook will be scattered worldwide. This time, my mission will move. I believe it's impossible that there are no righteous sheep

and shepherds in that church. There will be brethren who will be enlightened around the word.

So I have to translate my book to English to be understood by those brethren that can't understand Tagalog.

7. The Sword is righteous but if he retreats, God's soul will have no pleasure in him.

Hebrews 10:37-39:

“For yet a little while, and he that shall come will come, and will not tarry.

“Now the just shall live by faith: but if any man draw back, my soul shall have no pleasure in him.

“But we are not of them who draw back unto perdition; but of them that believe to the saving of the soul.”

He that shall come is just shall live by faith. But he is not like Christ who is very strong as the Son of God. This God's servant is only an ordinary man. Although he is righteous, he may draw back.

Verse 38 always reminds me: So I must continue my mission until the end. If I will retreat, God's soul will have no pleasure in me. I might not save even myself.

8. The book was given to the not learned.

Isaiah 29:11-12:

“And the vision of all is become unto you as the words of a book that is sealed, which men deliver to one that is learned, saying, Read this, I pray thee: and he saith, I cannot; for it is sealed:

“And the book is delivered to him that is not learned, saying, Read this, I pray thee: and he saith, I am not learned.”

Even they are learned or had studied ministry they cannot read some written in the Bible. Those are sealed or concealed to them. So the book was given to the not learned. The prophecy was again fulfilled on me. I did not study the course for ministers.

God made me minister to humiliate the learned like them. They ought to be wise because they are learned. But they became fools by teaching foolish doctrines! They really deserved to be called “wise fools!”

Brad Julie belittled me that my knowledge in the Bible is very small. I admit it. They are better than me. But why did he fear the debate? My little knowledge is very dangerous for them!

9. The promise of God to Jacob is also for Israel the Sword.

Examine this written in Isaiah 41:8-13:

“But thou, Israel, art my servant, Jacob whom I have chosen, the seed of Abraham my friend.

“Thou whom I have taken from the ends of the earth, and called thee from the chief men thereof, and said unto thee, Thou art my servant; I have chosen thee, and not cast thee away.

“Fear thou not; for I am with thee: be not dismayed; for I am thy God: I will strengthen thee; yea, I will help thee; yea, I will uphold thee with the right hand of my righteousness.

“Behold, all they that were incensed against thee shall be ashamed and confounded: they shall be as nothing; and they that strive with thee shall perish.

“Thou shalt seek them, and shalt not find them, even them that contended with thee: they that war against thee shall be as nothing, and as a thing of nought.

“For I the Lord thy God will hold thy right hand, saying unto thee, Fear not; I will help thee.”

What God said to Jacob from verses 9 to 13 are the same with Israel the Sword. But in verse 9, “not cast thee away” is only to Jacob. He committed treachery and transgression to God; While Israel the Sword shall be Jacob’s Redeemer.

So Isaiah 41:14 is only for Jacob:

“Fear not, thou worm Jacob, and ye men of Israel; I will help thee, saith the Lord, and thy redeemer, the Holy One of Israel.”

Though the written word is singular Redeemer, the Holy One of Israel, it can also be applied to many people of God. The Redeemers and holy are God, Israel the Sword and the people of God who will go out of the church of Manalo and return back to God.

You might think that I'm boastfully exalting myself to be holy. Actually it's not hard to be holy. Only the Catholics are making it difficult to be saint. Canonization is not easy but also expensive process and only the dead persons are made holy.

For God's people, even we are still alive, we can be holy or saint. But God's saints are not worshiped unlike Catholic's saints. There are so many verses in the Bible that Apostle Paul greets the brethren holy.

This is an example—Philippians 1:1:

“Paul and Timotheus, the servants of Jesus Christ, to all the saints in Christ Jesus which are at Philippi, with the bishops and deacons:”

When you are holy, it does not mean that you will not sin to God anymore. We could still commit errors, but only little sins not evil in the eyes of God.

What kind of person who are evil to God?

1 Corinthians 5:11-13:

In 1 Corinthians 6:9-10 other wicked persons that will not inherit the kingdom of God are added such as: idolaters, effeminate, abusers of themselves with mankind, thieves, revilers and extortionists.

If you are striving to turn away from evil deeds, you will become holy. Not only you can be holy but even the liar ministers there at the Iglesia ni Manalo.

Just follow Apostle Paul's advice—Acts 26:18:

“To open their eyes, and to turn them from darkness to light, and from the power of Satan unto God, that they may receive forgiveness of sins, and inheritance among them which are sanctified by faith that is in me.”

So you must open your eyes now. Turn away from darkness; Go to the light Israel the Sword given by God for the Gentiles and the salvation until the end of the earth (Isaiah 49:6); And from the power or deception of Satan (Deceptive Unity) back to God. These must be done to receive forgiveness of your sins and inherit sanctification by having faith with God.

I will answer here why God chose Davao City as the Jerusalem. Why not Manila when it's the capital of the Philippines? The Central office of the church is located in Diliman, Quezon City, under Metro Manila. Jerusalem is the holy city of God. So it's a misfit to call Manila the Jerusalem because those setting in Quezon City are in darkness! **Diliman** in Tagalog means: **in darkness**.

They are teaching ungodly doctrines—the Deceptive Unity in Voting and Last Messenger. In National Elections, Bro. Eddie chooses the candidates and in local elections, the locale administrators decide. So there are so many false gods in the Philippines. It's more fitting for Diliman to be called the Babylon of the Philippines because it's the center of the false gods who are choosing candidates—whether from their personal choice or from Survey Results.

The name of the place, the center of the false gods choosing candidates is **Diliman** (darkness). The minister who said that giving of leaflet is not campaigning has a family name Campania—meaning campaign. He contradicted even the meaning of his family name?

My middle name is **Liwanag** means **light** in English. So the prophecy in Isaiah 49:6 that I will be given by God a light to the Gentiles and His salvation until the end of the earth is fulfilled on me. I was really sent by God to enlighten you who are blinded by the darkness of the doctrines of the Iglesia ni Manalo.

10. The Sword of God who shall remove the yoke has a light like noontime.

Isaiah 58:8-10:

“Then shall thy light break forth as the morning, and thine health shall spring forth speedily: and thy righteousness shall go

before thee; the glory of the Lord shall be thy rereward.

“Then shalt thou call, and the Lord shall answer; thou shalt cry, and he shall say, Here I am. If thou take away from the midst of thee the yoke, the putting forth of the finger, and speaking vanity;

“And if thou draw out thy soul to the hungry, and satisfy the afflicted soul; then shall thy light rise in obscurity, and thy darkness be as the noon day:”

Rereward is an old English word meaning: rear guard.

In verse 9, God assured that He will answer my call if I will take away the yoke: That burden—Bluff Voting—you are carrying every election; The pointing of fingers symbolize enforcing order like Lords; That you must do what they want because you will be punished if you resist; And also speaking of vanity using the name of God for lies.

Because I will certainly remove that yoke: My light will shine in the dark, and my darkness is as noontime. The light of the sun is so intense at noontime. So there is no darkness in me.

11. Israel the Sword shall thresh the mountains of Jacob.

Isaiah 41:15-16:

“Behold, I will make thee a new sharp threshing instrument having teeth: thou shalt thresh the mountains, and beat them small, and shalt make the hills as chaff.

“Thou shalt fan them, and the wind shall carry them away, and the whirlwind shall scatter them: and thou shalt rejoice in the Lord, and shalt glory in the Holy One of Israel.”

Bro. Felix Manalo claimed that he is the thresher of the mountains. This is written in their book entitled “Isang Pagbubunyag sa Iglesia ni Cristo” (An Exposition of the Iglesia ni Cristo) in page 174. But it was not fulfilled on him. He has not threshed mountains or overthrown any church of other religions. He did not glorify God. He became treacherous to the Lord that’s why he was called transgressor from the womb. Instead, he gave shame to the clean name Christ. Names

of dirty and corrupt politicians they chose are dragged in the name Iglesia ni Cristo every election.

Another reason that prophecy was not for him is this declaration of the Lord in Isaiah 43:22 and 24:

“But thou hast not called upon me, O Jacob; but thou hast been weary of me, O Israel.

“Thou hast bought me no sweet cane with money, neither hast thou filled me with the fat of thy sacrifices: but thou hast made me to serve with thy sins, thou hast wearied me with thine iniquities.”

Note verse 22: God called Jacob: Israel. He wearied God for his evil deeds. God did not literally mean that Jacob must buy Him sweet cane. What He wants are good deeds as offerings. But Jacob sinned by swearing in the name of God that we are uniting with Him when we vote.

How did God serve him with his sins? They are declaring that God is the real maker of their political power. They are so blasphemous by boastfully proclaiming that God served them for their deceptions!

God was not only wearied with Jacob but was furious on him. This is why he will be punished because of his sins and wickedness.

In Lamentations 2:2:

“The Lord hath swallowed up all the habitations of Jacob, and hath not pitied: he hath thrown down in his wrath the strong holds of the daughter of Judah; he hath brought them down to the ground: he hath polluted the kingdom and the princes thereof.”

Since Jacob did not know other concealed things, he claimed that he will thresh the mountains. He did not know that the mountains about to be threshed are his own mountains—the Iglesia ni Manalo worldwide. Then he claimed to be the thresher?

Therefore, the prophecy in Isaiah 41:15-16, that shall thresh the mountains is for Israel the Sword because he is righteous and he shall glorify God (Isaiah 49:3).

The proof that the mountains of Jacob shall be threshed:

Micah 1:4-5:

And the mountains shall be molten under him, and the valleys shall be cleft, as wax before the fire, and as the waters that are poured down a steep place.

“For the transgression of Jacob is all this, and for the sins of the house of Israel. What is the transgression of Jacob? is it not Samaria?...”

The Iglesia ni Manalo worldwide shall be destroyed. Members who will be enlightened will escape from the house of Jacob. God will punish that church. Their administrators and ministers shall be profaned and Jacob will be given to the curse and humiliations (Isaiah 43:28). What is the relevance of Samaria with his transgression?

Jeremiah 23:13:

“And I have seen folly in the prophets of Samaria; they prophesied in Baal, and caused my people Israel to err.”

Baal is a false god (Judges 2:11-13). The transgression of Jacob is like the foolishness of the prophets in Samaria. Jacob also misled us by teaching foolish doctrines: Unity in Voting and the Last Messenger of God.

Therefore, the transgression committed by Jacob is teaching idolatry! The false gods are: Bro. Felix Manalo and Bro. Erdy when they were still alive; And all the administrators choosing the candidates. They imitated God who chooses higher powers. But because they are fake gods, not all the candidates they have chosen are placed to power.

Now, Bro. Eddie's time: According to a church member, the Survey Results are their bases who will be voted by the brethren. But not all that come out in surveys are winning. As proof, Mar Roxas did not won when he run for Vice President in 2010 despite leading in many surveys. Therefore, their system is still wrong. The proponent of Survey Results and the administrators who dictate them to the

members are the fake gods that you are uniting with.

I reiterate, a twisted doctrine cannot be corrected. So it must be destroyed!

12. Israel the Sword is the Redeemer of Jacob.

This is written in Isaiah 59:16-20. Let's discuss verses 16-17:

“And he saw that there was no man, and wondered that there was no intercessor: therefore his arm brought salvation unto him; and his righteousness, it sustained him.

“For he put on righteousness as a breastplate, and an helmet of salvation upon his head; and he put on the garments of vengeance for clothing, and was clad with zeal as a cloak.”

When I chose God than the false god of Bro. Campania, the Lord was pleased on me. That day my arm brought salvation to myself. I put on righteousness as an armor and helmet of salvation on my head. I also put on garments of vengeance. These are symbolism for God taught me how to fight my enemies. The word of God is my weapon in our word war with the ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo. I shall not fear my enemies no matter how many they are because the Lord is on my side!

In verses 18-20:

“According to their deeds, accordingly he will repay, fury to his adversaries, recompence to his enemies; to the islands he will repay recompence.

“So shall they fear the name of the Lord from the west, and his glory from the rising of the sun. When the enemy shall come in like a flood, the Spirit of the Lord shall lift up a standard against him.

“And the Redeemer shall come to Zion, and unto them that turn from transgression in Jacob, saith the Lord.”

To the islands, I will recompense to my enemies. God gave me the authority to revenge for what they did to me. Because they excommunicated me without sin, I will cut them off as ministers of

the Iglesia ni Cristo. Then I, as Redeemer, will go to them that turn away from the transgression in Jacob. In other words, those who will stand for the right and will get out from the Iglesia ni Manalo!

In verse 19, those who will fear the name of the Lord from the west: are the brethren from other countries or nations.

Isaiah 59:19 has the same interpretation in almost all versions except, King James Version. I used almost because I did not read all versions. I only read Revised Standard, New International, Douay and Septuagint Versions.

In Revised Standard Version (RSV), part of verse 19 was interpreted “for he will come like a rushing stream;” He seemed referring to the Redeemer. But how can it happen that his coming is like a rushing stream, when he is alone?

In King James Version (KJV): “When the enemy shall come in like a flood.” Logically, It’s more convincing than RSV. My enemies are so many that if they will be enlightened by the truth of God, they will rush like a flood in coming to me. They are the people who will fear the name of the Lord not only from the west but other countries outside the Philippines.

When I translated my book to English, I tried to compare the translations of three versions: KJV, RSV and NIV. I wanted to use RSV or NIV because both are written in Modern English while KJV used Old English. But despite this, I prefer King James Version because I think it’s more accurate than the other two versions.

As I’ve discussed already, the word of God though singular can also be plural: like smite the shepherd, a light to the Gentiles and he will come like a rushing stream. He refers not only the Redeemer but also the enemies. The Redeemer is not only God, not only me—but we are many; You and the ministers who are supposed to be my enemies, but will come to me upon realizing the truth, will also become Redeemers of Jacob.

You may wonder why? So please don’t skip pages to know this.

Apostle Paul also wrote about the Savior that shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob.

Romans 11:26:

“And so all Israel shall be saved: as it is written, There shall come out of Sion the Deliverer, and shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob:”

All Israel shall be saved does not mean that all including those who will remain with the Manalos. It only refer to all those who will go with Israel the Sword. The Biblical basis of Paul is Isaiah 59:20. The word he used for Redeemer is Deliverer. His interpretation is very clear: The Deliverer shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob. This means he will remove or destroy doctrines of Jacob not from God.

Before, I hesitated to claim the written Redeemer in Isaiah 59:20 and the Deliverer in Romans 11:26. It seemed so high only suitable to Christ. But God taught me that I could also be a Redeemer or Savior because of this written in 1Timothy 4:16:

“Take heed unto thyself, and unto the doctrine; continue in them: for in doing this thou shalt both save thyself, and them that hear thee.”

Not only Jesus can be called Redeemer or Savior. The apostles or the messengers of God can also save by adhering to the true doctrines. But there is only one Mediator between God and men—Christ Jesus (1 Timothy 2:5).

Jacob’s redeemer is stronger than him.

Jeremiah 31:10-11:

“Hear the word of the Lord, O ye nations, and declare it in the isles afar off, and say, He that scattered Israel will gather him, and keep him, as a shepherd doth his flock.

“For the Lord hath redeemed Jacob, and ransomed him from the hand of him that was stronger than he.”

The Philippines is really the new Israel because it again mentioned the islands afar. Since the Sword is commanded by God to smite the shepherds to scatter the sheep, he will also gather them and will be

the shepherd of the flock—those who will go out from the house of Jacob.

In what way the redeemer of Jacob is stronger than him? You will know this later.

13. Not only Israel the Sword shall be the redeemer of Jacob but many people.

They are always reading this, but concealed to them.

Isaiah 43:1 and 4:

“But now thus saith the Lord that created thee, O Jacob, and he that formed thee, O Israel, Fear not: for I have redeemed thee, I have called thee by thy name; thou art mine.

“Since thou wast precious in my sight, thou hast been honourable, and I have loved thee: therefore will I give men for thee, and people for thy life.”

Some words that God said are for both of them—Jacob and Israel the Sword. But the words: for I have redeemed thee (verse 1) and will I give men for thee, and people for thy life (verse 4) are exclusively for Jacob only.

God will give men and people for Jacob's life. Not only one will be given by God to redeem Jacob but men and people. Although God said, “I have redeemed thee,” this does not mean that He Himself shall do the redemption. He is the most high and powerful God. He can send His servants to redeem Jacob. God's servants are: Israel the Sword, men—specifically the shepherds for there are no female ministers—and the people: the sheep whether they are men or women.

Those who shall go with me are also redeemers of Jacob's life—also a concealed word. I'll just hold it first. This will be revealed to you in the proper page of this eBook.

To give you further explanation about concealed word of God, please read Isaiah 49:7:

“Thus saith the Lord, the Redeemer of Israel, and his Holy One,

to him whom man despiseth, to him whom the nation abhorreth, to a servant of rulers, Kings shall see and arise, princes also shall worship, because of the Lord that is faithful, and the Holy One of Israel, and he shall choose thee.”

The mentioned Redeemer of Israel and His holy One, though singular in form, does not only pertain to Israel the Sword, but also to His people—the true Iglesia ni Cristo. Israel here as the place does not only refer to the Philippines but including countries around the world where the church has reached.

Therefore, the Holy One of Israel means: the true Iglesia ni Cristo worldwide. They will be chosen by God or gather for Himself (Psalms 4:3). They are the men and people who will be given by God to redeem Jacob.

14. God commanded Israel the Sword to bring His children from the ends of the earth.

The prophecy in Isaiah 43:5 is for both of them:

“Fear not: for I am with thee: I will bring thy seed from the east, and gather thee from the west;”

But verse 6, is only for Israel the Sword:

“I will say to the north, Give up; and to the south, Keep not back: bring my sons from far, and my daughters from the ends of the earth;”

The command to gather God’s sons and daughters from the ends of the earth is not for Jacob. He was treacherous to God and a transgressor from the womb. How can he gather you and bring to God when he is already dead? This could never be passed to his ministers. They are denying themselves to be messengers of God by teaching the wrong doctrine Last Messenger. They don’t even have the right to preach the gospel. Besides, the people gathered by Jacob were not yet servants of God but from different religions.

The command now is to gather God’s servants: the blind and

deaf people that I already discussed (Isaiah 43:8 and Isaiah 42:19).

So this is the time of your trial to be purified like silver and gold; And to stand to be true Iglesia ni Cristo. If you are a sheep or you are a shepherd: It's time to open your eyes and ears now. Wake up and hear my call!

The end is near. You have to stand for the truth and righteousness for the salvation of your soul! You must escape from the house of Jacob or get out from the Iglesia ni Manalo! By abiding with the Sword, you will be saved and will also be counted among the people promised by God to redeem Jacob.

15. God will bring out a seed from Jacob and from Judah.

Isaiah 65:9:

“And I will bring forth a seed out of Jacob, and out of Judah an inheritor of my mountains: and mine elect shall inherit it, and my servants shall dwell there.”

This verse supports Isaiah 43:4 that God shall give men and people to redeem Jacob. The one that God will bring forth is also an Iglesia ni Cristo. So he is a seed from Jacob. The prophecy has been fulfilled.

Who is the other one from Judah that God will bring out?

Jeremiah 3:7-9:

“And I said after she had done all these things, Turn thou unto me. But she returned not. And her treacherous sister Judah saw it.

“And I saw, when for all the causes whereby backsliding Israel committed adultery I had put her away, and given her a bill of divorce; yet her treacherous sister Judah feared not, but went and played the harlot also.

“And it came to pass through the lightness of her whoredom, that she defiled the land, and committed adultery with stones and with stocks.”

“And yet for all this her treacherous sister Judah hath not turned unto me with her whole heart, but feignedly, saith the LORD.

“And the LORD said unto me, The backsliding Israel hath justified herself more than treacherous Judah.”

The backsliding Israel in verse 8 refers to the church taken by Jacob; It is also the woman who committed adultery to God by making false gods. Her sister Judah saw or knew their teachings are ungodly. Instead of giving the letter of separation from God, treacherous Judah did not fear, but go with her sister Israel in teaching lies and false gods.

You may wonder why the backsliding Israel and Judah though both are male names are addressed as women (sisters). In 1 Corinthians 12:27 this is declared: “Now you are the body of Christ and individually members of it.” Ephesians 5:23 explains why a man is treated like a woman when speaking of the church as the body of Christ; “For the husband is the head of the wife as Christ is the head of the church, his body, and is himself its Savior.” So, even we are males, we are likened to women as wife of Jesus or as the body of Christ.

Judah is an administrator of the church. The letter of separation was the book I sent them. My first intention was to give the books to members. But I realized that they will pass those to the ministers for consultation, so I changed my mind. It was God’s will that I must send the books to the administrators.

An example of treacherous administrator who did not fear God is one who hid in the name of Cirilo A. Hizon. Although he believed that Unity in Voting is ungodly, he is still there continuously teaching that false doctrine. He is absolutely shameless and fearless to God!

I don’t believe that only Cirilo Hizon knew their defective doctrines. Other treacherous administrators like him are still there because they are cowards. They feared their family to suffer starvation if they will stand for the truth. So like Cirilo, they are still there continuing in teaching lies.

This is the proof written in Lamentations 2:2 and 14:

“The Lord hath swallowed up all the habitations of Jacob, and hath not pitied: he hath thrown down in his wrath the strong holds of the daughter of Judah; he hath brought them down to the ground: he hath polluted the kingdom and the princes thereof.

“Thy prophets have seen vain and foolish things for thee: and they have not discovered thine iniquity, to turn away thy captivity; but have seen for thee false burdens and causes of banishment.”

The administrators of Jacob have seen vain and foolish things for him. It implies that they suggested that deceptive doctrine: that caused eviction of other brethren. They did not expose his iniquity to turn away from captivity. How to set free Jacob and the church members from captivity of false doctrines?

John 8:32:

“And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.”

These are the truth: Unity in Voting and Last Messenger are false doctrines. Knowing the truth shall make you free. When you still believe those doctrines, you are servants of sin. So you must be free from sins to become servants of righteousness and God (Romans 6:7, 18, 20 and 22).

16. The Sword shall be called the repairer of the breach, the restorer of paths to dwell in.

Isaiah 58:12-14:

“And they that shall be of thee shall build the old waste places: thou shalt raise up the foundations of many generations; and thou shalt be called, The repairer of the breach, The restorer of paths to dwell in.

“If thou turn away thy foot from the sabbath, from doing thy pleasure on my holy day; and call the sabbath a delight, the holy of the Lord, honourable; and shalt honour him, not doing thine

own ways, nor finding thine own pleasure, nor speaking thine own words:

“Then shalt thou delight thyself in the Lord; and I will cause thee to ride upon the high places of the earth, and feed thee with the heritage of Jacob thy father: for the mouth of the Lord hath spoken it.”

The mentioned Sabbath in verse 13 is not the commandment for the Israelites in the time of the patriarch. It was abolished in the time of Jesus (John 5:18; Colossians 2:14-15). Now, Sabbath means: To deny my own will or pleasure, I must walk according to the will of God and I will not speak my own words.

What’s the meaning of “I will cause thee to ride upon the high places of the earth, and feed thee with the heritage of Jacob thy father?”

17. The Sword shall tread upon his enemies’ high places.

Deuteronomy 33:28-29:

“Israel then shall dwell in safety alone: the fountain of Jacob shall be upon a land of corn and wine; also his heavens shall drop down dew.

“Happy art thou, O Israel: who is like unto thee, O people saved by the Lord, the shield of thy help, and who is the sword of thy excellency! and thine enemies shall be found liars unto thee; and thou shalt tread upon their high places.”

The enemies mentioned here are the ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo. They shall be found liars unto the brethren. But they will eventually be enlightened by the truth and repent for their sins. So they will surrender to me. Although the word surrender is not found in the verse, it is implied. I will tread upon their high places or I shall be feed by God the heritage of Jacob my father.

But how did Jacob became my father when I’m not his son in flesh?

Apostle Paul taught me the answer in 1 Corinthians 4:15:

“For though ye have ten thousand instructors in Christ, yet have ye not many fathers: for in Christ Jesus I have begotten you through the gospel.”

I became an Iglesia ni Cristo by believing Bro. Felix Manalo as the Jacob of the ends of the earth; He was sent by God to establish the church in the Philippines. So he became my father and I was begotten to be his son through the gospel.

You might say that my father through the gospel ought to be the minister who indoctrinated me. That is only applicable if I'm a convert from other religion. I was raised up by my mother as Iglesia ni Cristo. So there is no need to convince me to believe. The indoctrination was only for formality sake as a requirement for baptism.

In Deuteronomy 33:28, Israel the Sword, the fountain of Jacob shall be upon the land of corn and wine. What is the relevance of this? Genesis 27:27-28:

“And he came near, and kissed him: and he smelled the smell of his raiment, and blessed him, and said, See, the smell of my son is as the smell of a field which the Lord hath blessed:

“Therefore God give thee of the dew of heaven, and the fatness of the earth, and plenty of corn and wine:”

So the meaning of land of corn and wine: is a land blessed by God. Why is it that the Philippines is a land blessed by God? Is it because we have many corn and wine here?

Deuteronomy 32:1-3:

“Give ear, O ye heavens, and I will speak; and hear, O earth, the words of my mouth.

“My doctrine shall drop as the rain, my speech shall distil as the dew, as the small rain upon the tender herb, and as the showers upon the grass:

“Because I will publish the name of the Lord: ascribe ye greatness unto our God.”

So the reason why Philippines is the land blessed by the God,

His doctrines and speech shall drop like rain, dew drop and shower here in the Philippines.

18. The Sword's right to continue Jacob's work.

Isaiah 27:6:

“He shall cause them that come of Jacob to take root: Israel shall blossom and bud, and fill the face of the world with fruit.”

Note: Jacob is the root while Israel shall blossom and bud. Since Jacob is dead, Israel the Sword who is also a messenger of God (Malachi 3:1), Jacob's son through the gospel and also called fountain of Jacob, shall have the right to continue the work started by the root.

Since God will also bring forth the blind ministers, they will be the companions of Israel the Sword in preaching the gospel worldwide.

21. Israel and Judah with their children shall go together.

Jeremiah 50:4:

“In those days, and in that time, saith the Lord, the children of Israel shall come, they and the children of Judah together, going and weeping: they shall go, and seek the Lord their God.”

This verse supports the prophecy that God will bring forth a seed from Juda (Isaiah 65:9). As I already discussed, Juda is an administrator of the church. He and other ministers who will be enlightened will escape from Iglesia ni Manalo. They will surrender or go with Israel the Sword to become true messengers of God. Then they shall have the right to preach the gospel. They will be my companions in filling the earth with fruits.

19. God's perpetual covenant that shall not be forgotten for the house of Israel.

In Jeremiah 50:5:

“They shall ask the way to Zion with their faces thitherward, saying, Come, and let us join ourselves to the Lord in a perpetual covenant that shall not be forgotten.”

What is this perpetual covenant that shall not be forgotten?
Hebrews 8:7-9:

“For if that first covenant had been faultless, then should no place have been sought for the second.

“For finding fault with them, he saith, Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, when I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah:

“Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt; because they continued not in my covenant, and I regarded them not, saith the Lord.”

For finding fault with the first (Old Testament) the second (New Testament) was made. Although verse 9 seemed referring only to the Old Testament, it is implied that the New Testament is also included there. God said, “...because they continued not in my covenant, and I regarded them not.” The people of God disobey His covenant because He gave them free will.

Therefore, the new covenant mentioned in verse 8 is different from the New Testament of the Bible.

What kind of covenant is it? Hebrews 8:10-13:

“For this is the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel after those days, saith the Lord; I will put my laws into their mind, and write them in their hearts: and I will be to them a God, and they shall be to me a people:

“And they shall not teach every man his neighbor, and every man his brother, saying, Know the Lord: for all shall know me, from the least to the greatest.

“For I will be merciful to their unrighteousness, and their sins and their iniquities will I remember no more.

“In that he saith, A new covenant, he hath made the first old. Now that which decayeth and waxeth old is ready to vanish away.”

Verse 13 proved that the new covenant for Israel the Sword is not the New Testament because it's becoming old and ready to vanish away—when all the written prophecies are already fulfilled.

Unlike the Old and New Testament which are written in books, the new covenant shall be written in our minds and in our hearts; There will be no more preachers to teach who is God; Everybody will know who He is from the least to the greatest; And the most important of all, we cannot transgress with God for He shall not disregard us anymore. Meaning: He will completely abolish free will; It's the reason why we commit sin.

That's why it shall be called the perpetual covenant that cannot be forgotten. It's for all the people of God who will be saved in Judgment Day and will receive the promise of everlasting life.

Priests and pastors of other religions don't believe that Jacob, Israel the Sword and Judah are people of the last days. Since they are also written in the Old Testament, they presumed that those names refer to the people of the old days. But they are mistaken. God call His present servants with the old names in the time of the patriarch.

Please read again Hebrews 8:8-9:

“...I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah:

“Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt...”

Notice what God said: “I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah. Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers.” Therefore, the new covenant will be made for the people in the last days; Not Israel and Judah at the time of the patriarchs, but Israel and Judah in our time. And since the new covenant is not yet fulfilled, it is written in Hebrews

of the New Testament.

The Israel in the time of the patriarchs is Jacob and Judah is his son—the ancestors of Jesus (Matthew 1:1-2). The Israel mentioned in Hebrews 8:8 is the Sword; Son of Jacob of the ends of the earth through the gospel. So Israel the Sword and Judah are not father and son but brothers in faith.

20. The words of God shall not depart from Israel's mouth.

Isaiah 59:19-21:

“So shall they fear the name of the Lord from the west, and his glory from the rising of the sun. When the enemy shall come in like a flood, the Spirit of the Lord shall lift up a standard against him.

“And the Redeemer shall come to Zion, and unto them that turn from transgression in Jacob, saith the Lord

“As for me, this is my covenant with them, saith the Lord; My spirit that is upon thee, and my words which I have put in thy mouth, shall not depart out of thy mouth, nor out of the mouth of thy seed, nor out of the mouth of thy seed's seed, saith the Lord, from henceforth and forever.”

Therefore, It is assured that I could never transgress with God anymore from henceforth and forever.

I already read these verses before. They claimed that Isaiah 59:19 and 21 are proofs that the words of God did not depart from the administration then of Bro. Erdy. Bro. Inocencio Santiago wrote this in “Pasugo” published in August 1971 entitled “Ang Karapatan ng Kapitalit ng Sugong Nagsimula ng Organisasyon” (The Right of the Successor of the Messenger who Started the Organization).

Bro. Santiago wrote that Isaiah 59:21 is a prophecy for Christ. But they are mistaken. Why? Although it's true the word of God did not depart from Christ because he is holy and the Son of God, it departed from his seed and his seed's seed. In fact, his seed: the first Church of Christ in the first century was apostatized. And his

seed's seed: Jacob of the ends of the earth committed treachery and transgressions with God.

Incidents before: Signs that God prepared me for today.

I discovered the verse they intentionally hid to us.

Even I was in elementary grades, I'm already fond of reading "Pasugo." When I was in college, I still read it but sometimes I open the Bible to check if the verses are really there. When I read the mentioned copy of "Pasugo" in Isaiah 59:21, I noticed that there were some missing words in the verse. Allegedly, this verse is the proof that the words of God did not depart from the administration of the church:

"As for me, this is my covenant with them, saith the Lord; My spirit that is upon thee, and my words which I have put in thy mouth, nor out of the mouth of thy seed, nor out of the mouth of thy seed's seed, saith the Lord, from henceforth and forever."

My mind refused to agree because nothing was written that did not depart? I was also puzzled why from Isaiah 59:19, they skipped verse 20 and jumped to 21? I did not know yet before that sometimes you may skip the next verse, if that will make longer and not necessary to be included in the discussed point or issue.

So I opened the Bible to see the missing words: "shall not depart out of thy mouth." Since verses 20 and 21 are adjacent to each other, I discovered what they were hiding: "The Redeemer that will come to Zion and to those who turn away transgression in Jacob."

I was shocked why was that written? Jacob was sent by God but has transgression? I knew then the reason why they intentionally skipped or hid verse 20. The brethren might question them and it will be difficult for them to answer.

The mistake in proofreading that mentioned issue of "Pasugo" was the will of God; He did not permit them to use the words "shall not depart out of thy mouth" because the word of God has already

departed from them. That it's not already the Church of Christ but the Church of Manalo.

God also intentionally made me discover what is hidden. He marked it on my mind. Someday, I shall know the meaning of that prophecy in Isaiah 59:21. The reason why God showed me the concealed verse is because I'm Jacob's Redeemer. It was a sign that he prepared me for the present time.

I've read the dreadful prophecy about the house of Jacob.

When I was already twenty-four years old, I read again another bad prophecy for Jacob. I did not remember others but one that frightened me.

Isaiah 10:20-23:

"And it shall come to pass in that day, that the remnant of Israel, and such as are escaped of the house of Jacob, shall no more again stay upon him that smote them; but shall stay upon the Lord, the Holy One of Israel, in truth.

"The remnant shall return, even the remnant of Jacob, unto the mighty God.

"For though thy people Israel be as the sand of the sea, yet a remnant of them shall return: the consumption decreed shall overflow with righteousness.

"For the Lord God of hosts shall make a consumption, even determined, in the midst of all the land."

My mind was troubled. In verse 20, those who will escape from the house of Jacob seemingly shall return to God? But in verse 21, it also seemed that those who will stay with Jacob? So I was confused which of the two will be saved?

I did not mind it again. I just think that someday it shall be answered. Since those verses troubled and feared me much, I said to myself: "I will not read the Bible anymore. This might make me insane."

I discovered the truth about their Deceptive Unity.

When the Snap Election was near, I again opened the Bible because I doubted that Unity in Voting is not really a commandment of God. I prayed and beg Him to guide me in my research for the truth.

I studied their Biblical bases about Unity in Voting; to enlighten me and restore my trust with that doctrine. But instead of restoring, it moved afar. The Biblical bases that I've learned are contrary to their bases. Very strong evidence that will prove Bloc Voting is a Bluff Doctrine!

At first, I thought that I have done it by my self-study. I was able to connect all proofs up to the establishment of Election Law in the Philippines that their doctrine is really false. Since I have read then the book of Isaiah, I searched for prophecy about Jacob that such doctrine is really ungodly. So I found it and read Isaiah 48:1-8. I discovered that Jacob has done treachery to God and called transgressor from the womb.

Because of the word transgressor, I recalled then the verses concealed or skipped in Pasugo: "The Redeemer that will go to Zion and to those who will turn away from transgression in Jacob." But when I read Isaiah 58:1 and 49:1-6, I feared His commandment to me. I realized then that it's not myself that uncovered the truth—but it was God who guided and taught me.

The mission is so heavy since many brethren will be furious on me; And very dangerous because some evil members are willing to kill for the Manalos. My mind refused. I will admit: I'm lazy to have a duty that's why I did not accept to be a deacon. Then I will be assign by God with this incredible mission? So I regretted having studied the Bible. I should not have been assigned with this heavy yoke and dangerous mission.

But I realized: It is my destiny. Why should I regret? Instead, I should be thankful to God that He chose me. Why should I be afraid of those who will hate or even those who will kill me? Some

of the people are willing to die for the sake of the country. The more I would be willing to die for the sake God. It's the sweetest way to die for. I will certainly be rewarded salvation; I already know the precise answer to the written prophecy that frightened me in Isaiah 10:20-21. Who shall be saved: Those who will escape from the house of Jacob? Or those who will stay?

What I thought before: The question that frightened me will be answered was fulfilled now. It's me who found the correct answer through the guidance of the Lord. What happened also fulfilled the prophecy in Isaiah 29:11-12: The book was given to the not learned. That was a sign that God prepared me for my mission today.

It was God's will that I must learn computer.

Another event in my past that was intended by God was when I printed the book sent to the church administrators. One owner of a Printing Press responded to my proposal. This was our deal: I will work with their plant as part-time Layout Artist, but I will not receive my pay; It will be saved for the printing of my book; And they will only start printing when I already have enough funds for the cost of the book.

When the plant's supervisor resigned—he will build his own printing shop—he asked me if I want to be recommended as his successor. He knew that I'm qualified for the position because he is my friend.

I told him: "Don't recommend me. Let him offer it to me as your replacement. If that happens, it's the sign of God's will that I should work for that printing press."

I did not expect that to happen because I learned the trait of the owner; He wanted to be coaxed like a woman who must be courted first before answering yes. Those who applied for a job, he made them come back to his office several times. If persistent in following up their applications, they will be accepted. Maybe I was not likened to other applicants because I will not get my earnings; It will return back to him for the printing of my book.

But the unexpected happened. The sign that I'm looking for was

fulfilled. The owner offered it to me. So I accepted it to learn how to use computer.

Now I realized why it was God's will for me to work with that printing press. He wanted me to learn about computers so that I ell know how to make eBook. It will be my medium in spreading the truth to the Iglesia ni Cristo worldwide. What I've learned will be useful for my mission.

God has really prepared me for today. He called and chose me even when I was at the womb of my mother. So I have to fulfill my destiny.

Now you can read here why God said in Jeremiah 31:11: The Redeemer of Jacob is stronger than him? But answer it to yourself by comparing their individual distinctions.

The difference of two Israel as messengers of God:

Worm Jacob as Israel:

1. Came from other religions before he was called by God
2. Called ravenous bird and worm Jacob
3. Treacherous to God, called transgressor from the womb, sinner and evil
4. Commanded by God to reestablish the Church of Christ
5. Wearied and made God furious because of his wickedness

Israel as the Sword:

- Is called by God from the womb or born Iglesia ni Cristo
- Called sword, fire, soap, shaft, seed fountain of Jacob, Redeemer
- Live by faith, his righteousness brought salvation to himself and Jacob's redeemer
- Commanded by God to repossess the church taken by Jacob
- Will glorify God because of his righteousness

Before: Even the book has been printed (those I sent to the administrators), until the time that I'm rewriting it as eBook, I still doubted God's appointment to me. But when I wrote here, Incidents in my past: Signs that God prepared me for today (this was not included in that printed book), my faith increased that I'm really

Israel the Sword.

But I still have a little doubt in myself. It's very hard to believe that of all the millions of people in the world, I was chosen by God? That many centuries ago I was written by the prophets of God in Old Testament and even in New Testament?

When I wrote the difference between Jacob and me (this was not also written in my printed book), it completely erased my doubts. God made me ponder: If Jacob, despite his wickedness was sent by God and was written in the Bible long time ago, why can't I be send by God also when I'm righteous? So I realized why righteous was used many times in the prophecy as the identity of the Sword of God. It's the key to unleash all my doubts.

Thanks to God. Although I lack earthly wealth, God blessed me heavenly wealth—the promise of everlasting life.

The messengers of the last days:

1. Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo)—the first messenger

He should be called First Messenger—not last—because he was the root or pioneer in preaching the gospel in the last days. (Isaiah 27:6).

2. Israel the Sword or your servant—the second messenger

Since Jacob transgressed with God, He sent the second messenger called Israel the Sword to bring back Jacob to Him and continue the preaching of gospel until the end of the earth. (Malachi 3:1, Isaiah 49:1-6 and Isaiah 27:6).

3. Judah or Administrating Minister—the third messenger?

He is one who will surrender or go with Israel (Deuteronomy. 33:29 and Jeremiah 3:7-9); By doing this, he shall become messenger of God and be given the right to preach the gospel. But this cannot be claimed by anyone. He must have a testimony through the prophecies from the Bible and he should also claim that he is Judah.

Why is there a question mark in the third messenger? I'm

not sure if my interpretation is correct because the prophecy is not for me. There is also a possibility that Judah will come from other church. Only the fulfillment of the prophecy can interpret correctly that he is really Judah.

4. Other messengers that will go with Israel and Judah

They are the ministers who will help in preaching the gospel until the end of the earth.

Who must be called the last messenger?

Since the ministers who will go with Israel and Judah shall also become messengers of God, the last minister to be ordained upon Judgment Day should be rightfully called the last messenger. Why really last? Although God will never run out of servants even after Judgment, servants who teach or preach the gospel will no longer be needed with the perpetual covenant that shall not be forgotten.

Hebrews 8:10-11:

“For this is the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel after those days, saith the Lord; I will put my laws into their mind, and write them in their hearts: and I will be to them a God, and they shall be to me a people:

“And they shall not teach every man his neighbor, and every man his brother, saying, Know the Lord: for all shall know me, from the least to the greatest.”

Chapter 5

Why they say I could not be a messenger of God?

Since Bro. Ricardo Avanilla and Bro. Rudy Cabahug did not read my strong evidence that there are other messengers of God, they consumed the time of their radio program by denouncing me. They went afar from issue and point; did not read even one of my Biblical bases. They covered or hid from their listeners. That only proved that they could not really disprove my evidence.

What I sent them before was not the book yet but a pamphlet to get their answers and reactions. The rationale why the doctrine “Last Messenger: Humiliating and Self-insulting” is not yet included there. Since I’m in the side of righteousness, I will answer them point by point and issue by issue.

1. They said that I have high ambition.

This was what I heard Bro. Avanilla said when I opened the radio:

“...high ambition. When he saw that the Iglesia ni Cristo progressed, succeed through the, first: help and appointment of His messenger of the last days, eh, he is now then also messenger of the last days.

“By saying that he is a messenger, meaning: he contradicted what the Bible said that there is a last messenger of these last days,” the illogical reason of Bro. Cabahug.

“He also believes that Bro. Felix Manalo is a messenger of the last days; But he only wants to ride with or he wants to attach with or

ride-on that he is also a messenger of God,” Bro. Avanilla added.”

Bro. Cabahug has really an illogical way of reasoning. He was the one who reasoned that there is no other messenger because Jacob was not cut off. If I said that I’m sent by God, does this means that there is no last messenger? I’m not contradicting that there is last messenger? What I’m opposing is the teaching that Bro. Felix Manalo was the last messenger. Since I’ve already discussed this in Chapter 5, I will only answer about their other accusations why I could not be send by God .

Can a man become messenger of God by his own will or ambition?

In the book “Isang Pagbubunyag sa Iglesia ni Cristo,” (An Exposition of the Iglesia ni Cristo) pages 180-181, this is written in Tagalog:

“Maaari bang matamo ang karapatang maging sugo ng Dios sa sariling magagawa ng Tao?

“Sa Hebreo 3:4 ay ganito ang sinasabi:

‘At sinoman ay hindi tumatanggap sa kaniyang sarili ng karangalang ito, liban na lang kung tawagin siya ng Dios, na gaya ni Aaron.’

“Maaari bang matamo ang karapatang maging sugo sa sariling magagawa ng tao? Hindi. Bakit? Sapagka’t ang maging sugo ng Dios ay hindi tinatanggap ng sinoman sa kaniyang sarili. Paano ito tinatanggap? Tinatanggap nila ang pagiging sugo sa pamamagitan ng pagtawag ng Dios sa kanila, gaya ni Aaron. Si Aaron ay naging dakilang saserdote ng bayang Israel hindi sa kaniyang sariling kagustuhan, kundi siya’y tinawag ng Dios.”

In English:

“Can the right to be a messenger of God be attained through the own deed of a man?

“In Hebrew 5:4, it says:

‘And no man taketh this honour unto himself, but he that is

called of God, as was Aaron.'

"Can the right to become a messenger of God be attained through the own deed of a man? No. Why? Because to become a Messenger of God cannot be received by anyone by his own will. How can it be received? They are receiving to be messengers through the calling of God to them, like Aaron. Aaron became a great priest of the land of Israel not because of his own will, but he was called by God."

It's clear: Being a messenger of God cannot be attained through own will. But is it my own will to be a messenger of God? Did I ambitioned for this? If this is my ambition, I should have taken the course for ministers. I don't even know the course for ministry. Then, they accuse me that it's my ambition? Because their wisdom had perished, maybe they don't understand anymore the meaning of ambition.

I took up Civil Engineering but was not able to finish the course. But because becoming messenger of God cannot be attained through own will, He called me; He commanded me to bring Jacob back to Him or the church taken by the Manalos. He sent me though I did not study ministry and even if I did not want to be a minister.

2. None can be read from the Bible that the first messenger was opposed by the next messenger.

This was the reason of Bro. Avanilla: "Not ever that God will send messenger of God and others that will preach different from the preceding messenger none other than Bro. Felix Manalo. We cannot read Bro. Rudy from the Bible, even in the preceding messengers of God, after the next messengers appeared that the preaching of the first messenger was opposed, disputed or denounced by the messenger who rose after him. There's none like that."

"The true messengers of God have no contradictions, no oppositions. So, if ever a true messenger of God preached and there is one who contradicts, that's not a true messenger," said Bro. Cabahug.

They are really liars, because we can read!

This is the Biblical basis no. 1 written in John 5:18:

“Therefore the Jews sought the more to kill him, because he not only had broken the sabbath, but said also that God was his Father, making himself equal with God.”

Christ did not only contradicted the doctrine of Sabbath but absolutely broken it. Who was the preceding messenger who preached Sabbath opposed by Christ? Moses (Exodus 31:12-13). Since Christ contradicted Moses, is he not messenger of God anymore?

If Christ broke the Sabbath even it was truly commanded by God to Moses, the more I should break Bluff Voting because it is not God's commandment, but only from Bro. Felix Manalo.

Biblical basis no. 2: Acts 15:1-2:

“And certain men which came down from Judaea taught the brethren, and said, Except ye be circumcised after the manner of Moses, ye cannot be saved.

“When therefore Paul and Barnabas had no small dissension and disputation with them, they determined that Paul and Barnabas, and certain other of them, should go up to Jerusalem unto the apostles and elders about this question.”

This is another proof that there are succeeding messengers of God who contradicted the doctrine of the preceding messenger. Paul and Barnabas not only disagreed but disputed with people teaching the doctrine of Moses.

Who among us are the true liars and sent by the devil?

Bro. Avanilla said: “It could possibly be that the one who sent him is not God since he is teaching lies. The teacher of lies is sent by the devil.”

Bro. Cabahug read John 8:44:

“Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie,

he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.”

What Bro. Cabahug read, hit them! They are sent by the devil and they are like their liar father. They spoke of their own. Allegedly, none can be read. Why I have read? Not only one but three messengers of God and one of them is the greatest messenger of all—Jesus Christ.

What Bro. Teofilo Ramos wrote in “Pasugo” is really right about those who are not sent by God. All of the ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo are stricken—including the writer: “Can they preach? The truth is they can preach but not the words of God or the true gospel are being taught.”

If I’m the liar, why can’t they discuss issue by issue and point by point my proofs that there are other messengers of God? Why can’t they read my evidence? Those are very clear that’s why they can’t darken or disprove my Biblical bases.

3. Many had denounced the messenger of God but were in vain.

“What is the result of their fighting with the last messenger of God?” asked by Bro. Cabahug. Then he read Isaiah 41:11-12:

“Behold, all they that were incensed against thee shall be ashamed and confounded: they shall be as nothing; and they that strive with thee shall perish.

“Thou shalt seek them, and shalt not find them, even them that contended with thee: they that war against thee shall be as nothing, and as a thing of nought.”

Then Bro. Avanilla mentioned some names of the detractors of the messenger Bro. Felix Manalo that were gone and have done nothing. What Bro. Cabahug read is also for me. In fact, I was not the one who was ashamed and confused but them—the ministers of Manalo that I have encountered.

The detractors of Bro. Felix Manalo had done nothing because they are not sent by God. Some transferred to other religions, some built other church and others are enemies of faith. But I’m different

from them because I'm a Messenger of God and my enemy is not Bro. Felix Manalo but all his ministers.

That's why they have done nothing against me. They were not able to disprove my strong evidence that they are the liars.

No messengers of God fought and overthrown the other.

This is the truth that we cannot read. What I'm referring here are the servants of God preaching the gospel. We can read kings who were both servants of God that fought each other and overthrown the first king by the next king sent by God. These are some examples: Saul overthrown by David and Rehoboam overthrown by Jeroboam.

Although Christ opposed Sabbath preached by Moses, he did not treat Moses as his enemy. Why he broken that doctrine is because it was defunct (Colossians 2:14-16). Besides, Moses was already dead when he was contradicted by Christ.

Though Paul and Barnabas also contradicted the doctrine of circumcision preached by Moses, they did not likewise treat Moses as their enemy. Why they dissented and disputed with those who taught that doctrine is for the sake of the Gentiles or those who don't want to be circumcised (Galatians 5:6). Moses was also dead when opposed by Paul and Barnabas.

Although I contradicted the doctrines preached by Bro. Felix Manalo, I'm not also angry with him and not treating him as my enemy. I'm doing this for his own sake—to redeem him. I love him for he became my father through the gospel. Bro. Felix Manalo is also dead when God sent me. How can I fight a man who is already dead?

But though I'm not angry with Bro. Felix Manalo, the opposition that I'm doing for him now is really destructing. I must thresh his mountains. I must follow the will of God to profane his ministers. Jacob will be given to the curse and humiliations. Incidentally, if he is still alive today, we could have fought in words. Since Jacob's own doctrines are not in truth, nor in righteousness, he can also do nothing against me. It would be more painful for him to be cursed

and put to shame alive.

That's why God intended that Jacob is already dead before sending Israel the Sword so that they could not fight each other.

Why did God set the time that Jacob is already dead when He sent Israel the Sword?

Isaiah 48:4 and 8:

“Because I knew that thou art obstinate, and thy neck is an iron sinew, and thy brow brass;

“Yea, thou heardest not; yea, thou knewest not; yea, from that time that thine ear was not opened: for I knew that thou wouldest deal very treacherously, and wast called a transgressor from the womb.”

God knew that Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) is obstinate and transgressor from the womb. If I was sent by God during the time of Jacob, he will perverse. He will stand still with his false doctrines. From the downfall of the church he has taken, he will simultaneously fall. If that happens, he cannot be redeemed from his sins.

That's why God did this to fulfill the prophecy: Isaiah 48:9:

“For my name's sake will I defer mine anger, and for my praise will I refrain for thee, that I cut thee not off.”

God deferred or postponed His anger and punishment for Jacob during the time when he was still alive. The punishment will be done at the time when he is already dead so that he could no longer perverse, but will be redeemed from his treachery to God.

4. Why then I knew that I'm a messenger of God after my eviction?

Bro. Avanilla said: “What is funny there, that when he was already excommunicated, then he knew that he is allegedly sent by God? He should have been thankful for his eviction, because if he was not ejected, he will never know that he is a messenger of God?”

Bro. Avanilla is certainly son of the devil because he intentionally

changed what is written in the pamphlet that they received.

“In my Biblical study, it was God who taught me and I was destined for a mission even if I was at my mother’s womb. So I did not fear. I immediately made the statement.”

It is clearly implied that I already knew that I was sent by God for a mission. That’s why I did not fear to write a statement to terminate my membership with that church. But he made it appear that when I was already evicted when I knew it. He twisted what is written. He is absolutely a liar like his father—Satan!

Granting that when I was already excommunicated when I knew that I was sent by God, is it a hindrance to be a messenger of God?

Do all messengers of God know that they are sent by the Lord?

Although there is a messenger of God who knew that he was sent—Jesus Christ—even he was only twelve years old (Luke 2:42 and 49); And there were parents who knew that their sons are messengers of God even when they were still at the womb of their mothers—the parents of Christ (Matthew 1:18-25) and the parents of John the Baptist (Luke 1:5-37)—not all the messengers of God knew that they were sent but when they were already called.

These are examples of messengers of God that did not know they were sent by the Lord:

1. Moses—He killed an Egyptian before he was called by God.

Exodus 2:11-12:

“And it came to pass in those days, when Moses was grown, that he went out unto his brethren, and looked on their burdens: and he spied an Egyptian smiting an Hebrew, one of his brethren.

“And he looked this way and that way, and when he saw that there was no man, he slew the Egyptian, and hid him in the sand.”

This failed the wrong reason of Bro. Avanilla that I could not be sent by God because when I was excommunicated then I knew

that I'm a messenger of God. Moses killed a man before he became messenger of God. Why can't I be sent by God when I was only excommunicated? I was evicted without sin because there is no election yet when I was excommunicated. You judge: Which is evil? One who killed a man before becoming a messenger of God? Or one who was only excommunicated?

2. Apostle Paul—He persecuted the church before he was called.

Acts 9:1-2:

“And Saul, yet breathing out threatenings and slaughter against the disciples of the Lord, went unto the high priest,

“And desired of him letters to Damascus to the synagogues, that if he found any of this way, whether they were men or women, he might bring them bound unto Jerusalem.”

3. Bro. Felix Manalo also did not know he was a messenger of God.

If Moses (killed a man) and Paul (persecuted the church) were called by God, why can't I be called also? Even I hurt them, because I wrote Iglesia ni Manalo in my statement, that was not as evil as what Moses and Paul did.

Their superficial and unjustifiable reasons that I could not be sent by God only showed that their wisdom already perished. First: Allegedly, I have high ambition. Is it evil to ambition to become messenger of God? If bad, why did they ambitioned to be ministers? Second: Allegedly, none can be read that there is no succeeding messenger of God who contradicted the preaching of the preceding messenger. Why is it that I have read? They are absolutely liars! Third: Why then when I was excommunicated, I knew later that I was sent by God? Why? Did Bro. Felix Manalo know that he was sent by God before he was called?

So their efforts in defending their side turn to futility.

Bro. Avanilla's excuse about my strong evidence:

He said this: "Now, they could possibly say that why if we are children of the devil, why do we have bases that we are using—words of God? Since the time of our Lord Jesus Christ, it has been proven that Satan also used verses from the Bible to twist what is written in the Bible."

You judge who of us are twisting what is written: He who said that none can be read? Or me who had certainly read?

What he said that I have bases that I'm using, he also observed that those are heavy and strong. That's why he was not able to drop them down. If they are in righteousness, why can't they discuss those issue by issue and point by point? Even one of my evidence was not read and corrected through counter evidence from the Bible that they are right? They can't do anything because of the strength and clarity of my evidence that's why they could not dare to read those.

If they will read, the more they will be damned. Other brethren will doubt and possibly ask questions to them; And if they cannot answer righteously, will also get out of the Iglesia ni Manalo—like what we did.

How can they answer what is written in Malachi 3:1 that God said, "Behold, I will send my messenger... behold, he shall come...? So they can't do anything and will be ashamed because Jacob's own doctrines are lies and humiliating.

5. Allegedly, those who were excommunicated have no Spirit of God.

Bro. Avanilla said: "Those who were separated from Iglesia ni Cristo, do they have still the Spirit of God?"

Bro. Cabahug then read their basis—Judas 1:19. Due to the discrepancy in the translations of the Bible from English to Tagalog, I prefer to use the latter to coincide with their interpretation.

This is the Tagalog version of Judas 1:19:

"Ang mga ito ang nagsisigawa ng paghihiwalay, malalayaw, na

walang taglay na Espiritu.”

In English:

“These are those who are doing the separation, sensual, having no Spirit.”

If what they meant for separation is excommunication, it shows that they are those who have no Spirit. Why? Because only the administrators are doing the excommunication. If members voluntarily separate from their church, it cannot be called excommunication—but Voluntary Termination of Membership.

Because of twisting the meaning of what is written, it backfired on them. Therefore, they are those who have no Spirit!

Who are those specifically mentioned to have no Spirit? We will know who they are if we will begin reading from verse 18:

“How that they told you there should be mockers in the last time, who should walk after their own ungodly lusts.

It's clear that those who have no Spirit are the mockers of the last time—not the excommunicated. They are really sons of the devil because they covered the truth of God and changed with lies. Bro. Avanilla again spoke with his own words. He intentionally did not read verse 18 to make it appear that those who were excommunicated have no Spirit.

Those who have no Spirit are the ministers of Manalo! They separated themselves from God by teaching that wrong doctrine Last Messenger. They ought to be messengers but they don't claim that they are—even they are ministers of the church? That's why I was made minister by God to be given the right to excommunicate or separate the wicked ministers who does not want to be messengers of God.

But if you are a minister and you realized that you must be messenger of God to have the right to preach the gospel, go with me and become one. Help yourself and me in bringing back the church to God taken by the Manalos.

What will the mockers do?

2 Peter 3:3-4:

“Knowing this first, that there shall come in the last days scoffers, walking after their own lusts,

“And saying, Where is the promise of his coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, all things continue as they were from the beginning of the creation.”

The mockers mentioned in Judas 1:18 are the same here in 2 Peter 3:3; They will deny that the promise of his coming is not true because the fathers died and still nothing happened since the creation.

Which is referred in his coming?

2 Peter 3:10:

“But the day of the Lord will come as a thief in the night; in the which the heavens shall pass away with a great noise, and the elements shall melt with fervent heat, the earth also and the works that are therein shall be burned up.”

Therefore, the mockers or the scoffers are those who don't believe Judgment Day will come. They might say that I'm one of the mockers. Although I admit that I'm a mocker because I ridiculed their wrong doctrines but I'm not like them. I believe in Judgment Day. In fact, I was commanded by God to gather His sheep and shepherds because the day of the Lord is near.

In 2 Peter 3:16-17:

“As also in all his epistles, speaking in them of these things; in which are some things hard to be understood, which they that are unlearned and unstable wrest, as they do also the other scriptures, unto their own destruction.

“Ye therefore, beloved, seeing ye know these things before, beware lest ye also, being led away with the error of the wicked, fall from your own stedfastness.”

Peter warned the brethren about those who will twist the scriptures unto their own destruction: They might be misled by the errors of the wicked. Therefore, not only those who don't believe in Judgment Day will twist the scriptures but also the ministers of Manalo—like Bro. Avanilla. He twisted what are written in the scriptures and misled the brethren with their false doctrines. Their errors will lead themselves unto their own destruction.

Signs that the end is near:

1. Falling away shall come.

2 Thessalonians 2:1-4:

“Now we beseech you, brethren, by the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, and by our gathering together unto him,

“That ye be not soon shaken in mind, or be troubled, neither by spirit, nor by word, nor by letter as from us, as that the day of Christ is at hand.

“Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition;

“Who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, shewing himself that he is God.”

Falling away must come first before the day of the Lord. In Revised Standard Version, it is translated: rebellion; In Visayan Bible (Maayong Balita): pag-alsa batok sa Dios (rebellion against God).

But it is written in Isaiah 10:20-21 that there will be escapees from the house of Jacob and they shall return unto God.

In Isaiah 2:17-20:

“And the loftiness of man shall be bowed down, and the haughtiness of men shall be made low: and the Lord alone shall be exalted in that day.

“And the idols he shall utterly abolish.

“And they shall go into the holes of the rocks, and into the caves of the earth, for fear of the Lord, and for the glory of his majesty, when he ariseth to shake terribly the earth.

“In that day a man shall cast his idols of silver, and his idols of gold, which they made each one for himself to worship, to the moles and to the bats;”

Therefore, the rebellion that will happen is not against God, but against idolatry. But our rebellion against the false gods (the administrators) is by peaceful means: you will just escape from the house of Jacob or the Iglesia ni Manalo.

2. The son of perdition will be revealed.

In the book “Isang Pagbubunyag sa Iglesia ni Cristo” (An Exposition of the Iglesia ni Cristo), pages 57-58, the referred sons of perdition in 2 Thessalonians 2:3 allegedly, are the priests for they are exalting themselves to be like God: Father of the soul.

Note verse 4: “Who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, shewing himself that he is God.” Though the priests are also opposing God they are not contradicting false gods. In fact, they have many idols. Besides, the ministers also teach that Catholics are not the people of God. Therefore, the church of the Catholics is not the temple of God.

Since the administrators of the Iglesia ni Manalo are exalting themselves like God (they chose candidates to be placed to higher powers), opposing God and all that is called gods or that is worshipped (idols) and setting in the temple of God, they are the Sons of Perdition. They are putting the brethren to disaster because of teaching false doctrine Bluff Voting. The EDSA 3 tragedy is the example.

The ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo are the false prophets that will decieve the very elect.

Matthew 24:24:

“For there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect.”

I did not know nor hear that there were people who preached that they are Christ. Do you know some? So the referred false Christs are also the false prophets. They will make false Christ by using his words to insinuate that he and God are one. In other words, they will teach that the God is Christ.

For the sake of nonmembers of the church who might read this eBook, this is the proof that those who are teaching that Christ is also God the Father are making false Christs.

Mark 15:34:

“And at the ninth hour Jesus cried with a loud voice, saying, Eloi, Eloi, lama sabachthani? which is, being interpreted, My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?”

If Christ is also God the Father, this verse showed that he is a liar? He is about to die then, but he still pretended that he is not God? He is a very good actor. He even cried with a loud voice: “My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?” Do you think he could do that? If Christ is really the God, could He lie to misled people?

This is declared in Titus 1:2:

“In hope of eternal life, which God, that cannot lie, promised before the world began;”

A minister of the Iglesia ni Manalo named Pol Guevarra said, “God could lie if He wants.” It’s very clear that God cannot lie, but he contradicted what is written. He is really a false prophet—son of the father of liars: Satan. You can watch this in youtube. Just type Pol Guevarra then enter.

The Iglesia ni Cristo are the very elect of God. Since they (ministers) are teaching false doctrines, they misled even the very elect.

Chapter 6

God's Punishments to Jacob!

One deacon said, “If Bloc Voting is really false, it’s not us that will be punished by God, but them—Ka Erdy only and his ministers. And that’s just a little thing.” Is it true that you will not be punished if you will continue following that false doctrine? Only Bro. Felix Manalo, Ka Erdy, Ka Eddie and their ministers shall be punished? Is the transgression by Jacob in teaching that doctrine is only a little thing? My answer is also a question to you: Is it a little thing to be treacherous to God teaching idolatry?

In Isaiah 48:8-9 we can read that God will not cast Jacob away or not cut off despite his treachery and transgressions. But God shall definitely punish him.

Jeremiah 46:28:

“Fear thou not, O Jacob my servant, saith the Lord: for I am with thee; for I will make a full end of all the nations whither I have driven thee: but I will not make a full end of thee, but correct thee in measure; yet will I not leave thee wholly unpunished.”

The iniquities of Jacob and his ministers:

1. They hate the good, and love the evil.

Micah 3:1-2:

“And I said, Hear, I pray you, O heads of Jacob, and ye princes of the house of Israel; Is it not for you to know judgment?

“Who hate the good, and love the evil...”

The heads of Jacob: Bro. Eddie and the administrators and the

princes are the ministers of the church. The father and son (Bro. Felix and Erdy) are included here though they are both dead because their deeds are the same with those who are still living.

They hate the good, and love the evil. They love more the corrupt and wicked politicians from outside than the insiders (church members). They are excommunicating good brethren not following their deceptive unity.

But adulterers are not evicted. Instead, they are covering and protecting those evil doers.

There was a destined minister then here in Davao City who was known to be an adulterer, but was not excommunicated. He was only transferred to other place. When I said this to the head deacon of our place, this was his answer: "That's not true because it was not proven."

There was also one deacon adulterer. I knew the truth because his mistress was our neighbor then. Let's call him Bro. B. I could not believe it at first. I thought that he was righteous or holy because he always cried when we prayed during our committee meetings. Because my deaconess mother also knew about it, a minister who visited our house asked her: "Sister, do you know about Bro. B?" My mother honestly agreed. The minister said, "Don't spread this sister, ha?"

2. They are perverting equity.

Micah 3:8-9:

"But truly I am full of power by the spirit of the Lord, and of judgment, and of might, to declare unto Jacob his transgression, and to Israel his sin.

"Hear this, I pray you, ye heads of the house of Jacob, and princes of the house of Israel, that abhor judgment, and pervert all equity."

I said to the head deacon: "Brother, why was I immediately excommunicated? You knew that I'm righteous? That's why you called me twice to be a deacon." He answered: "Before, you were really

righteous; But now, not anymore because your mind is darkened.”

They are really perverting equity. Those who do not follow false doctrines are supposed to be righteous; But for them, those are dark-minded. Before: I pitied those who were evicted. I thought that they are evil because they did not follow unity. Now that I knew the truth, I realized that the brethren who did not submit to the dark doctrines of Jacob are the good and righteous.

3. They neglected God's sheep.

Micah 3:2-4:

“Who hate the good, and love the evil; who pluck off their skin from off them, and their flesh from off their bones;

“Who also eat the flesh of my people, and flay their skin from off them; and they break their bones, and chop them in pieces, as for the pot, and as flesh within the caldron.

“Then shall they cry unto the Lord, but he will not hear them: he will even hide his face from them at that time, as they have behaved themselves ill in their doings.”

What's the meaning of this? Ezekiel 34:1-4:

“And the word of the Lord came unto me, saying,

“Son of man, prophesy against the shepherds of Israel, prophesy, and say unto them, Thus saith the Lord God unto the shepherds; Woe be to the shepherds of Israel that do feed themselves! should not the shepherds feed the flocks?

“Ye eat the fat, and ye clothe you with the wool, ye kill them that are fed: but ye feed not the flock.

“The diseased have ye not strengthened, neither have ye healed that which was sick, neither have ye bound up that which was broken, neither have ye brought again that which was driven away, neither have ye sought that which was lost; but with force and with cruelty have ye ruled them.”

They are grabbing the food from the poor brethren because they

are still asking them to give tithes. The administrators are living abundantly—free house food and with cars; But they neglected the plight of the poor brethren when they are eating because of the offerings of the members.

4. They rule by force and with cruelty.

They are vainglorious and as if they are lords. Other administrators even punch the brethren. The reason of others: “We can’t avoid anger. We are only humans.”

Is this argument right?

1 Timothy 3:2-3:

“A bishop then must be blameless, the husband of one wife, vigilant, sober, of good behaviour, given to hospitality, apt to teach;

“Not given to wine, no striker, not greedy of filthy lucre; but patient, not a brawler, not covetous;”

1 Timothy 4:16:

“Take heed unto thyself, and unto the doctrine; continue in them: for in doing this thou shalt both save thyself, and them that hear thee.”

A bishop must be sober. If they cannot do this, they have no right to be ministers. They cannot save you and even themselves because they did not stick with the doctrines.

Galatians 1:8:

“But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed.”

The other gospel they preached: (1) Bluff Voting, (2) Last Messenger, (3) Christ is only a man even in heaven. So the ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo deserve to be accursed!

5. They build the church with blood and iniquity.

Micah 3:10:

“They build up Zion with blood, and Jerusalem with iniquity.”

Zion is the holy mountain and Jerusalem is the holy city of God (Hebrews 12:22). In other word, the church. What is the meaning of they are building it with blood?

Ezekiel 22:27-28

“Her princes in the midst thereof are like wolves ravening the prey, to shed blood, and to destroy souls, to get dishonest gain.

“And her prophets have daubed them with untempered mortar, seeing vanity, and divining lies unto them, saying, Thus saith the Lord God, when the Lord hath not spoken.”

This means: They are building the church through vanity and deception that cause destruction to brethren (e.g. EDSA 3 tragedy); But for their dishonest gain—their political power. What is written really fit them: They are using the name of the Lord God with vanity and lies. Allegedly, we are uniting with God when we vote even the Lord has not spoken.

6. They are truly receiving bribes from the candidates.

Micah 3:9 and 11:

“Hear this, I pray you, ye heads of the house of Jacob, and princes of the house of Israel, that abhor judgment, and pervert all equity.

“The heads thereof judge for reward, and the priests thereof teach for hire, and the prophets thereof divine for money: yet will they lean upon the Lord, and say, Is not the Lord among us? none evil can come upon us.”

Because according to them, the judgment in 1 Corinthians 1:10 means to vote, the administrators judge for rewards or bribes. In Tagalog Bible, reward is translated: suhol (bribe). The accusations of church detractors are really true. As proof, Brad Julie slipped his tongue by saying, “Binigyan ako, eh. Ikaw, hindi, eh!” (I was given, eh. You’re not, eh!)

Maybe, Bro. Felix and his son Bro. Erdy did not accept money,

but favors to recommend brethren who might be placed to higher positions with the government.

This is the reason why New Era University was built—they teach for hire and divine for money. They are always reminding brethren: “Don’t forget your offerings and deposits for thanksgiving.” Pure money is always in their minds.

Yet, they are thinking that nothing evil will come upon them because they lean upon the Lord. They are really “wise fools!”

The punishments of Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) and his liar ministers:

1. God will destroy Jacob’s cities.

Micah 5:13-15:

“Thy graven images also will I cut off, and thy standing images out of the midst of thee; and thou shalt no more worship the work of thine hands.

“And I will pluck up thy groves out of the midst of thee: so will I destroy thy cities.

“And I will execute vengeance in anger and fury upon the heathen, such as they have not heard.”

Though we don’t have statues inside our houses of worship, we have molten image in our minds: The false doctrine of by Bro. Felix Manalo. But he had his monument in the INC Central Complex. From the beginning, it is prohibited by God to make even His image or anything in heaven or on earth. But they violated it by making that brass statue of Bro. Felix Manalo: the false god that I obeyed then when I voted.

So God will remove that doctrine of idolatry, will destroy Jacob’s cities and break into pieces his brass statue: the symbol of his treachery to God.

Verse 15 proves that not only Bro. Erdy will be punished by God but also those who will not listen.

2. Jacob will be given to the curse and humiliations.

Isaiah 43:28:

“Therefore I have profaned the princes of the sanctuary, and have given Jacob to the curse, and Israel to reproaches.”

The princes of the sanctuary are the administrators and ministers of the church worldwide. They will be humiliated because they are “wise fools” teaching an embarrassing and self-insulting doctrine: Last Messenger. Their deceptive doctrine Bluff Voting shall be exposed. They shall be criticized and be blamed for their irreverence with God and Christ by dishonoring the declaration of the Father that He already made His son Lord or God. They insist that Christ is still a man even in heaven.

The name Manalo shall be cursed and those who will stay with his church shall be humiliated.

3. God shall get His sheep out from their hands.

Ezekiel 34:9-10:

“Therefore, O ye shepherds, hear the word of the Lord;

“Thus saith the Lord God; Behold, I am against the shepherds; and I will require my flock at their hand, and cause them to cease from feeding the flock; neither shall the shepherds feed themselves any more; for I will deliver my flock from their mouth, that they may not be meat for them.”

The shepherds or ministers are only dependent upon the offerings of the members. So they will not feed themselves anymore because God shall get His sheep from their hands.

4. They shall fall from power.

Haggai 2:22:

“And I will overthrow the throne of kingdoms, and I will destroy the strength of the kingdoms of the heathen; and I will overthrow the chariots, and those that ride in them; and the horses and their

riders shall come down, every one by the sword of his brother.”

Micah 5:10-11:

“And it shall come to pass in that day, saith the Lord, that I will cut off thy horses out of the midst of thee, and I will destroy thy chariots:

“And I will cut off the cities of thy land, and throw down all thy strong holds:”

They will be overthrown from the throne of their kingdom by the Sword. All of their Biblical bases about their false doctrines shall be thrown down.

5. The mountains of Jacob shall be destroyed.

Micah 1:4-5:

“And the mountains shall be molten under him, and the valleys shall be cleft, as wax before the fire, and as the waters that are poured down a steep place.

“For the transgression of Jacob is all this, and for the sins of the house of Israel...”

Micah 3:12:

“Therefore shall Zion for your sake be plowed as a field, and Jerusalem shall become heaps, and the mountain of the house as the high places of the forest.”

Why high places of the forest? Is it right to build high places or buildings when there are no people in the forest? So it means: The Iglesia ni Manalo shall run out of members. If there are some who will remain, only few will be left.

The mountains of Jacob shall be threshed.

Isaiah 41:15-16:

“Behold, I will make thee a new sharp threshing instrument having teeth: thou shalt thresh the mountains, and beat them small,

and shalt make the hills as chaff.

“Thou shalt fan them, and the wind shall carry them away, and the whirlwind shall scatter them: and thou shalt rejoice in the Lord, and shalt glory in the Holy One of Israel.”

Isaiah 57:13-14:

“When thou criest, let thy companies deliver thee; but the wind shall carry them all away; vanity shall take them: but he that putteth his trust in me shall possess the land, and shall inherit my holy mountain;

“And shall say, Cast ye up, cast ye up, prepare the way, take up the stumblingblock out of the way of my people.”

These verses are clear: The mountains of Jacob shall melt like wax, be threshed and shall be destroyed. The brethren gathered by the Manalos shall be carried away by the wind and the whirlwind shall scatter them. This means: Enlightened brethren will escape the Iglesia ni Manalo. They shall return to God to become True Iglesia ni Cristo.

Enemies of the church will rejoice for their downfall.

Lamentations 2:15-17:

“All that pass by clap their hands at thee; they hiss and wag their head at the daughter of Jerusalem, saying, Is this the city that men call The perfection of beauty, The joy of the whole earth?

“All thine enemies have opened their mouth against thee: they hiss and gnash the teeth: they say, We have swallowed her up: certainly this is the day that we looked for; we have found, we have seen it.

“The Lord hath done that which he had devised; he hath fulfilled his word that he had commanded in the days of old: he hath thrown down, and hath not pitied: and he hath caused thine enemy to rejoice over thee, he hath set up the horn of thine adversaries.”

Horn here means: power (Psalms 132:17, Lamentations 2:1).

In the redemption of Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo), is his son Bro. Erdy included?

These verses fit with the father and son: Isaiah 57:9-14.

Let's discuss first verse 9:

“And thou wentest to the king with ointment, and didst increase thy perfumes, and didst send thy messengers far off, and didst debase thyself even unto hell.”

Though it's written in singular, it refers to both of them. **Went** is not literal because the Kings or Presidents approached them to ask for their support. This means: They incidentally chosen those that had ointment. In the old time, those with ointment are the kings chosen by God. In our time: The candidates placed to power by God.

The father and son increased their perfumes: they became fragrant to politicians. They debased themselves even unto hell for their iniquities and for teaching false doctrines; Or they are supposed to be punished in hell come Judgment Day.

In verse 10:

“Thou art wearied in the greatness of thy way; yet saidst thou not, There is no hope: thou hast found the life of thine hand; therefore thou wast not grieved.

Though Bloc Voting is vanity—it will not sanctify our souls—they did not say for they found life with it: the political power that they boasted. This is indeed life for the administrators who choose candidates because they are given bribes by the politicians.

In verses 11-12:

“And of whom hast thou been afraid or feared, that thou hast lied, and hast not remembered me, nor laid it to thy heart? have not I held my peace even of old, and thou fearest me not?

“I will declare thy righteousness, and thy works; for they shall not profit thee.”

The father and son did not fear God. They used the name of the Lord that we are uniting with Him even when we vote. They taught that blasphemous doctrine until their death. So God will declare their wickedness.

You may wonder why I changed the word **righteousness** to **wickedness**? Verse 12 is not really confusing if you will just analyze verses 9 and 11. They debased themselves even unto hell, did not fear God, they lied and did not remember Him. Can you consider their works righteous when those will not profit them in the eyes of God? Therefore, when He said: "I will declare thy righteousness," what He meant is opposite—wickedness.

In Isaiah 57:13-14:

"When thou criest, let thy companies deliver thee; but the wind shall carry them all away; vanity shall take them: but he that putteth his trust in me shall possess the land, and shall inherit my holy mountain;

"And shall say, Cast ye up, cast ye up, prepare the way, take up the stumbling block out of the way of my people."

Bro. Erdy was already given the chance to abide with the truth when he was still alive. I sent him a letter about the bases that will prove Bloc Voting is not a commandment of God. But I hid in the name Pablo Apostol because of fear of immediate eviction. That time I was afraid to reveal my identity for I don't know yet that I'm sent or a Messenger of God.

I suggested to him if it could be possible, to remove that for it only cause stumble to brethren. If not totally abolished, amend it in a way that we will truly unite with God. We will write: "It's up to God" on the ballots. But Bro. Erdy ignored it. He continued teaching their false doctrine. If he will follow the correct way of uniting with God, no more politicians will come to him. The Iglesia ni Manalo will lost their political power!

Now I realized that God had indeed commanded me to do it. The prophecy has been fulfilled in me: "And shall say, Cast ye up, cast

ye up, prepare the way, take up the stumbling block out of the way of my people.”

My possession of the land and inheritance of the mountain of God is only temporary as the shepherd of the flock. Upon Judgment Day, the real heir is our Lord Jesus Christ because he shall judge the world and shall inherit all nations (Psalms 82:1 and 8).

Only Jacob shall be redeemed.

Isaiah 43:1 and 4:

“But now thus saith the Lord that created thee, O Jacob, and he that formed thee, O Israel, Fear not: for I have redeemed thee, I have called thee by thy name; thou art mine.

“Since thou wast precious in my sight, thou hast been honourable, and I have loved thee: therefore will I give men for thee, and people for thy life.”

Sometimes, God meant the antonyms of the words he used in Isaiah 57:9 and 12: like **went** and **righteousness**. Another example is **life** in Isaiah 43:4: the concealed word that I mentioned earlier in Chapter 4. Jacob is already long time dead. So we cannot save his life anymore, but his **soul** from second death in hell.

In Isaiah 48:8-10:

“Yea, thou heardest not; yea, thou knewest not; yea, from that time that thine ear was not opened: for I knew that thou wouldest deal very treacherously, and wast called a transgressor from the womb.

“For my name’s sake will I defer mine anger, and for my praise will I refrain for thee, that I cut thee not off.

“Behold, I have refined thee, but not with silver; I have chosen thee in the furnace of affliction.”

What is the meaning of silver to God?

Psalms 12:6:

“The words of the Lord are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace

of earth, purified seven times.”

Jacob was refined or purified not as silver because he taught doctrines that are not from God. But he was still chosen from the furnace of affliction. A furnace is used in purifying silver and was also used as punishment by King Nebuchadnezzar: to cast people in the fiery furnace. Therefore, the meaning of furnace of affliction: is hell.

Jacob is supposed to be punished in hell for his evil works. He debased himself even unto hell (Isaiah 57:9). But despite his iniquities and sins, he shall be redeemed because he was precious in the eyes of God, honorable and the Lord loved him.

Maybe you will not believe that Jacob's soul can still be saved. There are verses in the Bible that living men cannot save the souls of dead people, but not with God. He is the most Powerful God. He can make what man cannot do.

Luke 18:27:

“And he said, The things which are impossible with men are possible with God.”

God said, “I redeemed thee.” Even that did not happen yet, it seemed he was already redeemed (past tense) because the word of God has power and shall really come true. The proof that it was not yet fulfilled, future tense is used in Isaiah 43:4: “... will I give men for thee, and people for thy life.”

Therefore, since only Jacob was mentioned to be redeemed, his son, Bro. Erdy will go down to hell.

Why is it that the prophecies in the Bible are written as if they already happened even they are not yet done?

Isaiah 46:9-10 at Romans 4: 17:

“Remember the former things of old: for I am God, and there is none else; I am God, and there is none like me,

“Declaring the end from the beginning, and from ancient times

the things that are not yet done, saying, My counsel shall stand, and I will do all my pleasure:"

"(As it is written, I have made thee a father of many nations,) before him whom he believed, even God, who quickeneth the dead, and calleth those things which be not as though they were."

Chapter 7

Christ is only a man even in heaven: A treacherous doctrine of Jacob!

This is another wrong doctrine of Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo). He taught that Jesus is still a man even he is already in heaven and setting at the right hand of God. This teaching is also a blasphemy to God and Christ. Christ was already exalted when he obeyed God until death to the cross. But the ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo are pulling him down. They don't acknowledge Christ as God when his Father already made him Lord. This showed that they are disrespectful of the authority given by God to the Son. They ignored it and don't want to obey the will of God.

So you must study how God and Christ were blasphemed by teaching this doctrine.

The Biblical bases used by those who believe that Christ is God:

1. He was with God before the creation.

Proverbs 8:22-30:

“The Lord possessed me in the beginning of his way, before his works of old.

“I was set up from everlasting, from the beginning, or ever the earth was.

“When there were no depths, I was brought forth; when there were no fountains abounding with water.

“Before the mountains were settled, before the hills was I brought forth:

“While as yet he had not made the earth, nor the fields, nor the highest part of the dust of the world.

“When he prepared the heavens, I was there: when he set a compass upon the face of the depth:

“When he established the clouds above: when he strengthened the fountains of the deep:

“When he gave to the sea his decree, that the waters should not pass his commandment: when he appointed the foundations of the earth:

“Then I was by him, as one brought up with him: and I was daily his delight, rejoicing always before him;”

How can we be sure that Christ is this mentioned in Proverbs 8:22-30?

1 Corinthians 2:6-7:

“Howbeit we speak wisdom among them that are perfect: yet not the wisdom of this world, nor of the princes of this world, that come to nought:

“But we speak the wisdom of God in a mystery, even the hidden wisdom, which God ordained before the world unto our glory:”

Who is the wisdom of God?

1 Corinthians 1:24:

“But unto them which are called, both Jews and Greeks, Christ the power of God, and the wisdom of God.”

He was ahead of Abraham. John 8:58:

“Then said the Jews unto him, Thou art not yet fifty years old, and hast thou seen Abraham?

“Jesus said unto them, Verily, verily, I say unto you, Before Abraham was, I am.”

Because of the mentioned verses, it has proven that the Son is already with the Father before the creation of the world. Therefore, the doctrine taught by the ministers of Manalo that Jesus is ahead than Abraham only in the mind of God is wrong. He really preceded Abraham.

Why it was not known that Christ is the power and wisdom of God?

This was not written in the Old Testament. He was mentioned in Proverbs 8:22-30, but he was declared in the New Testament (1 Corinthians 2:6-8). Although he was with God before the creation of the world, he was not yet introduced by his Father. I searched the word Son of God in the Old Testament through the internet simple searches of King James Version Bible. Only one verse is the result—Daniel 3:25.

In Daniel 3:24, King Nebuchadnezzar was astonished because they cast in the burning fiery furnace three men only—Shadrach, Meshach, and Abednego. They were punished because of refusal to worship the golden image he built.

In Daniel 3:25 and 28:

“He answered and said, Lo, I see four men loose, walking in the midst of the fire, and they have no hurt; and the form of the fourth is like the Son of God.

In Revised Standard Version, “son of the gods” is written. “Son of the gods” is wrong because gods (lower case) means false gods. Therefore, King James Version is the correct translation because the true God saved Sadrach, Mesach, at Abednego. The Son was sent by God in the form of an angel.

Though Christ is the Son of God, there is no declaration yet from the Father that His Son is also God in the Old Testament. So the discussed verses are not enough to prove that Christ is God.

2. Christ is equal with God but he took off his being God.

Philippians 2:6-8:

“Who, being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God:

“But made himself of no reputation, and took upon him the form of a servant, and was made in the likeness of men:”

In this King James translation—even in Revised Standard Version—verse 6 does not mean that Christ is equal with God. But verse 7 is confusing: “But made himself of no refutation,” seemed Christ has the power to make himself to be like that; “And was made in the likeness of men” is contradicting because it implied that God made him like men?

In Tagalog Bible, parts of verses 6 and 7 were twisted to show that Christ is equal with God and he has his own power to transform himself to be man.

This is how it was translated:

“Na siya, bagama’t nasa anyong Dios, ay hindi niya inaring isang bagay na nararapat panangnan ang pagkapantay niya sa Dios,

“Kundi bagkus hinubad niya ito, at naganyong alipin, na nakitulado sa mga tao:”

From Tagalog to English:

“Who, being in the form of God, he did not take a thing that must be grasped his equality with God,

“But instead he took it off, and transformed slave, in the likeness of men.”

Why in verse 6, Christ is already equal with God? And in verse 7, “But made himself of no reputation, and took upon him the form of a servant, and was made in the likeness of men,” became “But instead he took it off, and transformed slave, in the likeness of men.” This is a big deception! The translation was deliberately twisted to show that Christ is God and has power to transform himself as slave like men.

Even in Revised Standard Version, Christ is not equal with God because it is written: “did not count equality with God.” Christ could never be equal with God because he said in John 14:28: “God is greater than I.” So he will not think to rob or will not count equality with God. Proof of this, in Philippians 2:8: “he humbled himself, and became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross.”

Why did I changed “But made himself of no reputation” with “But accepted himself of no reputation?” It’s wrong that he made himself because there is contradiction that he was made. It is not his will to be man but he accepted it. He only followed the will of his Father.

3. Christ is God who transformed-man.

John 1:14:

“In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.”

“And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us, (and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father,) full of grace and truth.”

Allegedly, Christ is God because the Word is God. Consequently, the Word is God because the speaker is God. But when the Word was made flesh, is the flesh God?

But in Tagalog version, it was translated “At nagkatawang-tao ang Verbo” (and the Word transformed-man).” It implies that Christ has the power to transform himself to be human. It’s obviously mistranslated to deceive people that Christ is God.

4. Only the body of Christ is man, but inside is God.

I heard this reason from Bro. Eli Soriano; A preacher of the Members Church of God International established in the Philippines and the archrival of the Iglesia ni Manalo. Though they don’t agree with Catholics’ doctrine of Trinity, they believed that Christ is also God even in the body of a man.

But he is unaware that he is contradicting himself in believing that God is inside Christ’s body, he took off his being God and transformed himself man. This is a self-destructing or self-contradicting doctrine. If Christ took off his being God, is God still inside his body? Instead of proving it right, the more it is proven wrong! God is gone inside

his body because he has taken it off! And since he took it off, he relinquished his power. How can he transform to be man if he has no more power to do it? This is a doctrine of stupidity!

5. If the Father is God, the Son is also God.

Bro. Soriano also gave other examples: If the father is a carabao, naturally, the son is also a carabao; If the father is a man, the son is also a man. Though he is right, he is wrong if his example is applied to God. Why?

What is the wholeness of the living God? Read John 4:24 and John 3:6:

“God is a Spirit: and they that worship him must worship him in spirit and in truth.”

“That which is born of the flesh is flesh; and that which is born of the Spirit is spirit.”

This is the logic when applied to God: If the Father is a Spirit, the Son is also a spirit. If the Father is God, it does not really follow that the Son is also God. The word God is a name called to the only One, Most Powerful, the Immortal and the Creator—the Father of Christ. Can we call Christ God when he was mortal on earth? The proof is he died on the cross. So it’s really unfitting to call him God when he has no qualities and power of a God then. He was sent to the world not as God but only in the form of God, because he is the image of God in flesh.

Like for example the King: It is also a name called to the highest ruler of a kingdom. Normally, the first son is the successor of the King. But he is not yet called King until his father is still alive. He only becomes King when his father is incapable to perform his duties or already dead.

Another example: The President of a very big company has only a begotten son; His son will not be called immediately as President like his father. He must grow first, study and learn more in the management of their company to be qualified for the position. So

while the son is in the process of growing and learning, he will be addressed by their employees as the son of the President. When his father has already declared or appointed him as the successor, that's the time he will be acknowledged President of the company.

So we must not anticipate God's declaration by believing on wrong presumptions that because the Father is God, the Son is also God. The proof that we must believe is the declaration of the Father that His Son is already God.

Why must Christ become a man?

Matthew 1:20-21:

“But while he thought on these things, behold, the angel of the Lord appeared unto him in a dream, saying, Joseph, thou son of David, fear not to take unto thee Mary thy wife: for that which is conceived in her is of the Holy Ghost.

“And she shall bring forth a son, and thou shalt call his name Jesus: for he shall save his people from their sins.”

How will Christ save his people from their sins?

Matthew 26:28:

“For this is my blood of the new testament, which is shed for many for the remission of sins.”

Since Christ is a spirit then, what is the distinction of a spirit from a man?

Luke 24:39:

“Behold my hands and my feet, that it is I myself: handle me, and see; for a spirit hath not flesh and bones, as ye see me have.”

What is the wholeness of a living man?

1 Thessalonians 5:23:

“And the very God of peace sanctify you wholly; and I pray God your whole spirit and soul and body be preserved blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ.”

A man consists of spirit, soul and body—the flesh. When a man dies, his soul shall also die and shall stick to the dust (Ezekiel 18:4 and Psalms 119:25); The body (dust) will return to the earth as it was and the spirit shall return to God who gave it (Ecclesiastes 12:7). Therefore, the soul and body shall die, but the spirit will not. That's why God is immortal because He is a Spirit.

If Christ was sent as a spirit, how can his blood be shed when the spirit has no flesh and bones and also has no blood? So he must be born of the flesh. He must become a man to fulfill the importance of his being human—to shed his blood for the salvation of his people.

This is another important reason why Christ must become a man.
1 Timothy 2:5:

“For there is one God, and one mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus;”

Christ's being a man is the way to make him the mediator between God and men.

He, himself, said that he is a man. John 8:40:

“But now ye seek to kill me, a man that hath told you the truth, which I have heard of God: this did not Abraham.”

Since there are written verses that Christ is a man, let's accept and believe those bases. Therefore, when Christ came to the world to save his people, he really was a man.

Rationale why Christ is not God when he was sent to earth:

1. He was born like other ordinary children.

From the time of his conception until the time when he knew that God is his Father, he was an ordinary child. He has no memory of his existence at the womb of her mother Mary. And like any other innocent young children, he also did not know God. The New Testament is silent about his Godly power and wisdom during Mary's pregnancy, even until his birth and when he was growing. The time

that he started to show he has the wisdom of his Father is when he was already twelve years old—because he knew then that his Father is God (Luke 2:49).

2. His soul was exceedingly sorrowful for his impending death.

In Matthew 26:41:

“Watch and pray, that ye enter not into temptation: the spirit indeed is willing, but the flesh is weak.”

Why the flesh is weak or Christ has the weakness like humans?
Matthew 26:38-39 and 44:

“Then saith he unto them, My soul is exceeding sorrowful, even unto death: tarry ye here, and watch with me.

“And he went a little farther, and fell on his face, and prayed, saying, O my Father, if it be possible, let this cup pass from me: nevertheless not as I will, but as thou wilt.

“And he left them, and went away again, and prayed the third time, saying the same words.”

His soul was exceedingly sorrowful because he was also afraid to die. That’s why he prayed three times. If only Christ’s will prevailed, if possible, he wants to let the cup pass from him, or what is destined to shed his blood; But not according to his will, but the will of God. That’s why the Tagalog translation that he transformed himself as man and he took off his being God is really wrong because it’s not his will to become man and to die on the cross.

3. Because of the ordeal he suffered on the cross, he was upset with God.

Granting without accepting that Christ took off his being God, the more it will show that he was only a man when he was sent to earth. Since he took it off, he felt the pain and anguish of the tortures inflicted on him when he was crucified. In fact, when he was about

to die, he cried with a loud voice—Mark 15:34: “My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?”

4. He has no own power when he was sent to earth.

Although it is written that he is the power and wisdom of God, he has no power for himself when he became a man. Why he was called the power and wisdom of God is because those were manifested through Jesus. When he resurrected the dead, healed the blind and the sick and performed other miracles, it was God’s power that worked. If he has his own power like of God, he could have used it so that he could not feel the agony of his crucifixion.

5. He was made a little lower than angels.

Hebrews 2:9:

“But we see Jesus, who was made a little lower than the angels for the suffering of death, crowned with glory and honour; that he by the grace of God should taste death for every man.”

This is the clearest and strongest proof that Christ is not God before: He was made a little lower than angels. This verse also proved that the King James translation of Philippians 2:7: “But made himself of no reputation,” is wrong. He did not make himself. The Tagalog translation of John 1:14: that “the Word transformed-man,” is also false?

Christ has no power of God to do it. God made him lower than angels when he became a man. So Christ is not God since he is lower than angels.

Therefore, Bro. Eli Soriano is a false prophet because he is teaching a false Christ and he is using mistranslated verses to deceive people. It’s a lie that because the Father is God, the Son is also God.

I will send this eBook to Bro. Soriano. If he will continue teaching his false doctrine after reading this, he is really a deceiver and son of the devil!

When Christ died and ascended to heaven, is he still a man?

In the February 1964 issue of “Pasugo, this was written by Bro. Pedro Villanueva, in Tagalog:

“Tao rin ang kalagayan ni Cristo sa kaniyang muling pagparito sa Araw ng Paghuhukom. Hindi nagbabago ang kaniyang kalagayan, hindi siya naging Dios kailanman. TAO nang ipanganak, TAO nang lumaki na, at mangaral, TAO nang mabuhay na mag-uli, TAO nang umakyat sa langit, TAO nang nasa langit na, nakaupo sa kanan ng Dios at TAO rin siya na muling paririto. Kung gayon, ang aral ng Iglesia ni Cristo na si Cristo’y tao ay aral na mula kay Cristo.”

In English:

“The being of Christ is still a man in his coming back in Judgment Day. His being is not changed, he did not ever become God. MAN when he was born, MAN when he grew up and preached, MAN when resurrected, MAN when he ascended to heaven, MAN when he is already in heaven and setting at the right hand of God and also MAN that will come back. Therefore, the doctrine of the Iglesia ni Cristo that Christ is a man is a doctrine from Christ.”

Bro. Villanueva even pressed that Christ is only a man because the words TAO (MAN) were written in capital letters. Allegedly, Christ did not ever become God? Bro. Felix Manalo and his “wise fools” are really blasphemous to God and Christ by teaching this doctrine!

Maybe these two verses are their bases for teaching that Christ is still a man even he is already in heaven.

John 1:18 and John 3:13:

“No man hath seen God at any time; the only begotten Son, which is in the bosom of the Father, he hath declared him.

“And no man hath ascended up to heaven, but he that came down from heaven, even the Son of man which is in heaven.”

Although these verses seemed contradicting with each other, these are both correct. Why? Christ was not a man when he was with God before the creation of the world. He was a spirit. Though he was really son of man when he was born of Mary, he is not a man anymore when he ascended to heaven where he came from.

Why Christ is no longer a man when he is already in heaven?

1 Corinthians 15:51-53:

“Behold, I shew you a mystery; We shall not all sleep, but we shall all be changed,

“In a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, at the last trump: for the trumpet shall sound, and the dead shall be raised incorruptible, and we shall be changed.

“For this corruptible must put on incorruption, and this mortal must put on immortality.”

Since Christ became a man, he is corruptible and mortal. That’s why he died. But when he ascended to heaven, he must be changed. He has to put on incorruption and immortality. You already knew what a man consists: body, soul and spirit. The body and soul die, but the spirit does not.

There is an altitude from earth’s sea level to the sky, estimated to be 8,000 meters (26,246.7 ft.) and above, that we can no longer breathe due to lack of oxygen. Man will surely die there. That’s why it is called Death Zone.

Therefore, it’s really impossible that a man can ascend to heaven without changing his being. Christ is no longer a man, but a spirit again when he is in heaven and setting at the right hand of God. It is really correct that no man has seen or can see God because a man needs to be changed as spirit to reach heaven and see Him.

Before: Jacob taught that Christ is God that has God.

In August 1939 issue of “Pasugo,” this was written by Bro. Ben-

jamin Santiago, Sr., page 17 in Tagalog:

“Ang batang lalaking ipangananak na tinutukoy ni Isaias ay ang ating Panginoong Jesu-Cristo. Sinasabi ding Siya’y aatangan ng pamamahala; na ito’y pinatunayan ni Cristo nang sabihin Niyang: “Ang kapamahalaan sa langit at sa ibabaw ng lupa ay naibigay na sa akin.” (Mateo 28:18) Pagkatapos na Siya’y atangan ng pamamahala, Siya’y tatawaging Dios; nguni’t **HINDI TUNAY NA DIOS, KUNDI TATAWAGIN LAMANG**, gaya ng pagkatawag sa mga Anak ng Kataastaasan.

“Maliwanag na sinasabi ng talata na si Cristo na tinatawag na Dios ay may Dios na kinikilala; at ang Kanyang Dios ang nagpahid o naghalal sa Kanya; sapagka’t ang kahulugan ng salitang Cristo—ay pinahiran. Sa Juan 20:17 ay sinabi ni Cristo: “Aakyat ako sa Aking Ama, sa inyong Ama, at sa Aking Dios, na inyong Dios.” Kung gayon, ang ating Panginoong Jesu-Cristo ay Dios na may Dios.”

In English:

“The boy to be born referred by Isaiah is our Lord Jesus Christ. It was also said that he will be given the authority; that this was proven by Christ when He said: “All authority in heaven and on earth has been given to me.” (Matthew 28:18) After He was given the authority, He will be called God; but **NOT TRUE GOD, BUT WILL ONLY BE CALLED**, like the children of the Highest.

“It is clear that the verse says that Christ is God that has reputed God; and His God anointed or elected Him; because the meaning of the word Christ—is anointed. In John 20:17 Christ said: “I will ascend to My Father, to your Father, and to My God, that is your God. Therefore, our Lord Jesus Christ is God that has God.”

It’s better before because they believed that Christ is God that has God. Where did Bro. Santiago learn about this? Naturally, from his teacher: Bro Felix Manalo. But their belief that Christ is not true God, but will only be called like the children of the Most High is wrong. You will know why after I have proven that it is also wrong

that Christ did not ever become God.

The proofs that Christ became God:

1. Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father.

Philippians 2:8-11:

“And being found in fashion as a man, he humbled himself, and became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross.

“Wherefore God also hath highly exalted him, and given him a name which is above every name:

“That at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth;

“And that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father.”

Though Christ is the Son of God, he was not immediately declared God by his Father. We will just use our common sense: When they were together with his Father before the creation, Christ is higher than angels because he is the Son of God and the first begotten of the world.

But when God sent him to the earth and became man, he was made a little lower than angels. So it is written: “**...he humbled himself, and became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross.**” He obeyed the will of God; He accepted the form of a slave even he was made lower than angels and even he did not want to die on the cross.

So God highly exalted him and given him name above every name: That in the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth; And that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father.”

Are these verses not enough for you to believe that Christ was already declared God? What is the meaning of the word Lord with the capital L? In the Old Testament, it's equivalent to the word God with the capital G.

This is written in 2 Samuel 22: 32 of the Revised Standard Version:

“For who is God, but the Lord?”

This time, I prefer RSV translation because it's more precise than KJV which states: “For who is God, save the Lord? and who is a rock, save our God?” Therefore, because Jesus Christ is Lord, he already became God to the glory of his Father.

2. Jesus was made Lord and Christ.

Acts 2:34-36:

“For David is not ascended into the heavens: but he saith himself, The Lord said unto my Lord, Sit thou on my right hand,

“Until I make thy foes thy footstool.

“Therefore let all the house of Israel know assuredly, that God hath made that same Jesus, whom ye have crucified, both Lord and Christ.”

The Lord referred by David setting at the right hand of the Lord is Christ. Verse 36, is another clear declaration that God **has made** Jesus both Lord and Christ. The ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo are always reading this, but they did not understand. They are calling Jesus Lord but they don't honor him as God—but a man even he is already in heaven.

3. All the angels of God worship him and he is called God.

Hebrews 1:4-5:

“Being made so much better than the angels, as he hath by inheritance obtained a more excellent name than they.

“For unto which of the angels said he at any time, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee? And again, I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Son?

God said: **“Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee?** This day is the time when the Son returned to heaven. Therefore, he is no

longer a man in heaven. He was begotten again by God as spirit.

In verse 6:

“And again, when he bringeth in the first begotten into the world, he saith, And let all the angels of God worship him.

This verse proved that Christ is not yet God even when he was together with his Father before the creation of the world. None can be read from the Old Testament that God let the angels worship the Son. The more he is not God when he was sent to earth because he was made lower than angels.

But when Christ fulfilled the will of God to shed his blood for the redemption of the sins of his people, God let all angels to worship him when he returned to heaven.

Is it not a declaration that Christ is God? If God the Father let all His angels worship His Son, the more we should worship him as God because we are lower than angels.

What is the meaning of worship? Certainly, the ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo don't understand this because they are “wise fools.” They don't worship Christ as God, but as man. So they are also making a false Christ and a false god.

In verse 7:

“And of the angels he saith, Who maketh his angels spirits, and his ministers a flame of fire.

It is written that angels are spirits. In other translations, like Revised Standard Version, angels are winds. Since angels are spirits or winds, they can cope with descending and ascending to heaven that they will never die. Christ is better and higher than angels. So it could not be that he is still a man in heaven. This is really a foolish doctrine of Bro. Felix Manalo.

In verse 8:

“But unto the Son he saith, Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever: a sceptre of righteousness is the sceptre of thy kingdom.”

This is again another proclamation that Christ is already God.

Then the ministers of Manalo, who want to identify themselves Iglesia ni Cristo, are refusing to honor him as God? This is not only blasphemous to Christ, but to the Father who made him God or Lord! Because of what they are doing, they shall also be disowned by Christ in Judgment Day.

4. Christ is the great God and Savior.

Titus 2:13.

“Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ;

The ministers taught us that the great God mentioned here is the Father because they refused to honor the declaration of the Father that Christ is already God. Christ is the referred great God in Titus 2: 13 and it shall be proven by reading verse 14:

“Who gave himself for us, that he might redeem us from all iniquity, and purify unto himself a peculiar people, zealous of good works.”

Who gave himself for us? It's not the Father, but Jesus Christ. Can we not consider him great God? If what is written is greater God, it surely refers to his Father because the Father is greater than him. Titus 2:13 will only be a wrong if we will believe that Jesus is also the Father. But if we will understand that the great God is Christ that honored a greater God, the Father, it is correct.

Is it hard to accept and believe that God has already made Lord or God His only begotten Son? Those who cannot accept this are the false prophets whose will is to mislead many—the ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo.

5. Christ is the God who shall judge in the congregation of the Mighty.

You will know here the proofs that Christ will not only be called god like the children of the Mighty, but true God with the capital G.

In Psalms 82:1-8:

“God standeth in the congregation of the mighty; he judgeth among the gods.

“How long will ye judge unjustly, and accept the persons of the wicked? Selah.

“Defend the poor and fatherless: do justice to the afflicted and needy.

“Deliver the poor and needy: rid them out of the hand of the wicked.

“They know not, neither will they understand; they walk on in darkness: all the foundations of the earth are out of course.

“I have said, Ye are gods; and all of you are children of the most High.

“But ye shall die like men, and fall like one of the princes.

“Arise, O God, judge the earth: for thou shalt inherit all nations.”

Who are referred as the children of the mighty that shall die like men and fall like princes?

Let Christ answer this in John 10:34-35:

“Jesus answered them, Is it not written in your law, I said, Ye are gods?

“If he called them gods, unto whom the word of God came, and the scripture cannot be broken;”

This means that the gods or the children of the mighty unto whom the word of God came or those who heard and learned scriptures—like you and the ministers of that church. But they are not true gods but like men who shall die and fall. Even you are called the children of the mighty and included in the congregation that shall be judged, not all of you will be saved; Because you don't know, neither understand that you are walking in darkness—the wrong doctrines of Bro. Felix Manalo.

You are the gods that shall be judged according to your deeds.

The ministers are judging unjustly by expelling brethren who refused to follow their Deceptive Unity; And you are those who accept the persons of the wicked—the ministers and specially the Manalos. They did not defend the poor and fatherless: do justice to the afflicted and needy. Their priority is for their boastfulness: building extravagant churches. Maybe, their only good deed for the poor is the Barrio Maligaya Project for the brethren persecuted in Hacienda Luisita. If there are others, only few had benefited.

That's why they will be judged because of their evil deeds—especially Bro Erdy, the Billionaire preacher who enriches earthly wealth.

6. Christ is also the God that shall judge in Judgment Day.

This is the proof that Christ was ordained by his Father to judge the world. It is also proven in Romans 2:16:

“In the day when God shall judge the secrets of men by Jesus Christ according to my gospel.”

And John 5:22-23:

“For the Father judgeth no man, but hath committed all judgment unto the Son:

“That all men should honour the Son, even as they honour the Father. He that honoureth not the Son honoureth not the Father which hath sent him.”

Please read again Psalms 82:1 and 8:

“God standeth in the congregation of the mighty; he judgeth among the gods.

“Arise, O God, judge the earth: for thou shalt inherit all nations.”

The God standing in the congregation of the Mighty, who will judge among the gods; And the God who shall arise to judge the earth and shall inherit all nations is Christ. It could not be the Father

is referred in verse 8. Why should He inherit all nations when he is the owner of all things? All authority in heaven and on earth has been given to Christ. He is the heir of all nations.

Therefore, the God mentioned in verses 1 and 8: is Christ. This is again a clear declaration that Christ is God and proved that the ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo are false prophets because they are teaching that Christ did not ever become God.

Why Christ could never be God?

According to a church member: "If Christ is God, it will contradict God's word that there is none like Him?"

This is written in Isaiah 46:9:

"I remember the former things of old: for I am God, and there is none else; I am God, and there is none like me,"

Even the Father has made Christ God, it does not contradict His word. Why? He still remains the everlasting God before the creation and after judgment of the world. There is none like Him who is the highest, greater God and the Mighty. Though Jesus is called God in Hebrews 1:8, The Father will not worship Christ as His God. Christ shall only be worshiped by angels and people. But to God the Father, Jesus Christ is just His right hand. So there is really none like Him.

Since God made Christ God, do we have two Gods now?

While I was watching TV: When I switched to other channels, I passed with Bro. Soriano's program. So I paused a while because a person asked him about a verse in the Old Testament that God is only one. I did not catch up what is the question and the basis from the Bible. Maybe it is also Isaiah 46:9. I presumed the questioner is an Iglesia ni Manalo.

I just thought that this is the line of the question, because it seemed (since I was not able to record the exact words) this is the answer of Bro. Soriano: "God said that in the Old Testament. But

when He made Christ Lord, we have two Gods now. We have no right to meddle if the Father made His Son God.”

What he said that **Christ was made Lord**, he did not know the he himself proved that his teaching: Christ is God because he is the Son of God is wrong. Why he was made Lord if he was previously God—when he was a spirit and when he became a man?

Is it right when he said: “We have two Gods now!” I searched at the online Bible: King James Version. The answer: “Your simple query produced no results.” So this is another false doctrine of Bro. Soriano.

Let’s know which is correct in 1 Corinthians 8:4-6:

“As concerning therefore the eating of those things that are offered in sacrifice unto idols, we know that an idol is nothing in the world, and that there is none other God but one.

“For though there be that are called gods, whether in heaven or in earth, (as there be gods many, and lords many,)

“But to us there is but one God, the Father, of whom are all things, and we in him; and one Lord Jesus Christ, by whom are all things, and we by him.”

Even me, upon learning that Christ was made God by his Father also thought that we already have two Gods now. But I was confused why it is written that there is but one God, the Father, when Christ is also God? Then God the Father let me ponder. So it’s clear to me now.

As example: We have President and Vice President, but we don’t say that we have two Presidents. Although the title of President is also attached to the Vice, he is only the right hand of the President.

In the Old Testament, God and Lord are both referring to God the Father. But in New Testament, when God made Jesus Lord, a difference was made between God and Lord. The Father is God while the Son is the Lord. Though both are called Gods, the Father is more powerful because He is naturally God; while the deity of the Son was only made by his Father. So I understand now why there is

only one God before and today.

How could there be two Gods when they are not equal in powers and deity. This is another mistake in the teachings of Bro. Soriano. He added what is not written.

Since Christ is only a made God, is he not a true God?

1 John 5:20:

“And we know that the Son of God is come, and hath given us an understanding, that we may know him that is true, and we are in him that is true, even in his Son Jesus Christ. This is the true God, and eternal life.”

He is a true God because the one who made him is the true God. It's only forbidden to worship and create other gods for people because He is a jealous God; And it's impossible for men to make a true God. They can only make false gods which are worthless and powerless. If their false gods will perform miracles, those are from the power of the devil to mislead many.

But with God, who are we to question Him why He made another God? He is the Highest and most Powerful. He can also make a true God. It is already expected that Christ shall become God. He was prophesied as God in the Old Testament. He is the God that shall stand in the congregation of the Mighty and the God who shall arise to judge the earth and inherit all nations (Psalms 82:1 and 8). Besides, the Father will never get jealous with Jesus because he is His Son and He will never be succeeded. He remains the everlasting God while Jesus is only His right hand.

The doctrine Christ is only a man even in heaven is another treachery of Bro. Felix Manalo.

In the August 1939 issue of “Pasugo,” the ministers before believed that Christ is God that has God. Therefore, in the beginning: when Bro. Felix Manalo preached the Iglesia ni Cristo, he believed that

Christ is God. So the true Iglesia ni Cristo was when he is not yet teaching these blasphemous doctrines: Christ is still a man even in heaven and Bluff Voting. In 1922, he began to backslide the church by proclaiming himself “God’s Last Messenger”—an embarrassing doctrine. That same year, he also claimed that he is the Angel mentioned in Revelation 7:2-3 which is no for him but for Christ. What he did is the fulfillment of the prophecy in Isaiah 48:8: “I knew that thou wouldest deal very treacherously, and wast called a transgressor from the womb.”

If considered, Bro. Felix Manalo, Bro. Erdy, Bro. Eddie and the ministers are workers of Christ and God. In the name of Christ they are getting their source of living. The treacherous administrator Bro. Felix Manalo has taken the church. He preached other gospels. He even pulled down Jesus Christ. Why? Before: He taught that Christ is God before. Now: He is only a man even in heaven.

When the traitor administrator died, the successor is more treacherous and corrupt than the father. He declared that the church they are preaching is by Christ. But when he built Christian ERA Broadcasting Service and New ERA College (now University) the names were derived from him: ERAño Manalo. He was known Billionaire Preacher. He enriched himself with earthly wealth. This is a manifestation that the Manalos has already taken the church. The name of Christ in that church is only used for deception.

Then they call Christ Lord when they don’t honor him as God? They want to be called in the name of Christ when they are defying the declaration of God that He already made His son Lord? What is the meaning of the word Lord with the capital L? For them, it means: Jesus Christ is still a man even in heaven and even when he comes back in Judgment Day. They really deserved to be called “wise fools.” They don’t understand even their own meaning: ministers but not sugo or messengers of God.

Can these blind preachers of the Iglesia ni Manalo save you in Judgment Day?

Matthew 15:13-14:

“But he answered and said, Every plant, which my heavenly Father hath not planted, shall be rooted up.

“Let them alone: they be blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch.”

Let me repeat these verses in Isaiah 43:8 and Isaiah 42:19:

“Bring forth the blind people that have eyes, and the deaf that have ears.

“Who is blind, but my servant? or deaf, as my messenger that I sent? who is blind as he that is perfect, and blind as the Lord’s servant?”

I just obeyed God’s commandment to me: To bring forth the blind people. But it’s up to you to decide, if you believe or not the truth that I’m showing you because the Bible says: “let them alone.”

It’s very clear that there are written verses in the Scriptures that Christ is a man. So let’s believe that he became a man. But there are also many bases that God has made and declared him Lord or God. Therefore, we must also honor him as God for he shall judge us in Judgment Day. By doing this, you will return to God and you will become True Iglesia ni Cristo.

Chapter 8

Which is the True Church: Iglesia ni Cristo? Or Iglesia ng Dios?

Most of the brethren who switched to other religions became members of the Members Church of God International (Mga Kaanib Iglesia ng Dios International) of Bro. Eli Soriano. That time when I doubted my mission, I'm also one of the avid followers of "Ang Dating Daan" (The Former Path) in television. I admired his knowledge with the Bible. He almost memorized all verses. I was even nearly convinced to join him. But before I do, I wrote him first to grant me a friendly debate even I have to go there in Manila.

Our theme is he will prove through the Bible that the worm Jacob is not Bro. Felix Manalo. But he did not answer my letter. In my study with Bro. Soriano's words and teachings, I found many contradictions later. So I was enlightened that his group could never be the true church.

Since I also have the obligation to bring forth to God the brethren who were lost or those who joined with other faiths, I must also enlighten them.

Rationale why the church of Soriano could never be the true church:

1. There is no testimony about the prophecy.

From watching his TV programs—Ang Dating Daan at Itanong mo kay Soriano (The Former Path and Ask Soriano)—I found out

that he came from Iglesia ng Dios, Haligi at Suhay ng Katotohanan (Church of God, Pillar and Support of the Truth). Mr. Nicolas Perez (a former Iglesia ni Cristo) established this group which Bro. Soriano believed to be a messenger of God.

Only the Iglesia ni Cristo have faith that a messenger of God must have the testimony about his prophecy. He must claim that he is the fulfillment of the prophecy; and, most important of all, the prophecy must fit him—like Christ, John the Baptist, Apostle Paul and, even they don't believe, Bro. Felix Manalo: the Jacob of the ends of the earth. But Mr. Perez did not have the testimony through the prophecy from the Bible of his being a messenger of God.

2. There is no continuity from the one who started the mission.

If Bro. Soriano believed that Mr. Perez is a messenger of God, why did he organize another group? His church now is not a continuation of Mr. Perez whom he acknowledged to be a messenger of God. When the name he submitted to SEC (Securities and Exchange Commission) was disapproved, due to similarity with the group of Mr. Perez, he named it Members Church of God International.

Not like what I stand for. I will not build another church because that same church taken by the Manalos will I bring back to God and I have the testimony through this prophecy in Isaiah 49:5-6:

“And now, saith the Lord that formed me from the womb to be his servant, to bring Jacob again to him, Though Israel be not gathered, yet shall I be glorious in the eyes of the Lord, and my God shall be my strength.

“And he said, It is a light thing that thou shouldest be my servant to raise up the tribes of Jacob, and to restore the preserved of Israel: I will also give thee for a light to the Gentiles, that thou mayest be my salvation unto the end of the earth.”

3. The prophecy of the poor wise man did not fit to Bro. Soriano.

Ecclesiastes 9:15:

“Now there was found in it a poor wise man, and he by his wisdom delivered the city; yet no man remembered that same poor man.”

Though we can consider Bro. Soriano to be a wise man, the prophecy did not fit him. From watching his TV programs, I heard him that he has many businesses and had millions of pesos in debt. Can a poor man be indebted worth millions of pesos? So it's not acceptable and also unbelievable that he is a poor man. Another one, it is written: “yet no man remembered that same poor man.” Is there none who remembered Bro. Soriano? Even he is contradicting his own words, his fanatic members stay with him and still remembering him.

4. It is not true that no one can gainsay nor resist him.

Luke 21:13-15:

“And it shall turn to you for a testimony.

“Settle it therefore in your hearts, not to meditate before what ye shall answer:

“For I will give you a mouth and wisdom, which all your adversaries shall not be able to gainsay nor resist.”

When Bro. Soriano went here in Davao City, I attended his Bible Exposition held in Central Bank Convention Hall. He said that he did not expect Bro. Erdy will really face him in a debate, but even whoever minister he will send. But when Bro. Joe Ventilacion challenged him, he refused because he is the head so he should only face also the head.

That's the time my admiration with Bro. Soriano was gone. He contradicted his words. I doubted him because if he is really a messenger of God, why did he feared to face Bro. Ventilacion? If he is facing only heads like him, why did he debate with a young man who seemed not a head and even not really a preacher? The theme

they debated is if God have ass (puwet). You can try to search it in youtube.

That's why no one can really resist him because he doesn't face anyone in a debate if he knows that he will not win.

I will disprove that no one can gainsay or resist him.

5. He teaching that Christ is the worm Jacob is false.

His bases are Psalms 22:6 and 16:

"But I am a worm, and no man; a reproach of men, and despised of the people.

"For dogs have compassed me: the assembly of the wicked have inclosed me: they pierced my hands and my feet."

There is no doubt that it's really Christ specified here because of verse 16: "they pierced my hands and my feet."

But is it still Christ the worm Jacob mentioned in Isaiah 41:14? Please read:

"Fear not, thou worm Jacob, and ye men of Israel; I will help thee, saith the Lord, and thy redeemer, the Holy One of Israel."

Christ could never be the worm Jacob because he never have sinned. The Jacob I have discussed here who will be redeemed is a sinner; He preached foolish doctrines like the prophets of Samaria, treacherous to God and was called transgressor from the womb.

He did not understand what he read. By teaching that Christ is the worm Jacob, he did not know that he made Christ a sinner.

6. Bro Eli Soriano is using Isaiah 58:1 when it did not fit him.

"Cry aloud, spare not, lift up thy voice like a trumpet, and shew my people their transgression, and the house of Jacob their sins."

He used this without understanding. This verse is for God's people. He is commanding his messenger to cry aloud to show His people their transgression, and to the house of Jacob their sins. Bro. Soriano believed that their group is God's people, but he is crying

aloud and showing the transgression outside of God's people or other religions? He is also showing to the house of Jesus their sins because he believed that Christ is the worm Jacob? So he has no right to claim this prophecy. It did not fit him.

Maybe this is the reason why he did not grant my request for a friendly debate because he cannot really prove that Christ is the worm Jacob.

7. He is also using Isaiah 29:12 for falsehood.

“And the book is delivered to him that is not learned, saying, Read this, I pray thee: and he saith, I am not learned.”

Allegedly, he did not study from the universities. But though he did not study at the schools of ministry, he studied the Bible; He learned from Nicolas Perez and became a minister before he built his own church. He said that he stopped finishing his High School because he already studied the words of God. Then he will say that he is not learned? He is contradicting himself?!

He said that he can read the Bible in the night even the light is put off. I do believe because it seems he almost memorized all the verses in the Bible. What we are discussing here is not the earthly knowledge, that he only reached High School, but the Biblical knowledge he learned.

I admired him since he can see and determine wrong translations. I learned from him that Chapters 10 to 16 of the book of Mark are added only, not true because they are not written in the original Greek Bible. So I considered him wise. He is the only preacher I knew with exceptional mastery about the Bible. With his skill, it's unbelievable that he is not learned. So, he is a liar!

But why he did not see the wrong translations of the Tagalog version of Philippians 2:6-7: that Christ is equal with God, took off his being God? And John 1:14: that the Word transformed-man? The prophecy did not fit him.

What fit in him and the ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo is the preceding verse—Isaiah 29:11:

“And the vision of all is become unto you as the words of a book that is sealed, which men deliver to one that is learned, saying, Read this, I pray thee: and he saith, I cannot; for it is sealed:”

Though they are learned, they cannot read or they cannot understand what they are reading because it is sealed or hidden to them. That’s why the book was given to the not learned, not skilled since he did not study ministry.

The prophecy was fulfilled in me. I was able to read and understand the sealed words that the learned did not understand.

Though I am not even the smallest finger of Bro. Soriano, compared to his Biblical knowledge and even in any other things, my little knowledge from the Bible will break into pieces his false doctrines! This will open the minds of the members of his church—especially my brethren Iglesia ni Cristo who were victimized by his deception!

Reasons of Bro. Soriano why the Iglesia ni Cristo is not the true church:

1. Iglesia ni Cristo cannot be read in the Bible.

None can be read iglesia ni Cristo (church of Christ) but mga iglesia ni Cristo (churches of Christ). Only one church was built by Christ: the church of God. The reason why churches of Christ is written, the locales are specified to be many in Romans 16:16:

“Salute one another with a holy kiss. The churches of Christ salute you.”

What is the meaning of the holy kiss? According to him, this written in Psalms 85:10:

“Mercy and truth are met together; righteousness and peace have kissed each other.”

He explained that mercy and truth must meet together; Your righteousness with my righteousness to attain peace. Meaning: the

good deeds done by the giver must be reciprocated by the receiver.

Bro. Soriano is very skilled with Biblical knowledge. He knew the answer of the deep and very hard question: what is the meaning of holy kiss?—that the ministers of Manalo could not answer. But the simple logic, he did not see nor understand?

Although there were mentioned locales of the church in Romans 16:1: Cenchrea, verse 4: church of the Gentiles, and verse 5: Asia, **he is wrong** that the specified **churches** in Romans 16:16 are the locales. **How could the locales salute or greet one another with the holy kiss? Those who greeted one another are the people or the members of the church!** The fact is in verses 1 to 15 Paul mentioned names of the members of the church to be greeted by the brethren.

How about Soriano's church, can we read its names from the Bible?

The first name of his church is **Mga Kaanib Iglesia ng Dios kay Kristo Hesus, Haligi at Saligan ng Katotohanan** (Members of the Church of God in Christ Jesus, the Pillar and Ground of the Truth)—a very long name? Here in the first name that he made, he did not know that he contradicted himself. He is teaching that the God—specified in the church of God—is the Father. But notice the name: **Church of God in Christ Jesus**. So it is not God's church but in Christ Jesus.

Then it was changed with a longer name: **Mga Kaanib sa Iglesia ng Dios kay Kristo Hesus, Haligi at Saligan ng Katotohanan sa Bansang Pilipinas, Incorporated** (Members of the Church of God in Christ Jesus, the Pillar and Ground of the Truth in the Philippines). But Levita Gugulan (successor of Nicolas Perez) sued him because Bro. Soriano only copied the name of their church. He just changed **Support** with **Ground**—for him, support is wrong—that's why it was disapproved by SEC (Securities and Exchange Commission). It was changed again with **Bayan ng Katotohanan Incorporated** (City of Truth Incorporated).

When Bro. Soriano was criticized why the word **church** is out in

their group's name, when the name church is worthy, it was changed again until it became **Mga kaanib Iglesia ng Dios International** (Members of the Church of God International).

Bro. Soriano is very strict: Although iglesia ni Cristo is written in the Bible, he still complained because there is the word **mga iglesia** which make it plural (**churches**)? He is only good in denouncing others; But his own mistakes—though very long and many—yet he has not seen.

He is really a liar twisting the meaning of what is written to deceive people! The truth: All the names that he made for his church cannot be read in the Bible! It's not God's church because only Bro. Soriano chose the names. That's why it was changed so many times because of errors!

In the real church of God, He chose the name that is already written in the Bible—nothing more, nothing less and nothing wrong! Why did he added **Mga Kaanib** (Members) and **International**? Because his church became International? Why is it that the Iglesia ni Cristo did not change its name even it had grown internationally?

Bro. Soriano knew that it is forbidden to add what is written in the Bible. So he also knew that punishments will be added to him by God!

Bro. Soriano's church name became more erroneous when he added the word Members.

How worthy is the word church?

1 Corinthians 12:27 and Ephesians 5:23:

"Now ye are the body of Christ, and members in particular."

"For the husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the church: and he is the saviour of the body."

The word **church** is very important because it is the **body of Christ** that he shall save. It is composed of **members**. Therefore, we must be members of the church or the body of Christ to be saved.

In God's grammar: The **word of God** even singular is also plural. In fact, the **word** of God is used 50 times than the **words** of God used only 6 times in the King James Bible. Likewise, **church** can be used singular or plural: **churches**. So **churches** of Christ in Romans 16:16 refer to **members**—not the church are many because there is only one built by Christ.

When I say: I am Iglesia ni Cristo, I need not to say I am a member of the Iglesia ni Cristo because the meaning of church is member of the body. I will be redundant if I will say: I am member of the member of the body of Christ? Not all people know this—even Bro. Soriano; If he knew, he could have not added the word **Members** to his church name.

But with men's grammar: It is wrong if I will just say that I am Iglesia ni Cristo. In their understanding, it is the name of the church. Since I'm not the church, I should say that I am a member of the Iglesia ni Cristo—to be clearly understood. So sometimes I must follow men's grammar.

Some of my brethren departed from the Iglesia ni Cristo because of the wrong doctrines of Bro. Felix Manalo. They believed that the true church is Bro. Soriano's group. That's why they are now **members** of **Members Church of God** International? If we will replace the meaning of the church: My brother is a **member** of **Members Members of the body of God** International? Redundant like a broken record!

It seems they are not sure of their membership to the church because the word **of**—connector to the **Church of God**—is missing? Hence, it seems they are also ashamed that they are members of Bro. Soriano's church. Why? They are members of **Members**—will hesitate to say **of**, so it seems they are only whispering—Church of God International.

They only became members of the **Members**? Not directly to the church? Can the **members** save them? What is written: The **church** or the body of Christ could save. We must be **members** of the **church** or the body of Christ—not the members of the members?

Even only the word international was added, it was already wrong, it became more erroneous and confusing when he added the word Members to his church name! Allegedly, there is no mistake with their church. From the name alone, it's already a big mistake!

Bro. Soriano is a deceptive seller of fake product!

What is the true church? He will immediately read his favorite verse, 2 Corinthians 1:1: **Church of God!** The listeners will believe because it is written many times in the Bible. They don't know that Bro. Soriano is only using **church of God** to deceive people to become members of his church. But the members did not know they were deceived.

Bro. Soriano is like a very skillful deceptive seller of a fake product. He will show the original—**church of God**. Because of his exceptional ability in selling, many buy his product. But the buyers did not know what they purchased is not the original—which was shown to them—but the fake product of Bro. Soriano! The tag of the original product is **church of God**. But what was given to the buyer is **Members Church of God International!**

Why **of** is missing, it seems they are ashamed and that it seems they are whispering in mentioning the name of their church? Members shall really be ashamed even to themselves because they were victimized by Bro. Soriano! What they purchased is **fake!**

I hope that if you are one of those who bought his fake product will be enlightened.

2. Church of God is the name of the true church.

Apparently, they believed that the mentioned God in the church of God is the Father. There are eight verses in the New Testament of King James Bible mentioning church of God. Six of these were written by Apostle Paul: 1 Cor. 1:2, 1 Cor. 10:32, 1 Cor. 11:22, 1 Cor. 15:9, 2 Cor. 1:1 and Gal. 1:13.

This is the favorite verse of Bro. Soriano to show that the name of their church is correct than the Iglesia ni Cristo.

2 Corinthians 1:1:

“Paul, an apostle of Jesus Christ by the will of God, and Timothy our brother, unto the church of God which is at Corinth, with all the saints which are in all Achaia:”

Bro. Soriano always read this but it is sealed or hidden to him. He is also a blind and deaf preacher because he did not see nor hear who is the God specified in the church of God—even he is always reading it.

The God specified in the church of God is Christ!

This shall be proven by Apostle Paul—the writer about the church of God.

1 Corinthians 15:9:

“For I am the least of the apostles, that am not meet to be called an apostle, because I persecuted the church of God.”

Who is the God persecuted by Apostle Paul?

Acts 26:14-15:

“And when we were all fallen to the earth, I heard a voice speaking unto me, and saying in the Hebrew tongue, Saul, Saul, why persecutest thou me? it is hard for thee to kick against the pricks.

“And I said, Who art thou, Lord? And he said, I am Jesus whom thou persecutest.”

Saul was also called Paul (Acts 13:9). Christ is the specified God by Paul when he wrote church of God in the Bible. Therefore, the church of God is the Iglesia ni Cristo!

Christ is also the God mentioned in Acts 20:28:

“Take heed therefore unto yourselves, and to all the flock, over the which the Holy Ghost hath made you overseers, to feed the church of God, which he hath purchased with his own blood.”

The mentioned God here is not the Father but the Son because he already purchased the church with his own blood.

Here is another proof, Titus 2:13-14:

“Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ;

“Who gave himself for us, that he might redeem us from all iniquity, and purify unto himself a peculiar people, zealous of good works.”

The proofs are very clear that Christ is the specified God in the church of God. The owner of the church is Christ because in Matthew 16:18 he said: “I will build my church.” And he is the head of the body, the church (Colossians 1:18). Since Christ is the God persecuted by Paul, the **church of God** mentioned in the New Testament is the **Iglesia ni Cristo**.

3. God the Father is still the owner of the church.

This are are his bases—Hebrews 3:4 and 1 Corinthians 12:28:

“For every house is builded by some man; but he that built all things is God.”

“And God hath set some in the church, first apostles, secondarily prophets, thirdly teachers, after that miracles, then gifts of healings, helps, governments, diversities of tongues.”

What does Bro. Soriano meant: God will disregard Christ and his people because the church was already given to him? Christ and his sheep must still be under the power of the Father because He is their God.

This is another reason why Christ still needs his Father—John 10:27-30:

“My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me:

“And I give unto them eternal life; and they shall never perish, neither shall any man pluck them out of my hand.

“My Father, which gave them me, is greater than all; and no man is able to pluck them out of my Father’s hand.

“I and my Father are one.”

Christ and the Father are **one** or **united** in taking care of his sheep. Since his Father is more powerful than him, he is more assured that no man can pluck his sheep out of the hands of his Father. What will happen if God disregards Christ's sheep? They might permanently be tied by the deception of Bro. Soriano! That's why I was sent by God to break his false doctrines so that I can bring my brethren back to the hands of God and Christ!

Christ and God were made liars by Bro. Soriano.

He defied what Christ said: "I will build my church." He said that it must not be the basis that Christ is the owner of the church, because even before, there was already the church of God; It was called church of the elders. His reason why there will be built is because there has been fallen.

I did not care to research where to read the church of the elders and if it's true that it was fallen. With the mentioned church of the elders, I already knew that he is wrong and that was not the church built by Christ. I'm sure that his bases are written in the Old Testament. In the time of Christ, the New Testament is the basis for salvation. Even Bro. Soriano is teaching this.

This is one of his bases—Hebrews 7:12:

"For the priesthood being changed, there is made of necessity a change also of the law."

Why is it that when it comes to proving that Christ is not the owner of the church, he goes back to the old law? Just to prove that he is right, he defied Christ and made him a liar! What does he implied: We must believe him than the Lord Christ? He is blasphemous to Christ for what he is doing! He is denouncing preachers teaching ten percent for tithes who goes back to the Old Testament. But he is also like them who goes back to old law to defend his wrong doctrine!

Why is it that it's not the Father specified in the church of God in the New Testament? Christ has already purchased the church

with his own blood. But Bro. Soriano contradicts. For him: God is still the owner of the church. Is there anything like that: Even you already purchased it, you are not yet the owner?

What kind of god did Bro. Soriano taught? His god is selfish, greedy and not true to his words. Why? If we will follow his reasoning: Even Christ already purchased the church, god is still the owner, it might not be true also that Christ will inherit the nations. So it could not be the true God that he taught, but **false god** that cannot be trusted with his words. He also made the true God a liar!

His doctrine is not only stupidity but blasphemy to God and Christ!

4. The church is called by the name of God.

Isaiah 43:7:

“Even every one that is called by my name: for I have created him for my glory, I have formed him; yea, I have made him.”

This verse proved the mistranslation in Tagalog version of Philippians 2:7 that Christ transformed slave (nag-anyong alipin) and John 1:14 that the Word transformed-man (nagkatawang-tao ang Verbo). God created him, formed him and made him.

Though the name of the church is also called by the name of God (church of God), the specific name is Iglesia ni Cristo because Jesus Christ was created, formed and made for His Glory.

Philippians 2:11:

“And that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father.”

Isaiah 43:7 also proved that Bro. Soriano is wrong when he said: “Christ is not created by God. He is the first begotten into the world.”

He is really a “wise fool!” Even the simple word first begotten or first born he did not understand? Could you be born if you are not

created? He was created first before the earth was made. But he was differently created—he was begotten from the bosom of his Father (John 1:18).

He might contradict again and will use Proverbs 8:23:

“I was set up from everlasting, from the beginning, or ever the earth was.

This does not mean that he exists from everlasting. Where was he set up? He was still at the bosom of his Father. When he was completely created?

The answer is just below—verses 24-25:

“When there were no depths, I was brought forth; when there were no fountains abounding with water.

“Before the mountains were settled, before the hills was I brought forth:”

Therefore, he was created by God because he has an origin: from the bosom of his Father and he was **brought forth** or was born.

5. It is not true that only the Iglesia ni Cristo shall be saved.

These verses will prove that it's true that only the Iglesia ni Cristo shall be saved and the reasons why the God specified in the church of God is not the Father:

1. We cannot go directly to God. We must enter Christ to be saved.

“I am the door: by me if any man enter in, he shall be saved, and shall go in and out, and find pasture.” (John 10:9)

2. We need Christ as mediator.

“For there is one God, and one mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus;” (1Timothy 2:5)

3. We must be members of the body of Christ to be saved.

“Now ye are the body of Christ, and members in particular.”

(1 Cor.12:27)

“For the husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the church: and he is the saviour of the body.” (Ephesians 5:23)

4. None other name given among men to be saved but by the name of Christ.

“Be it known unto you all, and to all the people of Israel, that by the name of Jesus Christ of Nazareth, whom ye crucified, whom God raised from the dead, even by him doth this man stand here before you whole.

“Neither is there salvation in any other: for there is none other name under heaven given among men, whereby we must be saved.” (Acts 4:10 and 12)

Bro. Soriano defies the doctrines for salvation!

Though he believed that Christ is the head of the church, he refuses to enter the body of Christ. He don't need a mediator. He wants to enter directly to God; That's why he wants his church to be named after God the Father; And because the Father is greater than Christ, maybe he thought that not only by the name of Christ he could be saved—but also by the name of the Father.

He is so vainglorious to bypass Christ since he wants to go directly to the Father? Where can he read from the Bible that he can be member of the body of the Father? Now his church members will realize why there is no **of**—connector to the **church of God**—because it is impossible! God does not permit them to go directly to Him!

Let's analyze deeply the doctrine of Bro. Soriano: He believes that **Christ is the head of the church of God**—the Father; According to him, **church of God** is correct than **church of Christ**. What did he do? He beheaded Christ, threw away his body and replaced it with the body of the Father? So he also beheaded the Father and placed His body under Christ? What happens then? Christ is higher than

his Father because he is the savior of the body? Then, God has no more body? What a crazy idea?! He is a fool teaching other gospel that cannot save himself!

He is making his own rules for salvation? But his way is to damnation! He is defying the doctrines of the apostles. He did not realize that he is also defying Christ and God that sent him. That's the result of talking without thinking! He almost memorized the Bible but he did not see and understand that the specified God in the church of God is Christ? So he is only Master in Deception Major in Teaching Stupidity and Lies! Could he still say that no one can gainsay or resist him?

To the members deceived by Bro. Soriano, especially my former brethren of the Iglesia ni Cristo, follow his advice, if you can see errors in him: **"Get out!"**

Only the true Iglesia ni Cristo shall be saved.

Perhaps even the members who are still there with the Manalos do not believe that all of them will be saved. There are also wicked persons inside the church. What do Soriano think of Christ: Foolish that he will save them all just because they are members of the church? He is foolish because he does not know how to use his common sense. That's why he is teaching stupidity.

Normally, those who are against the doctrine that only the Iglesia ni Cristo shall be saved—like Soriano—are members of other religions not called by the name of Christ. They are irritated to hear it, because the speakers are the wicked ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo. But if they will only listen to the apostles and Christ, they must hear and accept it.

Let's go back to John 10:27-28:

**"My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me:
"And I give unto them eternal life; and they shall never perish,
neither shall any man pluck them out of my hand."**

Christ knows his true sheep: They follow him and will be given

eternal life. So those who want to be called Iglesia ni Cristo but are following Manalo are not truly his sheep. Are they in Christ, the members of the church who joined EDSA 3 and died in vain for Erap? Is Bro. Erdy in Christ? A billionaire preacher who enriched himself with earthly wealth and pressed the brethren to disaster because of his negligence when he sided with Erap? The fact that he is not: Only Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) is written in the Bible that will be redeemed (Isaiah 43:1 and 4). None mentioned about the son of Jacob.

Christ knows who are truly his, so he will disown them even they are called by his name.

How about the people outside the Iglesia ni Cristo, will they never be saved?

He will again contradict Christ to disprove it.

1 Corinthians 5:13:

“But them that are without God judgeth. Therefore put away from among yourselves that wicked person.”

Those that are without or outside, God will judge them. Who is the God that will judge?

John 5:22:

“For the Father judgeth no man, but hath committed all judgment unto the Son:”

He is making those outside a problem when he cannot even save himself because he taught another gospel? He must solve his own problem first: How he could be saved because of his lies and nonsense teachings? He must stop deceiving people and defying Christ!

Since Christ is the God that will judge outside, it's not a problem for him. He will only count those righteous people—whether dead or alive—as members of his body. So his words that only his church shall be saved will be fulfilled. Christ knows who are truly his—even inside or outside.

Like me for example: I am already outside of the Iglesia ni Manalo. But I became the true Iglesia ni Cristo than those who are still inside because I followed Christ. I did not obey anymore the false doctrines of Bro. Felix Manalo. I am counted as member of his body that he will save even I am outside of the Iglesia ni Manalo. I passed the test how to become true Iglesia ni Cristo. That's why I was sent by God to test you also and gather the true Iglesia ni Cristo.

The command to evict wicked persons was not carried-out by the ministers of the Iglesia ni Manalo because they themselves are wicked. They are teaching doctrine of idolatry—the Bloc Voting. Those who are truly evil are not ejected for their sins but white-washed by the ministers. The members they are judging to be excommunicated are the righteous who did not obey their deceptive doctrine.

Even during Jesus was the administrator of his church, there were evil persons inside like Judas Iscariot. So even those inside shall be judged. Only the true Iglesia ni Cristo shall be saved—not all who wanted to be called Iglesia ni Cristo like the Iglesia ni Manalo!

6. Iglesia ni Cristo is not the true church because Bro. Felix Manalo taught many false doctrines.

The wickedness and foolish doctrines of Bro. Felix Manalo was written in the prophecy long time ago even he was not yet born. That's why he was called transgressor from the womb. Despite all his wrongdoings, those proved more that the prophecy was fulfilled to him. He is really the Jacob of the ends of the earth.

But he shall be redeemed and the most important of all: The people of God that shall be saved will come from Jacob.

Isaiah 10:20-23:

“And it shall come to pass in that day, that the remnant of Israel, and such as are escaped of the house of Jacob, shall no more again stay upon him that smote them; but shall stay upon the Lord, the Holy One of Israel, in truth.

“The remnant shall return, even the remnant of Jacob, unto the

mighty God.

“For though thy people Israel be as the sand of the sea, yet a remnant of them shall return: the consumption decreed shall overflow with righteousness.

“For the Lord God of hosts shall make a consumption, even determined, in the midst of all the land.”

Not all those inside the house of Jacob (Iglesia ni Manalo) will be saved, but only those who had escaped. They are the remnant of Jacob who shall return unto the mighty God by leaving the false doctrines of Bro. Felix Manalo.

Those who will stay in the house of Jacob and continue to obey his wrong doctrines shall be consumed or destroyed! That’s why those who had escaped are called **remnant of Jacob** because they will be saved from destruction. They are the true **members of the body of Christ** or the **true Iglesia ni Cristo** that will be given eternal life. Therefore, the promise of salvation is in the Iglesia ni Cristo: the true church.

To the former Iglesia ni Cristo who moved to Soriano:

Please ponder. The faith you had switched to have no assurance of salvation. Those that shall certainly be saved are those that escaped from the house of Jacob (Isaiah 10:20-23), but did not transfer to other religion. Do not be deceived to be blind and deaf due to excessive faith with Bro. Soriano. He will take you into the ditch because he is also a blind preacher. His skill in the Bible is only a camouflage. The truth: He is only excellent in criticizing others fault, but he did not see his own mistakes. He is only good in teaching stupidity!

Return to God to be saved and given eternal life. Come with me to be members of the true church of God—the Iglesia ni Cristo.

I apologize to Bro. Eli Soriano:

Although I have criticized you in this eBook, I'm not really angry with you. I just imitate what you are doing. I know you have bases from the Bible how to denounce those who are teaching lies.

I pray that you may also be enlightened. Your labor in the service of God will be in vain if your heart is hardened against the truth. Come with me to be member of the true church of God in Christ Jesus—the Iglesia ni Cristo.

Hopefully, you are not also angry with me.

Chapter 9

Who are the true Iglesia ni Cristo?

Since this is the time of gathering God's sheep, the separation of the righteous and evil, which of the members of the Iglesia ni Cristo shall be saved: Those who will escape from the house of Jacob? Or those who will stay with him?

Before I prove through Biblical bases that those who shall escape shall certainly return to God, let's discuss first their defense. They will possibly use these verses to show that those who will stay with the house of Jacob are the true Iglesia ni Cristo.

Matthew 24:23-26:

"Then if any man shall say unto you, Lo, here is Christ, or there; believe it not.

"For there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect.

"Behold, I have told you before.

"Wherefore if they shall say unto you, Behold, he is in the desert; go not forth: behold, he is in the secret chambers; believe it not."

This must be cleared to you: I don't urge you to get out of the Iglesia ni Cristo. You will only go out from being Iglesia ni Manalo by rejecting their false doctrines. The righteous sheep that God commanded me to gather are still there in the house of Jacob. So I must bring you out.

This is written in Isaiah 43:8 and 42:19:

"Bring forth the blind people that have eyes, and the deaf that have ears.

"Who is blind, but my servant? or deaf, as my messenger that

I sent? who is blind as he that is perfect, and blind as the Lord's servant?"

The escapees from the house of Jacob shall certainly return to God.

Isaiah 10-20-23:

"And it shall come to pass in that day, that the remnant of Israel, and such as are escaped of the house of Jacob, shall no more again stay upon him that smote them; but shall stay upon the Lord, the Holy One of Israel, in truth.

"The remnant shall return, even the remnant of Jacob, unto the mighty God.

"For though thy people Israel be as the sand of the sea, yet a remnant of them shall return: the consumption decreed shall overflow with righteousness.

"For the Lord God of hosts shall make a consumption, even determined, in the midst of all the land."

Verse 20 is the glaring proof that those who shall escape from the house of Jacob shall be saved. Since Jacob is already dead, it means that the escapees shall not stay upon his false doctrines anymore. How did he afflict us? By enforcing us to vote for evil candidates they had chosen. Even it's painful for other brethren to vote for candidates that had done evil deeds to them, they were forced to obey because they don't want to be excommunicated.

God specified the escapees shall draw near Him.

Isaiah 45:20:

"Assemble yourselves and come; draw near together, ye that are escaped of the nations: they have no knowledge that set up the wood of their graven image, and pray unto a god that cannot save."

Verse 19 proved that other doctrines of Jacob are in vain, not in truth and not in righteousness. In verse 20, God clearly specified

that the escapees of the nations shall assemble and come near to Him.

It's clear that those who escaped from the nations shall draw near to God. Analyze this: "they have no knowledge that set up the wood of their graven image." In Revised Standard Version, "set up the wood of their graven image" is translated: "carry about their wooden idols." Why we will not know that we are carrying wood? Even how small it is, we can feel it? Therefore, it is not a literal wood of molten image.

By believing that we are uniting with God when we vote, we are carrying a molten image in our mind. Because I feared to disobey voting for Marcos in Snap Election, I prayed to God:

"Father: If it's really true that such doctrine is your commandment, please let me feel it. Even it is heavy on my feelings to vote for Marcos, I will still submit if this is your will."

Since that doctrine is not really commanded by the true God, I did not unite with Him when I voted, but with the false gods: Bro. Felix Manalo, Bro. Erdy in national elections and the administrators in local elections. I was unaware that I prayed to gods that cannot save!

But fortunately, I'm very thankful to the true God who heard my prayer. He showed me the truth that such doctrine is not from Him.

Isaiah 45:19:

"I have not spoken in secret, in a dark place of the earth: I said not unto the seed of Jacob, Seek ye me in vain: I the Lord speak righteousness, I declare things that are right."

Since I was already enlightened that Bloc Voting is a doctrine of idolatry, the wooden molten image that I carried in my mind was already gone. Those who will escape from the house of Jacob and will go with me are the portion of Jacob not like them—those who will stay and continue to believe their deceptive doctrine; "They have no

knowledge that they are carrying the wood of their graven image, and pray unto a god that cannot save.”

But why Isaiah 10:21 also specified that the remnant of Jacob shall return unto the mighty God?

Jeremiah 51:17-19:

“Every man is brutish by his knowledge; every founder is confounded by the graven image: for his molten image is falsehood, and there is no breath in them.

“They are vanity, the work of errors: in the time of their visitation they shall perish.

“The portion of Jacob is not like them; for he is the former of all things: and Israel is the rod of his inheritance: the Lord of hosts is his name.”

Because the doctrine of Bloc Voting is likened to a graven or molten image is falsehood, those who escaped—the portion of Jacob—shall no longer believe his wicked doctrines. Those who are not like them are the brethren though also portion of Jacob, but will continue to stay with the Manalos.

The reason why God called the escapees remnant of Jacob is because you will not transfer to other religion. So we must maintain the true teachings of the of Iglesia ni Cristo to be remnant or portion of Jacob—but no longer Iglesia ni Manalo by rejecting his false doctrines.

This is another reason why the remnant of Jacob shall return.

Isaiah 10: 22-23:

“For though thy people Israel be as the sand of the sea, yet a remnant of them shall return: the consumption decreed shall overflow with righteousness.

“For the Lord God of hosts shall make a consumption, even determined, in the midst of all the land.”

Even the Iglesia ni Cristo has multiplied and scattered in other countries, like the sand of the sea, only a remnant or portion of

Jacob shall return. The consumption decreed shall overflow with righteousness. Therefore, those who will stay with his false doctrines shall surely be destroyed by God.

Here is another proof that the escapees from the house of Jacob shall return to God or shall be saved: Romans 11:26:

“And so all Israel shall be saved: as it is written, There shall come out of Sion the Deliverer, and shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob:”

Although Jacob is also called Israel by God, the mentioned all Israel that shall be saved here refers only to those who will go with Israel the Sword, the Deliverer, because he shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob.

Not only those who escaped shall return to God, but also those who halted, lost and driven away.

Micah 4:1, 6-7:

“But in the last days it shall come to pass, that the mountain of the house of the Lord shall be established in the top of the mountains, and it shall be exalted above the hills; and people shall flow unto it.

“In that day, saith the Lord, will I assemble her that halteth, and I will gather her that is driven out, and her that I have afflicted;

“And I will make her that halted a remnant, and her that was cast far off a strong nation: and the Lord shall reign over them in mount Zion from henceforth, even for ever.”

In Zephaniah 3:19, cast far off means driven out:

“Behold, at that time I will undo all that afflict thee: and I will save her that halteth, and gather her that was driven out; and I will get them praise and fame in every land where they have been put to shame.”

God said that those who halted and lost or transferred to other religions and those driven out or excommunicated shall be assembled to Him. Therefore, Bro. Avanilla is really a liar by saying

that those who are excommunicated have no Spirit because they will be assembled to God.

Those who will stay with the Manalos shall be destroyed.

Ezekiel 34:16-17:

“I will seek that which was lost, and bring again that which was driven away, and will bind up that which was broken, and will strengthen that which was sick: but I will destroy the fat and the strong; I will feed them with judgment.

“And as for you, O my flock, thus saith the Lord God; Behold, I judge between cattle and cattle, between the rams and the he goats.”

These are the useless sheep for the evil pastors of Manalo: Those who halted, lost or transferred to other religions and those who were driven out or excommunicated; While those who blindly obey their false doctrines are the fat and the strong for them. But God shall destroy them.

What should you do to be excluded from the name to be cursed?

Isaiah 65:15 will teach you how:

“And ye shall leave your name for a curse unto my chosen: for the Lord God shall slay thee, and call his servants by another name:”

Which name shall be cursed from God’s chosen?

Isaiah 43:28:

“Therefore I have profaned the princes of the sanctuary, and have given Jacob to the curse, and Israel to reproaches.”

Since the name Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) shall be given to the curse and his people to humiliations, you must get out from the Iglesia ni Manalo. You must no longer follow their false doctrines to be able to return to God and become true Iglesia ni Cristo.

What will be the name to be called for escapees from the house of Jacob?

As Iglesia ni Cristo, we knew that there is no other name given under the heaven for our salvation except in the name of Christ (Acts 4:10, 12). So we must maintain the name of Iglesia ni Cristo. But we must be different from those who will still follow the name who shall be cursed. Those who will stay with the Manalos will not accept that they are Iglesia ni Manalo. They will still insist that they are Iglesia ni Cristo. Hence, this group will be divided into two: (1) the escapees and (2) those who will continue to believe Jacob's false doctrines. In the meantime, to be different from them, we shall be called True Iglesia ni Cristo.

Where will the escapees worship God?

Jeremiah 30:18:

“Thus saith the Lord; Behold, I will bring again the captivity of Jacob's tents, and have mercy on his dwelling places; and the city shall be builded upon her own heap, and the palace shall remain after the manner thereof.”

Since Jacob's tents, dwelling places, the city shall be built upon its own heap and the palace shall remain after the manner thereof, these mean that those will not literally be destroyed. The Manalos are not the real owner of said possessions. We could have not given tithes and offerings for thanksgiving if they declared that church is Iglesia ni Manalo. Because they are using Christ's name, the real owner is Christ. So we, the True Iglesia ni Cristo, have the right to repossess the church.

But we must wait until the Manalos voluntarily surrender the church to us. After the worldwide escape of the remnant or portion of Jacob who shall return to God, the Iglesia ni Manalo shall fall. Hence, the Manalos shall be humiliated and shall accept defeat that God is already gone with their side. The result, they shall return the church to us to become the true Iglesia ni Cristo again.

In Jeremiah 30:10:

“Therefore fear thou not, O my servant Jacob, saith the Lord; neither be dismayed, O Israel: for, lo, I will save thee from afar, and thy seed from the land of their captivity; and Jacob shall return, and shall be in rest, and be quiet, and none shall make him afraid.”

Since Jacob (Bro. Felix Manalo) is already dead, this means the church identified with Jacob shall return to God. That time, we shall no longer be called Iglesia ni Manalo because Bro. Eddie or any of the Manalos shall never be the administrator of the church.

Maybe some of you will comment that your faith is dead if you will not enter the church. What’s the use of going to their houses of worship when God will not hear your prayers? He is already hiding His face from the house of Jacob and He will never hear your call because of their wickedness (Isaiah 8:17 and Micah 3:4). So it’s better to worship God in a plain house than to go to that church where you will hear false prophets of Jacob who judge for reward, teach for fees, and prophesying for money (Micah 3:11).

Will God hear the escapees’ prayers?

In Isaiah 58:9:

“Then shalt thou call, and the Lord shall answer; thou shalt cry, and he shall say, Here I am. If thou take away from the midst of thee the yoke, the putting forth of the finger, and speaking vanity;”

God shall hear us because I shall remove the yoke that you carry every election and plebiscite—the doctrine of Bluff Voting; Not only this but also all of Jacob’s wrong doctrines.

God really wants you to be free from the bondage of wickedness. The truth: the word of God shall set you free. Voting is vanity in His eyes. It cannot sanctify your soul. Therefore, you have the freedom to vote whoever you like, provided that you stay out of strife and vainglory that will cause trouble.

Israel the Sword shall become the shepherd of God's sheep.

In Ezekiel 34:15 and 24:

"I will feed my flock, and I will cause them to lie down, saith the Lord God.

"And I the Lord will be their God, and my servant David a prince among them; I the Lord have spoken it."

The mentioned David here is definitely not King David because he is already long time dead and the gathering of God's sheep shall happen at the last days (Micah 4:1 and 6). Therefore, there is also a God's servant in the last days that is called David.

The word of God is really concealed to others. The names He used are from the people during the time of the patriarch—like Jacob, Israel, Juda, David and others. Since some of the prophecies that shall happen in the last days are written in the Old Testament, other religions will not believe that the new Israel is the Philippines.

The name **Israel** alone refers to the following:

1. The **Jacob** in the time of the patriarch (Genesis 35:21);
2. The **land** of the children of God in the Middle East
3. The **people of God** before in the Middle East
4. The **Jacob of the ends of the earth**
5. The **Sword of God** who shall redeem Jacob (Isaiah 49:3);
6. The **land of God's sheep**, is also **Israel** (Ezekiel 34:13);
7. The **people of God in the last days** (Isaiah 10:20)
8. The **backsliding people** (Jeremiah 3:7);
9. The **people who shall be given to reproaches** (Isaiah 43:28);
10. The **people who shall be saved** (Romans 11:26).

So people who were not taught by God shall really be confused which or whom of the mentioned Israel is referred to in one of the written prophecy of the Bible.

Why I'm sure that Israel the Sword shall be the shepherd of God's sheep?

God said this in Ezekiel 34:30-31:

"Thus shall they know that I the Lord their God am with them, and that they, even the house of Israel, are my people, saith the Lord God.

"And ye my flock, the flock of my pasture, are men, and I am your God, saith the Lord God."

Since Israel is the head of the house, he shall become the shepherd of God's sheep.

In Jeremiah 31:10-11:

"Hear the word of the Lord, O ye nations, and declare it in the isles afar off, and say, He that scattered Israel will gather him, and keep him, as a shepherd doth his flock.

"For the Lord hath redeemed Jacob, and ransomed him from the hand of him that was stronger than he."

The mentioned scattered Israel here is referring to God's people; God's servant who scattered them shall keep them as the shepherd of the flock. Since Israel the Sword was commanded by God to smite the shepherd to scatter the sheep (Zechariah 13:7), he indeed, shall become the shepherd of God's sheep. Aside from the names Israel, sword, shaft, like fire, soap and cement, God also called me David.

Perhaps I was called David because I'm just a kid compared to the ministers of Manalo. I'm not learned. I don't even memorize the verses of the Bible. Not like the ministers who are very good in reading and fast in opening the Bible. But why can't they open counter-evidence to disprove the proofs God taught me?

Brad Julie said that I only have little knowledge. But even I did not study ministry, this little knowledge I learned from God shall overthrow the "wise fool" ministers of Manalo. My little knowledge is very dangerous to them. As proof, he feared to face me in a public debate when he incited the challenge.

I don't treat Bro. Felix Manalo as real enemy.

Although I'm denouncing him for preaching false doctrines, I don't really consider him true enemy—but only as if enemy.

Though Jacob or Bro. Felix shall be punished, he is not treated as real enemy of God.

Please read Lamentations 2:2, 4-5:

"The Lord hath swallowed up all the habitations of Jacob, and hath not pitied: he hath thrown down in his wrath the strong holds of the daughter of Judah; he hath brought them down to the ground: he hath polluted the kingdom and the princes thereof.

"He hath cut off in his fierce anger all the horn of Israel: he hath drawn back his right hand from before the enemy, and he burned against Jacob like a flaming fire, which devoureth round about.

"He hath bent his bow like an enemy: he stood with his right hand as an adversary, and slew all that were pleasant to the eye in the tabernacle of the daughter of Zion: he poured out his fury like fire.

"The Lord was as an enemy: he hath swallowed up Israel, he hath swallowed up all her palaces: he hath destroyed his strong holds, and hath increased in the daughter of Judah mourning and lamentation."

In Psalms 64:3, the sword, bow and arrows mean bitter words; While in Jeremiah 23:29, the word of God means like a fire and like a hammer that breaks the rock in pieces.

In Isaiah 27:9:

"By this therefore shall the iniquity of Jacob be purged; and this is all the fruit to take away his sin; when he maketh all the stones of the altar as chalkstones that are beaten in sunder, the groves and images shall not stand up."

Therefore, God shall not literally destroy the house of Jacob with real fire. God is only like an enemy to Jacob. In fact, the punishment is for his own sake: to purge his iniquity and to take away his sins.

What must be done by those who are enlightened?

1. Give a copy of this eBook to the ministers of your locale.

Ask for their email addresses and send them. Or give them a CD copy (USB is more expensive). Let's give them a chance to study this. They might also be enlightened and go with us so that they can have the right to preach the gospel and become messengers of God.

Let them answer what are written here—particularly the question: Who will really be saved in Isaiah 10:20-23: Those who shall escape from the house of Jacob? Or those who will stay? Then you can get out later.

2. Come together those who escaped from the nations.

Please repeat reading Isaiah 45:20:

“Assemble yourselves and come; draw near together, ye that are escaped of the nations...”

Isaiah 59:19:

“So shall they fear the name of the Lord from the west, and his glory from the rising of the sun...”

The first to be gathered or who will escape from the house of Jacob are those that shall fear the name of the Lord from the west. In Wikipedia, this is the definition of western nations:

“...the Western World generally refers to the nations of the **Americas, Europe, Australia, New Zealand, Israel, and South Africa.**

“When referring to current events, the term “Western World” often includes **developed countries in Asia**, such as **Japan, Singapore, Taiwan, and South Korea**, that have strong economic, political and military ties to Western Europe, NATO or the United States.”

In Isaiah 43:5:

“Fear not: for I am with thee: I will bring thy seed from the east, and gather thee from the west;”

Because it is written in Isaiah 45:20: “Assemble yourselves and come; draw near together, **ye that are escaped of the nations,**” not only those from the west shall be assembled to God, but also from other nations.

So you must search the brethren who also escaped from the house of Jacob. Then go near to God together in whatever place you are living. Temporarily hold worship services in your homes while our houses of worship are not yet repossessed.

Why the west or other nations shall be gathered first than the Philippines?

Isaiah 59:19:

“So shall they fear the name of the Lord from the west, and his glory from the rising of the sun...”

Though the glory of God is from the rising sun or the Philippines, the first to be gathered are those from the west or other nations for they shall fear the name of the Lord. This means that those from the Philippines will not. Filipinos are noted fanatics especially in religion and politics. Despite concrete proofs that other doctrines of Bro. Felix Manalo are really false, many of the brethren will not believe.

The reason is in Isaiah 29:14:

“Therefore, behold, I will proceed to do a marvellous work among this people, even a marvellous work and a wonder: for the wisdom of their wise men shall perish, and the understanding of their prudent men shall be hid.”

The understanding even of their prudent men shall be hid because they were deafened and blinded by their fanaticism or too much faith with the Manalos. As proof of this, the other deacon companion of Brad Julie believed that Bro. Felix is infallible because he was a

Messenger of God. Even my sister who already passed away and my nieces on her did not believe me because of they are fanatics.

I cannot blame them for not believing me because even the sisters of our Lord Jesus Christ did not believe him.

Mark 6:3:

“Is not this the carpenter, the son of Mary, the brother of James, and Joses, and of Juda, and Simon? and are not his sisters here with us? And they were offended at him.”

Since his sisters were on the side of the nonbelievers and offended with Jesus, it implies they also did not believe him?

Another example that showed extreme fanaticism are the Filipino voters in 2010 Presidential Election. The former President Joseph Estrada was proven guilty by the Sandiganbayan and was sentenced to life imprisonment due to plunder case. But when he was given presidential pardon and run for President, the fanatics still voted for him. He even nearly defeated President Noyonoy Aquino. Maybe the reason why Bro. Erdy's life was taken by God before the election—he died August 31, 2009—is because he will still dictate to the brethren to vote for Erap if he was still alive.

The brethren from the west or other nations are open-minded—especially those who were born and grew up there. Not like those close-minded who doesn't accept and understand what is right from wrong because of too much fanaticism. Once the brethren from the west and other nations are gathering themselves together, those who are here in the Philippines will follow because of this other peculiar traits of the Filipinos: colonial mentality.

3. Send this eBook to the brethren.

Please read again Jeremiah 31:10:

“Hear the word of the Lord, O ye nations, and declare it in the isles afar off, and say, He that scattered Israel will gather him, and keep him, as a shepherd doth his flock.”

Nations here does not mean lands because they cannot hear nor

talk. Nations refer to those who escaped from the house of Jacob living in other countries.

You declare to the islands afar and say, "He that scattered Israel will gather him, and keep him, as a shepherd of His flock." In other words, declare to the brethren (relatives and friends) living in the Philippines and abroad that you had escaped from the house of Jacob and has joined me to be gathered back to God. Post about this in your Facebook walls or any social networking sites that you have accounts.

There are three ways how to send or distribute this eBook to the brethren: (1) Send through email with attachment; (2) Upload with facebook or any social network that you have account; and (3) Paste in your webpage if you have a website. It's up to you to discover how to do these.

4. Send me an email.

Send your message or comment to my email address (ang_tabak@yahoo.com or angtabak@gmail.com). In your email, include all the names of your family members who have faith that those who will join me shall become true Iglesia ni Cristo and be assembled to God. Also write your locale and nation you are living so that I can assess how many are already enlightened.

5. If you already have enlightened ministers, verify the truth.

Send me an email about the ministers to be sure that he is in the list of the enlightened. If it's true, I will reply an email of confirmation to you. Help that minister through tithes and offerings for his livelihood. In my first book that I sent to the administrators, I wrote that you must never give tithes to the ministers. If they really repent for their sins, God will not forsake them. But with the present global economic conditions, it will be harder for them. What kind of job they could get to support their families? They should concentrate

more in preaching the gospel because Judgment Day is very near. So just help them.

Appeal to brethren:

To Bro. Eddie Manalo:

I would like to ask for an apology to be fair with you. I don't know you personally and your heart's content. You are just the new administrator and you might be better than your father. I hope and pray that you may also be enlightened that my mission is also for the welfare of your grandfather. I must bring back the church to God to redeem his sins.

To all the ministers that I smote in this eBook:

Please pardon me. I only followed the commandment of God as His Sword: To smite you with bitter words and to break your false doctrines by the word of God—like fire and hammer. I'm also doing this for your own good. I also love you that is why I want you to return to God, be enlightened that you also ought to be His Messengers. Then you shall have the right to preach the gospel and save not only your souls, but also those who will listen to you.

To all brethren with the family name Manalo:

I also ask for your forgiveness. Whether you are a relative of Bro. Felix Manalo or not, I know it is hurting for you to be dragged in his iniquity despite your innocence of his wrong deeds. I understand your feelings. But don't worry. The name Manalo shall be cleansed. When that day comes, you shall again be proud of your name.

To the youths:

Please read again Isaiah 10:20-23:

“And it shall come to pass in that day, that the remnant of Israel, and such as are escaped of the house of Jacob, shall no more again

stay upon him that smote them; but shall stay upon the Lord, the Holy One of Israel, in truth.

“The remnant shall return, even the remnant of Jacob, unto the mighty God.

“For though thy people Israel be as the sand of the sea, yet a remnant of them shall return: the consumption decreed shall overflow with righteousness.

“For the Lord God of hosts shall make a consumption, even determined, in the midst of all the land.”

Since you are still under your parents’ custody, show this eBook to them. Let them read and decide for you. Even you believe that those who will escape from the house of Jacob shall be saved, but your parents’ decision is contrary to yours, don’t worry. God will judge you with righteousness—according to your heart’s contents.

To other brethren:

Pray to God sincerely. Ask him to hear your call and give you spiritual wisdom and guidance to understand the truth. You must escape from the house of Jacob to return to God. Or if you already escaped but switched to other faith, God is seeking you. He wants you gathered back to him. Join me and become true Iglesia ni Cristo to be saved in Judgment Day and inherit everlasting life.

“And if thou draw out thy soul to the hungry, and satisfy the afflicted soul; then shall thy light rise in obscurity, and thy darkness be as the noon day:”

– Isaiah 58:10

“For the word of God is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit, and of the joints and marrow, and is a discernor of the thoughts and intents of the heart.”

– Hebrews 4:12