




Mike Pence on Abortion - March For Life Speech

JaclynGlenn  483,797

53,717 views

+ Add to  Share  More  6,015  862



**Brandon Farley** 1 day ago

Banning an unborn baby from entering the world isn't "basic rights," it's mass murder of the innocent. This should be America's number one priority. How are so many people okay with baby genocide? If you're not ready to have a child, adopt them out and use contraceptives, next time. Lesson learned.



**Bradley** Jan 29, 2017

**+Brandon Farley**

Before you put all of them into the adoption system, what will you do with the 500,000 other children in the U.S.A. alone who are currently waiting to be adopted?



**Brandon Farley** Jan 29, 2017

Ask any one of those children in the system if they'd prefer to live like this for a few years or would they rather be killed right now by being sucked through a tube.



**Bradley** Jan 29, 2017

You aren't answering the question. What is your plan to get ~500,000 children into homes with loving parent(s)? Before you add a million a year to that number of course.

Did you know that Pence is against contraception? So that kinda eliminates that option. Also, the children can feel pain, the fetuses cannot even remotely feel pain until 23/24 weeks, that's 10 weeks after the vast majority of abortions are performed.



**Brandon Farley** Jan 29, 2017

I, personally, will do absolutely nothing for those 500,000 children. That's way too much for a single person to take on, but I can advise other people not to kill their unborn babies. VPence might be against contraceptives, but I'm not. I'm speaking out against abortions, not contraceptives. I'm one of those rare Atheists who enjoys having Trump in office and I think abortions and the people who get them are disgusting. That's just my opinion.



**Bradley** Jan 29, 2017

If it's too much for a single person to take on, then why do you want to add even more

stress to a system that is already full of children?



**Brandon Farley** Jan 29, 2017

I don't understand how birthing children is going to add so much stress that you can justify those murders. I mean, by that logic, we could just kill off 99% of the population and prevent homelessness. There are over 500,000 people suffering from that in America, right now. I think people should take on the burden of their responsibility or simply don't put yourself and others in that type of situation.



**Bradley** Jan 29, 2017

We have 500,000 children up for adoption. There are roughly ~1 million abortions each year, with the number slowly going down, that means in five years you would have ~5 million children up for adoption. If parents cannot be found for the ~500,000 we have now, what makes you think we can find parents for ~5 million?

So now you're blaming the victims?



**Brandon Farley** Jan 29, 2017

Victims? No, they're murderers. But, you're correct about the statistics, yet you're still okay with it, for some fucked up reason. So, 20% of all American fetuses are literally being sucked through a tube. At this point, we could solve hunger by feeding people unborn babies.



**Bradley** Yesterday 9:14 AM

Where are you getting your statistics from exactly?

You realize that the fetus is A, nearly all abortions happen within the first 13 weeks, B it is not aware, and C is unable to feel pain when they do it as the receptors for pain are not remotely connected until the 28th week? That's over double the amount of time.



**Brandon Farley** Yesterday 10:19 PM

My stats are from the Center of Disease Control. You know.. if you drug a person well enough they won't be aware, either, and pain won't be an issue. But, that's a good attempt at trying to justify the modern day mass murder of babies. IT'S A HUMAN LIFE!! I thought we held those to great value in our society, but I guess that will never be true as long as abortions are not severely penalized or completely abolished, except for extremely rare cases, when the mother and child's life are on the table.



**Bradley** Yesterday 11:01 PM

Your "point" is invalid. They literally do not have the capability for pain.

Could you point me to your statistic then?

<https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/65/ss/ss6512a1.htm>

Are you also against taking brain dead people off of life support?



**Brandon Farley** 12:06 AM

Your "questions" are invalid. Brain dead people are different. they have no chance at any quality of life. They're as good as dead, to me. Kill 'em quickly and cost efficiently.



**Bradley** 12:09 AM

No, they aren't invalid. Until they pass the 22nd week they are no different. Those machines that are providing nutrients to the brain dead person? The same as the woman's body providing her nutrients to the fetus.



**Brandon Farley** 12:18 AM

If you don't want your questions to be considered invalid, you shouldn't tell me that my points are invalid, especially without any proof. And giving me a link to a long ass list of statistics, doesn't entice me to want to look any further, especially to prove a point to someone I don't know who's probably never going to change their opinion on the matter. And neither am I. I haven't the time nor mental focus to indulge in your race off a cliff, where nobody wins, except maybe someone who happens to scroll through the comments and realizes that I actually do make valid points.. enjoy your masturbation. Goodbye.



-  
I'm Tired Of Being The Nice Atheist @TOBTBA (Brandon Farley - Farley CG Studios @FarCGS)