Version 2



General Certificate of Education (A-level) June 2011

Design and Technology: Product Design

PROD3

(Specification 2550)

**Unit 3: Design and Manufacture** 

## **Post-Standardisation**

## Mark Scheme

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from: aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2011 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

## Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX. NB. This mark scheme is intended as a guide to the type of answer expected but it is not intended to be exhaustive or prescriptive. If candidates offer other answers which are equally valid they must be given full credit. Many responses at this level are assessed according to the quality of the work as well as the number of points included.

The following level descriptors are intended to be a guide when assessing the quality of a candidate's response.

| Low:          | The candidate has a basic but possibly confused grasp of the issues.<br>Few correct examples are given to illustrate points made.<br>The candidate does not have a clear idea of what s/he is writing about.                |
|---------------|---|
| Intermediate: | The candidate has some knowledge but there is limited clarity of understanding.<br>Some correct examples given to illustrate points made.<br>The candidate knows what s/he is writing about but there is some confusion.    |
| High:         | The candidate has a thorough understanding of the issues and has provided relevant examples to support the knowledge shown.<br>The candidate knows what s/he is writing about and provides clear evidence of understanding. |

| Question | Item | Marking Guidance   | Mark | Comments  |
|----------|------|--|------|---|
|          | 01   | <ul> <li>Eames Lounge Chair 1956</li> <li>The design is considered to be one of the first to make use of moulded plywood, a technique devised during WW II for leg and arm splints, for furniture construction. The chair makes use of luxurious materials such as rosewood faced plywood, cast aluminium and leather to create organic shaped seating shells and the process of "cycle welding" which enabled them to join wood to leather/glass/metal etc.</li> <li>Candidates may not have explicit knowledge of the chair and detail above but should be aware of lamination, metal forming etc and how they can be employed to generate the shapes required.</li> <li>Comments and judgements with regard to the aesthetics may be personal to the candidate and again may not recognise that the product is considered to be an iconic/classic design.</li> <li>N.B. Candidates may not divide their answer equally between aspects of both "form and aesthetics", as stated in the question, but both aspects should be included in the answer.</li> <li>Barcelona Chair 1929 designed by Mies van der Rohe and Lilly Reich ( Bauhaus student )</li> <li>Designed for the German Pavilion at the 1929 Exposicion Internacional de Barcelona – a landmark of the "modern movement" the chairs were intended to be thrones for the Spanish King and Queen. The chair consists of an X frame made of two flat bars of steel either chrome plated or stainless steel, providing both practical/ protective and an attractive finish. The X is associated with medieval royal chairs and as a result of the Barcelona chair, the first accepted use of metal in luxury furniture. The</li> </ul> | 14   | Low: Simple statements,<br>which show weak/poor<br>understanding of the<br>materials/processes involved<br>and/or aesthetics. (0 – 4<br>marks)<br>Inter: Shows understanding<br>but lacks detail. Generalised<br>statements with minor factors<br>or few points made. (5 – 9<br>marks)<br>High: Wide and varied range<br>of methods described with<br>both detail and depth of<br>understanding. (10 – 14<br>marks) |

Mark Scheme – General Certificate of Education (A-level) Design and Technology: Product Design – Unit 3: Design and Manufacture – June 2011

| frame is forged, but accept welding<br>as a viable alternative construction<br>method. The cushion support is<br>upon leather straps with Pirrelli<br>webbing as alternative. The<br>cushions are buttoned leather for<br>practical as well as aesthetic<br>purposes. Mass produced by Knoll<br>in America from 1950's.  |  |
|--|--|
| Recognise the use of industrial /<br>modern materials in furniture<br>design. Forged mild steel (chrome<br>plated) or polished stainless steel is<br>used to contrast with the black<br>leather seat. Forging induces<br>spring steel properties to add<br>flexibility and comfort to chair<br>(original 1929 design bolted<br>together but product re-designed in<br>50's for forging). |  |

| Question | Part | Marking Guidance  | Mark | Comments  |
|----------|------|---|------|---|
| 2        | 02   | <ul> <li>Explanations should show<br/>candidates appreciate difference<br/>between anthropometrics, body<br/>sizes / 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles and<br/>ergonomics, interaction between<br/>humans and product/environment.</li> <li>Kitchen – should make<br/>reference to working triangle: <ul> <li>Food storage</li> <li>to Food preparation</li> <li>to sink/washing</li> <li>to cooking</li> <li>to serving</li> </ul> </li> <li>also – Lighting / worktops / storage<br/>/ equipment / hygiene etc.</li> <li>Car interior – making reference<br/>to: <ul> <li>Seat adjustment</li> <li>Dashboard layout /<br/>controls / display /<br/>ideograms – symbols –<br/>colour</li> <li>Heating</li> <li>Seat support / head<br/>restraints</li> <li>Adjustable mirrors / rear<br/>view mirrors / camera</li> <li>Heating / air conditioning</li> <li>Display lighting</li> <li>Vision / wipers</li> <li>Safety – seatbelts /<br/>crumple zone / side impact<br/>/ air bags</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the focus of the answer is limited<br/>to only 1 or 2 elements (car seat)<br/>this will limit marks.<br/>NB: Accept a product within a<br/>kitchen, e.g. kettle – again limits<br/>marks.</li> </ul> | 14   | Low: Confused or shows<br>limited understanding of<br>ergonomics and/or<br>orthographics and their<br>application.<br>Only a small number of basic<br>features included. (0 – 4<br>marks)<br>Inter: Good range of specific<br>features which are<br>appropriate and demonstrate<br>reasonable appreciation of<br>ergonomics /<br>anthropometrics and<br>application. (5 – 9 marks)<br>High: Shows full and<br>accurate understanding of<br>ergonomics and<br>anthropometrics with<br>comprehensive range of<br>features explained within the<br>chosen context. (10 – 14<br>marks). |

| Question | Part              | Marking Guidance  | Mark       | Comments   |
|----------|-------------------|---|------------|--|
| Question | Part           03 | <ul> <li>More able candidates should demonstrate good grasp of government and international legislation and regulation, weaker candidates may write in more generic terms which lack detail and fact to support their views.</li> <li>Packaging – directive introduced in 1994 amended 2004 sets target for reduction of packaging waste, re-cycling and reuse. Also limits the amount of toxic metals in packaging. By 2008 60% of packaging waste to be recovered and 55% recycled. Manufactures could limit packaging / use of non – recycled plastic / use of bio- degradable materials. Accept carrier bags, bag for life etc.</li> <li>Energy use – Energy labelling EU directive introduced in 1996. States that electrical appliances such as washing machines/refrigerators will be rated from A to G according energy use. Appliances which have</li> </ul> | Mark<br>14 | CommentsLow: Non – specific or<br>unimportant issues. Fails to<br>show when/why/what the<br>effect would be upon the<br> |
|          |                   |   |            |  |
|          |                   | <ul> <li>End of use – WEEE         Directive implemented in             2006 applies to electrical             and electronic products to             be able to be dismantled,             parts reused or re-cycled.             Answers may also relate to             non – electric goods, motor             vehicles etc.     </li> </ul>   |            |  |

| Question | Part | Marking Guidance   | Mark | Comments   |
|----------|------|--|------|--|
| 3        | 04   | <ul> <li>Better answers may include details of what would be the cause / effects of degradation. Although some protection methods may be more straight forward, others more complex there is no opportunity to avoid the less complex.</li> <li>Garden Furniture – Do not accept paint / tanalising / creosote as there would not be appropriate for a hardwood as the high cost of the raw material could only be justified aesthetically if the grain is visible OR wax as this would not suit outdoor environment. Accept – basic names e.g. varnish / teak oil, but give credit for additional details i.e. Clear/ transparent, polyurethane, cellulose, yacht, micro – porous, etc.</li> <li>Stains can be applied first in order to enhance colour. Knots treated to seal resin. Finish applied after sanding, by brush/cloth or spray and applied regularly.</li> <li>Climbing frame – Accept paints, galvanising, plastic coating, powder coating.</li> <li>Bicycle Wheels - anodised to generate tough oxide layer, can add top coat of lacquer</li> <li>Drinks carton – varnish or laminated with clear plastic</li> </ul> | 28   | Low: Generic (paint) or<br>inappropriate examples.<br>Shows limited understanding<br>of finish / barrier and its<br>application / use. (0 – 2<br>marks)<br>Inter: Specific examples<br>used which are appropriate<br>and demonstrates<br>reasonable appreciation of<br>methods and use. (3 – 5<br>marks)<br>High: Accurate and<br>appropriate examples which<br>show excellent appreciation<br>of when / why / how methods<br>are used. (6 – 7 marks)<br>(4 x 7 marks)<br>Although some protection<br>methods may be more<br>straight forward, others more<br>complex there is no<br>opportunity to avoid the less<br>complex. |

| Question Part | Marking Guidance   | Mark | Comments   |
|---------------|--|------|--|
| 4 05          | <ul> <li>Manufacture may be in small or large volume according to selected process.</li> <li><i>Laser cutting</i> – fine detail cutting (more so than plasma), little waste, controlled by CNC – linked to CAD, use on paper, card, plywood, MDF, plastics – acrylic, can be set for engraving. Manufacturer should be aware of issues such as scorch marks on timber, carbon deposit on metals, and take account of focal length of lens, etc.</li> <li><i>Offset lithography</i> – versatile and economic printing process. Uses 4 colour – cyan, magenta, yellow, black and up to five – colour presses. Can include varnish for protective barrier. Single sheet or continuous web feed printing, use of registration marks for accuracy. Single or double sided printing.</li> <li><i>Rapid prototyping</i> – RPT – Stereo – lithography, CNC created 3D objects, laser to solidify liquid polymers, LOM – layered object modelling – card / paper to form 3D, FDM – fused deposition modelling – extruded liquid polymers.</li> <li><i>Die casting</i> – use of low melting point metal (zinc, magnesium based) into alloy steel die by gravity or pressure feed. Fluxes used to reduce oxidisation. Fine detail and large volume available. Hot or cold chamber casting according to product complexity and size.</li> <li><i>Injection moulding</i> – use of thermoplastic, complex 3D forms, pigmented, use of additives / fillers, high volume consistent and accurate, set up costs, multi mould / over moulding.</li> <li><i>Calendaring</i> – thermoplastic sheet, film and laminate coating. Shopping bags, PE / PVC.</li> </ul> | 28   | Low: Non – specific or<br>inappropriate examples. Fails<br>to show when / why method<br>or process is used. Shows<br>limited understanding of<br>method / process. (0 – 2<br>marks)<br>Inter: Specific examples<br>used which are appropriate<br>and demonstrates<br>reasonable appreciation of<br>methods / process and use.<br>(3 – 5 marks)<br>High: Accurate and<br>appropriate examples which<br>show excellent appreciation<br>of when / why / how methods<br>/ processes are used. (6 – 7<br>marks)<br>(4 x 7 marks)<br>Do not over-reward a<br>description of the process. |

| Question | Part | Marking Guidance  | Mark | Comments   |
|----------|------|---|------|--|
| 5        | 06   | <ul> <li>It is expected that candidates begin with an annotated description of their chosen product e.g. iPod, Dyson, Mini, etc no specific marks to be given for the quality of the description but reserve them for how the answer references the explanation to the criteria:</li> <li>Originality – new product or aspects which are innovative, e.g. iPod menu navigation, Mini transverse engine, Dyson cyclone, etc. Use of materials, technology, manufacture to produce quality in product.</li> <li>Function – how design and manufacture relate to function, satisfies need.</li> <li>Aesthetics – effect of form, style, fashion/era, use of colour / materials / texture etc.</li> </ul> | 14   | Low: Candidate shows only<br>basic understanding of the<br>three aspects originality,<br>excellence, utility, or may<br>concentrate upon one/two,<br>and how they are exhibited<br>within the chosen product.<br>The chosen product will have<br>given limited opportunity to<br>show these and issues will<br>be quite basic. (0 – 4 marks)<br>Inter: Candidate has a<br>reasonable understanding<br>and knowledge of the terms<br>and their application. Aspects<br>of originality, excellence and<br>utility are shown to feature<br>effectively within their chosen<br>product, although there will<br>be some less well covered<br>than others. (5 – 9 marks)<br>High: Candidate fully<br>appreciates how each aspect<br>contributes to the overall<br>success of the chosen<br>product. Each one is covered<br>in detail and shown with<br>reference to an appropriate<br>product. (10 – 14 marks) |
| 5        | 07   | Linked to inclusive design but the<br>quality of the answer will be largely<br>dependent upon the selection of an<br>appropriate product.<br>Changes suggested to domestic<br>products such as kettles, taps, stair<br>lifts, shower seats / bath lifts, wheel<br>chairs, mobility vehicle adaptations,<br>ergonomic telephones / keyboards.<br>Accept also environments such as<br>a shower room.  | 14   | Low: Simple statements,<br>generic non – specific to<br>needs of user / product,<br>some inaccuracies.<br>Few number of issues<br>described, shows a lack of<br>knowledge of the problems<br>and how they may be<br>eliminated. (0 – 4 marks)<br>Inter: Shows understanding<br>but lacks detail. Generalised<br>statements with minor<br>inaccuracies. (5 – 9 marks)<br>High: Wide and varied range<br>of issues described with both<br>detail and reference to the<br>specific application,<br>appropriate to both the needs<br>of the user and modification<br>to the product. (10 – 14<br>marks)  |

| Question | Part | Marking Guidance   | Mark | Comments  |
|----------|------|--|------|---|
| 6        | 08   | <ul> <li>The question may be considered to be quite broad and approached from a number of directions, obviously linked to a specific industry product, as required in the question. A specific industry is required to set the context but it is not rewarded specifically.</li> <li>QRM – Quick response manufacture – made to order</li> <li>EPOS – Electronic point of sale</li> <li>JIT – Just in time</li> <li>Kanbans – stock control by computer</li> <li>MPS – master production schedule – materials / component planning for each specific product by computer</li> <li>Telematics – electronic tracking of product to order</li> <li>FMS – flexible manufacturing system to allow production to vary according to demand.</li> </ul> Also may consider QC quality assurance and QC quality control as necessary to deliver high standard of goods. Scales of production and planning through flow chart etc. Basic features of CAD / CAM / CNC / robotics control of laser cutting may be rewarded. | 18   | Low: Candidate shows only<br>basic knowledge of the types<br>of processes available and<br>offers only a tenuous link to a<br>suitable product. (0 – 6<br>marks)<br>Inter: Candidate has a<br>reasonable understanding<br>and knowledge of the<br>processes and their<br>application to a stated<br>product. There is some<br>variety and range in the<br>answer together with some<br>use of appropriate<br>terminology. (7 – 12 marks)<br>High: Candidate fully<br>appreciates the wide range<br>of processes available and<br>these are specifically linked<br>to an appropriate application/<br>product. Explanations are in<br>detail and accurate with<br>correct terminology. (13 – 18<br>marks) |

| Question | Part | Marking Guidance  | Mark | Comments   |
|----------|------|---|------|--|
| 6        | 09   | Candidates will be expected to<br>reply with specific detail of their<br>own planning for both design and<br>manufacture. This may include<br>analysis of need / brief, client<br>needs, research techniques to<br>generate a specification. Modelling,<br>testing in development and<br>planning (use of flowcharts, etc) for<br>manufacture with consideration of<br>quality control, selection of<br>materials and processes to give<br>quality assurance, etc.<br>Although not asked to describe the<br>product or project, a lack of any<br>description or title may limit marks<br>awarded. | 10   | Low: Simple statements,<br>generic non-specific to a<br>named product. Concentrate<br>upon one aspect at the cost<br>of others. Few number of<br>issues described, shows a<br>lack of knowledge of the<br>problems and how they may<br>be eliminated. (0 – 3 marks)<br>Inter: Shows understanding<br>but lacks detail, generalised<br>statements with minor issues<br>and limited scope. (4 – 7<br>marks)<br>High: Wide and varied range<br>of ideas described with both<br>detail and reference to the<br>specific application,<br>appropriate to product and<br>covers both design and<br>manufacture in detail. (8 – 10<br>marks) |