

and $s^{(y)}$ give rise to the same section of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ over $N'_x \cap N'_y$. But, thanks to the injectivity of the natural map $\mathcal{F}(N'_x \cap N'_y) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(N'_x \cap N'_y)$ we conclude that the restrictions of $s^{(x)}$ and $s^{(y)}$ to $N'_x \cap N'_y$ are the same. Since \mathcal{F} is actually a sheaf, this implies that there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ whose restriction to N'_x is $s^{(x)}$ for all $x \in U$. Thus we have $s_x = \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in U$, or, what is the same, $\tilde{s} = \sigma$, proving that $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(U)$ is surjective.

Somewhat subtler is the relationship between Axiom \mathbf{S}_2 and the surjectivity of $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(U)$. If \mathcal{F} satisfies \mathbf{S}_2 , then any section of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ gives rise, as above, to an open covering $\{N_x\}$ and elements $s^{(x)}$ of $\mathcal{F}(N_x)$. In order to piece all these elements together and obtain an element of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ we need to check that the restrictions of $s^{(x)}$ and $s^{(y)}$ to $N_x \cap N_y$ coincide, at least after passing to a smaller covering. We have the following set-topological lemma.

1.13. Lemma. *Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a locally finite open covering of a topological space U and $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a shrinking. Then for every $x \in U$, there exists an open neighbourhood M_x such that $I_x = \{i \in I : M_x \cap V_i \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite, and if $i \in I_x$ then x belongs to \bar{V}_i and M_x is a subset of U_i . If M_x and M_y intersect, then there exists $i \in I$ such that $M_x \cup M_y \subset U_i$.*

Proof. Since $\{U_i\}$ is locally finite, so is the shrinking, and the existence of M_x such that the corresponding I_x is finite, is trivial. We will now cut down this neighbourhood further in order to satisfy the other conditions. We intersect M_x with $U \setminus \bar{V}_i$ for all $i \in I_x$ for which $x \notin \bar{V}_i$. We thus obtain an open neighbourhood of x , and the closures of all $V_i, i \in I_x$ then contain x . It can be further intersected with $\bigcap_{i \in I_x} U_i$, and the resulting neighbourhood satisfies the first assertion of the lemma. Now if $M_x \cap M_y \neq \emptyset$, then for any $z \in M_x \cap M_y$, choose $i \in I$ such that $z \in V_i$. Then M_x intersects V_i and hence $M_x \subset U_i$. Similarly M_y is also contained in U_i proving the second assertion.

This lemma can be used to deduce that under a mild topological hypothesis, the natural maps $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(U)$ are surjective for all open sets U , if the presheaf \mathcal{F} satisfies Axiom \mathbf{S}_2 .

1.14. Proposition. *If every open subset U of X is paracompact, and the presheaf \mathcal{F} satisfies \mathbf{S}_2 , then the map $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(U)$ is surjective for all U .*

Proof. Firstly, given an element σ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(U)$, there is a locally finite open covering $\{U_i\}$ of U , and elements $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ for all i , with the property that for all $x \in U_i$, the elements s_i have the image $\sigma(x)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_x$. Let $\{V_i\}$ be a shrinking of $\{U_i\}$. For every $x \in U$, choose M_x as in Lemma 1.13. We may also assume that the restrictions to M_x of any of the s_i for which $i \in I_x$, is the same, say $s^{(x)}$. It follows that the restrictions of $s^{(x)}$ and $s^{(y)}$ to $M_x \cap M_y$

are the same as the direct restriction of some s_j to $M_x \cap M_y$, proving in view of Axiom \mathbf{S}_2 , that there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ whose restriction to M_x is $s^{(x)}$ for all $x \in U$. This proves that $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(U)$ is surjective.

1.15. Exercises.

- 1) Let X be a topological space which is the disjoint union of two proper open sets U_1 and U_2 . Define $\mathcal{F}(U)$ to be (0) whenever U is an open subset of either U_1 or U_2 . For all other open sets U , define $\mathcal{F}(U) = A$, where A is a nontrivial abelian group. If $U \subset V$ and $\mathcal{F}(U) = A$, then define the restriction map to be the identity homomorphism. All other restriction maps are zero. Show that \mathcal{F} is a presheaf such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = (0)$.
- 2) In the above, does \mathcal{F} satisfy Axiom \mathbf{S}_2 ?

Subsheaves.

1.16. Definition. A sheaf \mathcal{G} is said to be a *subsheaf* of a sheaf \mathcal{F} if we are given a homomorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying either of the following equivalent conditions.

- 1) $\mathcal{G}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$ is injective for all $x \in X$.
- 2) For any open subset U of X , $\mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ is injective.

To see that the above conditions are equivalent, note that 1) implies that $E(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{F})$ is injective and hence the set of sections of \mathcal{G} over any set is also mapped injectively into the set of sections of \mathcal{F} . Conversely, assume 2), and let $a, b \in \mathcal{G}_x$ have the same image in \mathcal{F}_x . Then there exist a neighbourhood U of x and elements $s, t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ such that $s_x = a, t_x = b$. Moreover, by replacing U with a smaller neighbourhood we may also assume that the images of s and t are the same in $\mathcal{F}(U)$. This implies by our assumption that $s = t$ as elements of $\mathcal{G}(U)$, as well. Hence $s_x = t_x$ in \mathcal{G}_x .

2. Basic Constructions

When \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, it is legitimate to call elements of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ *sections* of \mathcal{F} over an open set U , since they can be identified with sections of the associated étale space. Continuous sections of the étale space make sense, on the other hand, over any subspace of X .

2.1. Proposition. *If K is a closed subspace of a paracompact topological space X , then any section over K of a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is the restriction to K of a section of \mathcal{F} over a neighbourhood of K .*