

# ORGANISATIONS OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER AND THE TRANSITION INTO MULTI-CULTURALISM

*by Trevor Stewart*

The elites of today, who descended from the ancient racial networks that were set up hundreds of years ago through Slavic emigrations into Central and Northern Europe around 400-700AD and England around the 13th century, have constructed multiple front organisations, over the past centuries, to usher in and to secure their totalitarian grip over the global community. And as of the founding of the UN and NATO in 1945 and some of the elitist organisations listed below, e.g. the European Parliament, the Network's creep towards unequalled power may have already been accomplished or it's nearing its final conclusion. End Times are beckoning.

---

The New World Order, or NWO, is an actual concept turned reality with the construction of certain powerful organisations whose remit is to issue new forms of social and economical controls over the citizens and nations of the world. There are 3 concepts to the NWO, but the organisations and groups, constructed to manage the new order, are many more. The 3 concepts, each with their own descriptions, are: (1) the One World Government: the single governmental body that would have major control over all nations of the world, and all nations shall yield their national sovereignty and be subordinate to it. (2) the One World Army: the implementation of a single armed force, or military, to protect the world government and its institutions of control. (3) the One World Bank: the centralised and profiteering banking system that controls all others and provides non-interest free loans.

So when does a concept become a reality? Here are the highly-suspected operational branches of the NWO, that, over the centuries, were set up by the elites to manage people and nation: Freemasonry, set up in the late 16th and early 17th centuries; the Boasian School of Anthropology, set up in 1899; the Rhodes scholarship, set up in 1902; the Round Table, set up in 1909; the Federal Reserve,

set up in 1913; the League of Nations, set up in 1920; the Royal Institute of International Affairs, set up in 1920; the Council on Foreign Relations, set up in 1921; the International Monetary Fund, set up in 1944; the United Nations, set up in 1945; the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, set up in 1945; the World Bank, set up in 1945; the World Health Organisation, set up in 1948; the Bilderberg Group, set up in 1954; the Club of Rome, set up in 1968; the Trilateral Commission, set up in 1973; the European Union, set up in 1993; the European Parliament, set up in 1993; the North American Free Trade Agreement, set up in 1994; the World Trade Organisation, set up in 1998. And to prove there is a global agenda, or at least a move into centralisation outwith Europe, we also have: the African Union, set up in 2002; and the South America Union, set up in 2008. The Welfare State, that was set up in 1948, is another important tool: for calming fears as the engineered collapse is in process and millions of people become unemployed. As is the police, and these intelligence agencies: NSA, DoD, CIA, FBI, CI5, MI5, and MI6.

### *The Boasian School of Anthropology*

Standing alongside the organisations and elegant clubs of the NWO is the social-engineering department of the United States educational system, anthropology, and the anthropologist Franz Boas. A professor in anthropology since 1899, he was lecturing on the new concept that argues: as opposed to heredity---the inheritance of non-mixed racial genes from one's ancestors to maintain one's intelligence---the environment is the important factor to the intellectual development, or IQ, of a race. This new concept came to be known as Environmentalism, and before its adoption in the social sciences Darwinism was the science of choice. Darwinism adheres to the belief that non-mixed genes from one's ancestors is the important factor to the intellectual development of a species. A simple example would be: If the species who built the Roman Empire procreated with the species who possibly built nothing, would that new species still be capable of constructing the Roman Empire?

The transition from Darwinism to Environmentalism, at the turn of the 20th century within the social sciences, alluded to some type of conflict within the System. And while it definitely was a conflict, it was also a strange volte-face from the conquest and empire building the elites had previously been engaging in. The European elites

had conquered continent after continent and displaced millions of non-white native peoples over the past 3 centuries or so. But, now, here within the anthropological department, Boas, on behalf of the elite network, was throwing away Darwinism and adopting Environmentalism. It was a strange transition, no doubt, and even more suspicious Environmentalism wasn't supported by any new discoveries within the field of sociobiology---the study of animal and human behaviour---that removed the importance of heredity. It should have been a warning to the white peoples that the elites had seriously turned against them. And although some people---who I would suspect as being controlled opposition---did query Boas's actions and integrity, it was never enough to negate the Network's agenda. The researchers and activists who queried Boas's intentions: Lenz 1931; Liebman 1973; Rockwell 1960s, etc., had recognised this change within anthropology and came to conclude that Boas's war against Darwinism was primarily motivated by racial or religious reasons. However, whatever those people said or did, caution should be advised if you believe them. Covering for their Network-controlled and elitist comrades---at least I highly-suspect they were---the same researchers, excluding Rockwell, went on to claim: that the "Jews were the driving-force behind the collapse of Darwinism", and "the transition from Darwinism to Environmentalism had coincided with the influx of Jews into certain educational departments" within the United States, like anthropology.

Back in the 1960s the Communist-driven liberal philosophies---that originate in Eastern Europe with Lamarck, and Lysenko---were written about by certain pro-white Americans who were refuting Boas's claims. In his controversial book, 'White Power', George Lincoln Rockwell had wrote: "Meanwhile, he [Boas] produced one book after another "proving" there were no such things as racial differences among men." Like others had done, before and after, Rockwell had defined Boas as Jewish. And while he was convinced that Boas, and the Jews, were involved in a conspiracy against the white race---hence they were dismissing Darwinism; supporting Equality as part of their tactics---and actually controlled the United States from behind the scenes, he failed to recognise, or point out, that Boas was merely an elite member within American society who was more than likely operating on behalf of the elite Slavic network that the senators and presidents, e.g. Eisenhower, Johnston or Nixon, were members of.

The promotion of “equality” among the races isn't such a sinister agenda as it possibly can be used for. And because mass-immigration, integration, and the out-sourcing of jobs is only practised within European-founded nations, then you can appreciate why, among others, Ford, Lindbergh, Rockwell, and MacDonald, etc., believed, or believe, it looks like an anti-white agenda. And it does. However, as to who to assign blame to, the Jews or the elites, is an interesting point. Although Boas has been identified as Jewish by many researchers, I still wouldn't fully accept that the drive towards the new social order is masterminded solely by the Jews: If we accept that Rockwell and MacDonald were correct---that Boas was infact Jewish and he was conspiring against the white race---it's still the case that he was a Slavic-European Jew, therefore he was white. And that is something they seldom speak of. Boas was Jewish, but he was also Slavic-European. And those, excluding Rockwell, who accused him of conspiring against the white race, were, in all probability, part of that very same elite network that Boas was part of. And although the Jews do hold important elitist positions within the System, they were merely agents from that very same Slavic network that Bush, Blair, and Clinton are also members of. So the only difference between Boas and the rest of the Slavic elites, was that most of them were Catholics and Protestants and he was Jewish.

If we avoid defining people by their religion; stick to their race or nationality, then it's highly-probable that the Jewish angle, more or less, was a highly-sophisticated intellectual war strategy designed to confuse and to label as racists any enemies who attempt to challenge the Network's social-engineering programme and their attempts to bring about the new social order. An example of this tactic would be: If you, as Rockwell did, accuse Boas of being subversive, Boas, and the rest of his networking elites who control the System, can dismiss you as an “anti-Semite”. It's a lethal tactic; it destroys all foes, and one that has crept around the white-European nations for hundreds of years.

After Rockwell had constructing the American Nazi Party, to directly challenge the conspirators through the ballot box on a pro-white platform, he was shot and killed on 25/08/1967. Rockwell was a successful and genuine American leader. And had he survived, he surely would have delivered some serious damage to the Jews, or whoever else he was going after.

It's no coincidence that the high-ranking elites had shifted from the promotion of Darwinism within the educational system to allowing full-scale mass-immigration into their nations; it was their intention from the very start. And throughout that transition within the social sciences, and later through the following decades, immigrants were relocating to the United States in their millions. Although immigration was mainly about a workforce putting gold in the pockets of the upper class elites throughout the Industrial Revolution era and beyond, eventually they began to use immigration as a kind of weapon to be used to usher in the collapse of the nations and at the same time it gave them an excuse to build-up their dictatorship---a process that is well-underway. Around the same time the elites were constructing the "slums" to house the factory workers, they were Slavitisng the West through immigration from the East; and, later, from the non-white nations:

During the colonial period immigrants primarily came from Northern Europe; later from Eastern Europe; and, later still, from South America, mainly Mexico.

- 1) The Colonial Period, 17th and 18th Centuries. Into America.
- 2) The Middle 19th Century. Into America.
- 3) The Early 20th Century. Into America.
- 4) Post-1965. Into America.
- 5) The Immigration Act, 1990. Into America.
- 6) Britain from 1945, onwards.

I conclude that it's highly-probable Boas was involved in a psychological warfare programme directed against the white community. And he did that on behalf of the elite network that controlled, and still controls, the United States of America. And through the academic promotion of Environmentalism, or Equality, within the educational system, was programming the white-European race to view non-whites as equal to themselves so the multi-culturalising of the United States, that was about to begin---integration started in the 1950s, would run uninterrupted.

Excluding Rockwell---who I believe was a Northern European, those middle and upper class authors and researchers who were calling Boas a Jew, did that to offer him some protection while he, as an elite, and a member from the same elite

network that they, themselves, were members of, plotted against the white race from within the educational system and from within the System itself. Every one of them were very likely to have been Network-controlled operators, and this is why nothing ever worked for the white-European peoples as they watched their nations being massively changed without their consent.

### *The United Nations*

One of the principle organisations of the NWO is thought to be the United Nations. Founded in 1945, its headquarters are stationed in Manhattan, New York City and has been operational for some 70 years. Funded by the member states, it has 5 branches: the Economic and Social Council; the General Assembly; the International Court of Justice; the Security Council; and the Secretariat. According to the Network-controlled media and the promoted historical literature, the United Nations's primary reason for existing came after the League of Nations---that was founded in 1920 soon after WWI -- 1914-1918---failed in its duty to avert conflict between the nations that resulted in WWII -- 1939-1945. The elites then used this apparent failure to construct a similar organisation only this time with more powers, and networking members were openly talking about this within elite circles. The proposed theory: that the elites were seeking to set up organisations to secretly rule the world through them, grew out of certain elites in the 1940s openly advocating for a new order to better maintain the security of the world, or to preclude conflict between nations. And some of those elites, e.g. Winston Churchill---Prime Minister of the United Kingdom from 1940 to 1945---supported the concept of a new world order and even used the phrase in several of their speeches. And just like Churchill, Franklin D. Roosevelt---President of the United States from 1933 to 1945---was a member from the Network and promoting a new, social and economic, world order.

The leaders in the far-right and elsewhere see Roosevelt as part of the Jewish network---the notorious "chosen people" who conspire to annihilate or displace the Europeans, or Aryans, and who control the world from behind the scenes---but I see him as he really was: a Slavic-European person who called himself Jewish. And those who promote him as Jewish, I suspect, are members from the same network tasked with controlling all opposition to it.

What began as postulations from high-ranking elites within Britain and America led to the creation of the United Nations Charter. Drafted in 1945, the Charter came into effect on the 24th of October and the United Nations came to be born. Armed with 5 principle branches to administer the United Nations's international agenda: the Economic and Social Council; the General Assembly; the International Court of Justice; the Security Council; and the Secretariat, this organisation, and one of the legal machines of the NWO, now had legal control over resolutions for war as quickly as it became operational. It was created as a front for the hosts in the United States to rule through, and through their Security Council they can declare legal war or interventions on any nation they so choose. The 5 permanent members on the United Nations's Security Council, are: America, Russia, Britain, France, and China.

It's highly probable the elites constructed the United Nations as part of their legal network within the NWO construct, and under a cloak of propaganda that the average citizen could only ever see as legitimate and a good thing. Precluding war between the nations was important, progressive and enlightened, the elite-controlled media would say. And after 50 million people---mostly Europeans---were killed during WWII, and the apparent failures of the League of Nations---that was the precursor to the United Nations---it was the only right thing to do. The majority of the people may have known little about the United Nations and its true purpose, but there was always a group of watchers who linked the United Nations with the sinister move towards nations losing their sovereignty and the much-feared One World Government with its One World Army. Among others, the American Christian "right" and the "militia" communities, as far back as the 1950s, were warning the people about those moves. And their conclusions, based entirely on how the world has changed over the past years, were more than accurate; they were exact. Not only can the United Nations be used to build an international coalition force to throw against any enemy the elites so choose, quintessentially it was the principle reason for its construction. Imagine the scenario: The people agree the government is corrupt. They build a force to regain power through any means possible. And, eventually, if the elites, in any nation they may be fighting in, begin to lose, they could call on the United Nations to intervene. Unfortunately, then, after considering

all of that, it will require an immense effort by the people to win back their nations. That's not to say people will fight back; outwith controlled opposition they haven't so far. But if that does happen, then that's how the elites would probably deal with that threat. 51 nations, that were represented by the political establishment, signed up to the United Nations Charter at its founding on 24 October 1945. Today, 2016, almost 200 nations have signed up. And as I write this, the machine to preclude all enemies from annihilating it is securely in place right now and has been practically since the end of WWII. What we now have is the Network-controlled United Nations with legal powers to mobilise soldiers---called "blue hats" or "peace-keeping" troops---from the signed up member states; we have, under exceptional circumstances, NATO soldiers possibly finding themselves acting directly under United Nations authority and possibly fighting against pro-democratic and anti-corrupt government forces in the not too distant future; and, finally, we have the unpopular European Parliament---centralised power within the European Union construct.

### *Rhodes Scholarship*

Historical literature associates Cecil Rhodes with secret societies and plotting to construct a new social order, or a new world order. And while he definitely did build towards that goal: he founded the Society of the Elect and the Rhodes Scholarship, his ideas and the ideas of most of his fellow elites, may have been very different. In his will, written in 1877, he wrote about his hope to establish "British rule throughout the world", and that he wanted the "British to settle Africa, the Holy Land, and South America". So while it's correct that Rhodes did want a NWO, it was a pro-white British venture with his Imperial Parliament controlling most of the world. That didn't happen, of course; his dreams towards white settlements were dashed when the elites withdrew from conquest and settlement, but had he survived he, like many others, may have been bitterly disappointed by the way things turned out. Rhodes, who was a Freemason at the Apollo University Lodge, died, aged 48, due to heart problems. Before his death, however, he founded the Rhodes Scholarship group. Constructed in 1902 and designed to bring like-minded individuals together, group members were based at Oxford University in England where they would study for free. There, at Oxford, the group was attempting to build a network among the great



white powers, Britain, America, and Germany, and set about the construction of the new order that Rhodes and many others had believed in. With Rhodes out of the way, however, his fellow elites would go on to construct several organisations and groups that would bring massive controls over the problematic white race, and began, what George Orwell may see as, the war to keep down the ever-demanding and freedom-loving Europeans.

### *The Round Table*

The British politician, Alfred Milner, was one of the trustees in the Rhodes Scholarship group. And it was he, along with another British official, Lionel Curtis, who set up the Round Table movement at a conference held in Wales, Britain, in 1909. The elites were moving forward with their new concept on social and economical control, and the creation of the Round Table movement adhered to the exact same concepts that had founded the Rhodes Scholarship group: building a network, or "special relationship", with the United States and the British colonies. Although they still remained committed to Rhodes's concept on the British Empire controlling all nations through her centralised Imperial Parliament---at least until the rise of America as a super-power, the British elites changed their original concept and opted for the Commonwealth of Nations system instead. The Commonwealth was founded in the mid-20th century and coincided with the end to the British Empire, decolonisation, integration, and mass-immigration.

### *Chatham House*

11 years after the British elites had set up the Round Table movement, they set up the Royal Institute of International Affairs also know as Chatham House. The elites held their first private meeting on the 5th July 1920, but the organisation's origins are to be found at the Paris Peace Conference that was held on 30 May 1919 and was then known as the British Institute of International Affairs. One of the British elites who founded the movement was Lionel Curtis. And this was the same Curtis who was also a member from the Rhodes Scholarship group and a member from the Round Table movement. It is ranked as the second most powerful "think tank" in the world, and it boasts of having ex-prime ministers, e.g. John Major, as its presidents.

### *The Council on Foreign Relations*

Founded by certain elitist figures within America just after the peace talks in Paris concluded in 1919, the Council on Foreign Relations was founded in 1921 and had emerged from the Institute of International Affairs that the British elites had founded. Although it was founded in 1921, its origins can be traced to another elitist meeting that was held in New York in 1918. Headed by Elihu Root, who served under President Theodore Roosevelt of the United States as his Secretary of State during that time, it was identical to the other organisations already enumerated: it was a front for the NWO, and the elites were putting out propaganda, through their Network-controlled media, claiming they were merely discussing matters concerning international problems and events.

### *The Bilderberg Group*

The next important group that was formed after the Council on Foreign Relations, was the elegantly named Bilderberg Group. Bilderberg has long been associated with NWO literature and it's easy to see why. It was founded through Rockefeller and Rothschild money in 1954 and was named after the hotel where some of the elites held their first meeting. One of Bilderberg's founding members was Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands. Prince Bernhard belonged to the same House of Orange that had produced King William III of England who defeated James II during the Glorious Revolution in 1688. And similar to the other movements the elites had previously constructed from 1899 to 1954, the Bilderberg Group is promoted as a discussion group, or "think tank", by mainstream media and its members hail from the highest positions within high-ranking elitist circles: politics, finance, academia, media, science, intelligence, etc. The group meets yearly to discuss and further the global agenda of the high-ranking elites, and it's highly-probable that all its members are freemasons, or masons.

### *The Club of Rome*

The Club of Rome is another high-ranking elite organisation apparently concerned with solving the world's problems and protecting the environment, and also supports the one world government ethos. It was founded in 1968 by Aurelio Peccei and

Alexander King in Rome, Italy, and both members and group are identical to the organisations previously highlighted. One of the Club of Rome's first publications, the 'Limits to Growth', that was first published in 1972, was an environmental book arguing that "economic growth could not continue indefinitely because of the limited availability of natural resources, particularly oil." So no salutes for guessing what the elites back in 1968 were driving towards. To be logical, however, environmental damage due to mankind's technologies---fossil fuel, e.g. coal, wood, burnings; emissions from combustion engines, etc., must surely have had some, cause and effect, reaction on the planet and its atmosphere.

### *The Trilateral Commission*

The Trilateral Commission was founded in July 1973 by David Rockefeller who is Slavic-Jewish and a big chief in the Network. However some researchers allude to it being created in the 1920s. According to their public relations spokespersons, they are primarily concerned with "promoting closer ties between North America, Western Europe, and Japan." James Carter, who was the President of the United States -- 1977-1981, was a Trilateral member when he became President in 1977. And later, in 1994, he, along with Ronald Reagan---President from 1981-1989---supported the ban on semi-automatic assault weapons. Along with the rest of the elites, Carter and Reagan were going after the community's right to bear arms---that is critical to stop possible revolutionary activity in the future, but they failed to convince the Americans that to give up their guns is the right thing to do; and, infact, looking at the following evidence, you can understand, from their unique white perspective within America, why they don't trust the government: The elite-controlled government had infact forced integration on white America from around the 1950s, onwards; and mass-immigration on them from around the mid-20th century and onwards. And although carried out by a nation that proclaims to be "democratic", the multi-culturalising of America had ominously unfolded right under their noses and, more importantly, without their consent. And while the elites were multi-culturalising the nation they kept the Senate white! Clearly, then, it looks an anti-white agenda. And this is why the Americans fight to keep guns. Before the ex-presidents were arguing for the ban on guns---they were semi-automatics and exactly what a real army would require

if defending the community---Carter had criticised Israel for her apartheid policy. But, unlike ex-president Richard Nixon, held back from calling the Jews "all-powerful". Carter is actually 93 years old, so certainly the hospitals look after the high-ranking elites very well.

### *Freemasonry*

Difficult to ascertain precisely when Freemasonry/Masonry was first constructed, but historians believe that the first evidence comes from the minutes of a meeting held at a lodge based in Edinburgh, Scotland, that shows a continuity from a lodge in 1598. This is thought to be the oldest Masonic Lodge in the world. The first lodge in England was called the Grand Lodge of London and Westminster. However the name was later changed to the Grand Lodge of England. It was founded on 24/06/1717 when four existing London lodges held a collective meeting. The Grand Lodge of Ireland was founded in 1725; the Grand Lodge of Scotland was founded in 1736; the first known lodges in the United States were constructed in Pennsylvania in 1715, and, interestingly enough, what we have is evidence for an elitist, ruling class, network that reaches across the Atlantic: when the Premier Grand Lodge in England appointed a Provincial Grand Master for North America in 1731. And, suspiciously so, 4.5 decades later King George III and his British elites were at war with the American elites, Washington, Jefferson, etc., during the 1776 war.

During the American Revolutionary War -- 1776-1783, chances are good that all commanders on both sides of the battlefield were Slavic freemasons who trace their ancestry back to Eastern Europe. And we have an identical situation during the American Civil War -- 1861-1865. I highly-suspect that most, and probably all, of the commanders fighting during the American civil war, e.g. among others, the northern commander, General Ulysses S. Grant; the southern commander, General Robert E. Lee, were Slavic freemasons. And because they assume Northern European names and surnames, people, until now, may have identified them as non-Slavic. This also holds true for the American presidents: Outwith \*President John F. Kennedy--- who was assassinated (shot) in 1963---and President Barack Obama---the first African-American president---all 42 American presidents, from George Washington to George W. Bush, were Slavic freemasons and part of the \*elite Slavic network.

Although Freemasonry is an international organisation with branches all over the world and is promoted, more or less, by the mainstream media as respectable, the public has long viewed them with suspicion. However, even before Freemasonry was created in the 16th-17th centuries, the Network had captured control over most of the nations within Europe.

\*---the ancient racial network that has persisted, under guidance, for possibly even more than 2 thousand years, and no doubt descended from the ancient cro-magnon species that entered europe around 40.000 years ago---

\*---kennedy possibly was assassinated by the slavic elites---his brother, robert, also---due to his politics and not being part of the slavic network that has controlled America right from her creation. so dangerous competition---

### *The Transition*

Among other nations not included, the following evidence shows how the white -European elites had transitioned from colonising non-white nations and almost displacing the native peoples to granting non-white emigration into white nations:

Evidence that the elites were hostile towards non-whites:

(1) the African slave trade began in 1662; (2) from 1788, onwards, certain elites authorised the settlement of Australia that eventually led to the native Aborigines almost becoming displaced; (3) from the 1900s, and onwards, they followed a similar policy in North America that also led to the native non-white peoples losing their land and eventually almost becoming displaced.

Evidence for the transition into supporting non-whites, and to supporting them:

(1) the elites abolished the African slave trade in 1807; (2) they turned away from fully conquering and settling Africa; (3) they ignored expansion into South America; (4) they pulled out of India; (5) and after the British Empire started to be phased out around the mid-20th century, they began to multi-culturalise, excluding Eastern Europe, many of the white nations and states within Europe and North America including Australia and South Africa.

Clearly, then, and based on the above facts, the European hierarchy had ceased

their hostile intentions towards the non-white world and re-sighted them towards the white world. And due to this, the ramifications on the white race and their nations were colossal; they ruthlessly and undemocratically changed the once-white cities forever, thanks to their war policies. What we then witnessed were whole sectors of white settlements incrementally delivered over to the non-whites, from California to London, and it led to many of the obvious by-products associated to immigration. And while the immigrants poured into the white cities over the past 5 decades or so, and the movies and films became---but not behind the scenes---"multi-cultural", the Network-controlled media has fanatically supported this position as if it were normal and all above board. I mean, it's not as if the public had a choice. And as for the immigrants, both white and non-white, they were nothing but pawns to be used to increase conflict among the races and to help usher in the collapse of the nations.

### *The Controllers During the Transition*

During the invasion, or the "colonisation", of Australia, South Africa, and North America, British imperialism was spearheaded by the following elites who were the British prime ministers during those times: (1) William Pitt, 'the Younger'. Pitt held office from 1783-1881 during the invasion of Australia in 1788; (2) Benjamin Disraeli. Disraeli held office from 1874-1880. He expanded the British Empire to include, among others, South Africa, and Egypt. During his reign he oversaw the Great Indian Famine -- 1876-1878; the Second Anglo-Afghan War -- 1877-1888; and the famous Zulu Wars--where the Zulus, who were fighting under their leader, King Catawayo, defeated a regiment from the British army. Incidentally, Rhodes, who was born in 1853, was alive when that happened; he was in his 30s when a British army, under the control of high-born commanders, won the famous battle at Rorke's Drift in 1879, and lost the battle at Isandawana in 1887.

The clearances and wars against the native American Indians took place from around the 17th century to the 20th century. And just to give an idea of what the American elites---who had emigrated from Britain, or their ancestors had, and were all very possibly freemasons---were engaging in throughout those times, Andrew Jackson was the President from 1829-1837 when the elites in Congress issued the Indian Removal Act -- 1830. It was a racist and brutal document, and it led to some

of the North American Indians being forcibly removed from their homelands, and through the long marches into the West, known as the Trail of Tears, were ethnically cleansed. This anti-white agenda was unfolding under the “manifest destiny”, or the “coast to shining coast”, (Atlantic ocean to Pacific ocean) belief, that was being promoted by the elites in the United States during those times, and not only was it explicitly pro-white, it represented Darwin’s Natural Selection theory precisely---it means: it was the ultimate destiny of the white-European race to expand their settlements westwards, and to conquer and secure living space for their peoples.

I conclude, from around the mid-16th century to the mid-20th century, the facts show, the white elites were explicitly anti-non-white---not only had they created the African slave trade, they had cleared and displaced millions of non-white peoples from Australia to North America. In addition, after they had transitioned away from their cruel, racist proclivities---decolonisation, integration, mass-immigration, etc.---they turned against the powerful white race---their greatest threat to the NWO.

—

All of the organisations highlighted in this article, from Freemasonry to the United Nations, have been identified as the control network of the NWO. And while activists and authors have talked plenty on those institutions, Boas’s operations within the educational system has drawn little acknowledgement. I conclude that Boas, back around the 1900s, more or less, was promoting certain abstract concepts, such as: “equality”; “universalism”; and “environmentalism”, not as a genuine representation of his own personal in-built beliefs, or fleeting with attaining some kind of idealistic perfection, but to programme the minds of the students and the wider community into seeing non-whites as equal to themselves. And this was done to clear the way for the new multi-cultural society that they wanted, and that had emerged in the 1950s with forced integration.

Integration, more or less, was forced assimilation. And needless to say, outwith the Network-controlled media and the System, it was regarded as a major blow to

white America and left a great amount of bitterness behind. In addition to the forced integration policy, non-white immigration into white communities without the latter's consent led to white people, more or less, retreating from the "mixed zones" to form their own white strongholds in other parts of their nations. And while this became known as "white flight", unfortunately it may have set the scene for future conflicts.

Although immigration is one of the crucial weapons deployed by the elites against their enemies in the United States and elsewhere, it wasn't so much about the multi-racialising of the nation in the early days of its growth; even though that did happen through immigration from the Slavic East, it was more about the elites building their fortunes through cheap workforces. However, in the end, after they had secured all the wealth and power they could ever hope for, and constructed multiple secret society-type organisations for social control, they set about engineering the collapse of the nations through open and covert immigration policies that led to legal and illegal immigration in the millions and left white America and parts of Europe sensing they are being displaced. And as for their liberal-left ideals and the "melting-pot" ideology; that the elites have promoted for half a century but failed to live up to, they were a big lie and a front for an intellectual war strategy.

It was a network that had endured for thousands of years. And from its ranks had emerged not only the kings and queens of the great European-founded nations, but the presidents, prime ministers, politicians, and generals. But, crucially, the one thing that bound them together, through the last 2 millennia or so, excluding their elite status and their proclivities towards "equality", was their race. And whether it's all done; they won, or the people, who don't care so much about anything other than security, peace, comfort, and happiness, can construct a strong enough network to challenge their new social order or not, is anyone's guess.

This was the road to the New World Order.