Screening of Emerging risks in Norway (ERIN). Judgments are preliminary and should not be considered as part of a final risk assessment:

Diplocarpon mali Y. Harada & Sawamura, (1974)

 Taxonomic position: (Dermateaceae, Helotiales, Leotiomycetes, Pezizomycotina, Ascomycota,
Fungi) Popular names Marssonina blotch of apple (English); There is no Norwegian name for the
disease caused by <i>D. mali.</i>

2-	Ctatura	:	Norway	
za.	Status	ın	ivorwav	7:

Established	Intercepted but not established \square	Not intercepted ⊠

- 2b. If this species is already established in Norway and this assessment is limited to a part of Norway where it may expand, define this part area of Norway:
- 3. Area of native distribution in the world and information about introductions, expansions and eradications:

D. mali is of Asian origin, and in China, it is prevalent from the south to the very northern provinces of Jilin and Heilongjiang, bordering Russia. During recent years *D. mali* has been introduced into Central- and North-America.

The first European case of *D. mali* was in the Torino province, Italy during 2001 (Tamietti and Matta 2003). In Germany, the pathogen was detected in the Federal States, Baden-Würtenberg and Hesse in 2010 (Hinrichs-Berger und Müller 2012). Austria and Switzerland reported on attacks in 2011.

4. Sector in Norway expected to be impacted by the species (related to question 10 below):

Agriculture	Forest(ry)		Ornamental/park/garden □	Fruit orchard/garden ⊠		
Greenhouse/protected □ Other			sector, or not relevant \square Describe			

5. Susceptible host(s) and/or type of environment(s) in Norway:

Cultivated apple trees in orchards and home gardens are at risk. The pathogen is likely to infect domestic fruit varieties and survive the winter climate in the commercial fruit growing districts of Norway. In addition, apple trees growing outside cultivated areas may become infected. Based on the European experience the disease will be more difficult to control in organic fruit production than in conventional fruit production.

6. Description of damage:

The conidial stage of the fungus causes massive defoliation of apple trees. Lesions on apples make the fruit non-marketable.

7a. How is the overall probability of entry in Norway, or in a defined part of Norway?

0. not relevant \square	1. very low \square	2. low \Box	3. medium 🛭	\leq	4. high		5. very hig	gh 🗆	
		Level of und	certainty:	Low		Mediu	m 🗵	High	\boxtimes

The potential pathway is import of apple trees for planting. There has been a recent spread of the pathogen in Europe. An express PRA at the Julius Kühn Institute, Germany concludes that apple trees infected in nurseries is the most likely pathway for *D. mali*, while infected apples represents a low risk for dissemination of the pathogen (Schrader and Steinmöller 2013).

The volume of fruit trees imported for planting in Norway is difficult to predict, since this pathway has only recently been opened.

The probability for establishment is high in the climatic zones for commercial apple production in Norway. There is medium uncertainty in this assessment.

The overall probability of establishment in Norway is low with medium uncertainty.

Since there has been no outbreak of *D. mali* in Norway this estimate is based on experiences from continental Europe.

7b. How is the overall probability of <u>establishment</u> in Norway, or in a defined part of Norway?									
0. not relevant \square	1. very low \square	2. low	3. medium		nigh □	5. very h			
				1					
		Level of ur	ncertainty:	Low \square	Medi	um 🗆	High ⊠		
severe disease in apple growing are and necrotrophic sexual stage in infinoculum for inferior	cold and severe wi the apple orchard eas of Norway. The strategies to estal fected leaves on the ction of new leave al stage of <i>D. mali</i>	s. Thus, it is I e pathogen b blish infection ne ground. As es. Conidia pro	ikely that the ehaves like a n (Zhao et al. scospores are oduced on the	fungus w hemibiotr 2013). In released e leaves ir	ill survive roph, and Asia, the in the spi nfect the	the winte use both fungus de ring and se developin	ers in the biotrophic velops its erve as g fruits. In		
8. How fast is the	pest expected to	expand in N	orway, or in a	a defined	part of N	orway?			
< 0.3 km per year	0.3 - 10 km p	er year 🗌	10 - 30 km p	er year 🗌	> 30	km per yea	ar 🗵		
country is based of that the pathoger After a successful short distances, the dispersal of spore The commercial a	een no outbreak o on published repor n is rapidly dissimin entry, the pathog he fungal spores m es in rain. Ipple cultivation in Hordaland County	rts from cont nated over la gen <i>D. mali</i> m nay be dissen n Norway is co	orway, the as inental Europ rge distances ay be spread ninated with a	e. The explaid. with infectair-borne to the bes	perience cted plant spores ar t climatic	kpansion rin several ses for plan and with sp	countries is ting. Over lash Sogn og		
9. How large percent expected to be co		environment	type in Norw				-		
< 5 % □	5 - 10 % 🗆	10 -	20 % 🗆	20 - 40	0 % ⊠	> 4	10 % 🗆		
commercial fruit properties fungicide sprays. gardens with no form. How great a result of the street	s the potential to co production, the pa The pathogen will ungicide application negative effect is t impacted sector i	athogen can be difficult to on. There are the pest likely	ge part of the be controlled be control for g e no known re y to have on	by eradica growers o esistant ap economy	ation of ir f organic ople varie including	Norway. In fected treat apples an ties.	ees and d in home control		
0. not relevant \square	1. minimal \square	2. minor \square	3. moderat	te 🗵 4.	major \square	5. m	nassive \square		
The growers are I	ikely to suffer sign	Level of ur		Low 🗆	Medi s of their		High □		
fruits cannot be n									

subsequent years. The severity of the disease will lead to control measures. Planting of non-infected

trees, hygiene, disposal of fallen infected leaves and fungicide application are control measures, which are negative for the economy in apple production.

Since there has been no outbreak of D. mali in Norway this estimate is based on experiences from continental Europe.

11. How important is the environmental impact likely to be in Norway, or in a defined part of Norway? Rate possible effects:

0. not relevant \square	1. minimal \square	2. minor ⊠	3. moderate \square 4. major		or \square	5. ma	assive \square		
		Level of unce	ertainty:	Low	\boxtimes	Medium		High □	
There are limited numbers of apple trees growing outside orchards and gardens. Infection of these trees represents a minor negative environmental effect of <i>D. mali</i> .									
12. How important is social damage likely to be in in Norway, or in a defined part of Norway? Rate									
possible effects:									
0. not relevant ⊠	1. minimal \square	2. minor \square	3. moderate	e 🗆	4. maj	or \square	5. ma	assive \square	

Social damage from *D. mali* outbreak is not relevant.

13. Priority in Norway versus EPPO and EU:

The pathogen D. mali is not on the EPPO A1 or A2 lists. Since 2013-05, the pathogen D. mali is on the EPPO Alert List over pests possibly presenting a risk in EPPO member countries. In the EPPO region, the fungus D. mali is known from Austria, Germany, Italy, Romania and Switzerland.

Level of uncertainty:

 \boxtimes

Medium

Low

D. mali is not regulated in Norway.

14. Specific questions for Norway:

There is no specific question for Norway. The susceptible host is available and the climate in the districts for commercial apple cultivation in Norway is not likely to limit the pathogen.

15. Existing assessments:

An express PRA has been published by the Julius Kühn Institute, Germany (Schräder und Steinmüller 2013). Since 2013-05, the pathogen D. mali is on the EPPO Alert List over pests possibly presenting a risk in EPPO member countries. CABI has published a Data Sheet on Diplocarpon mali (CABI 2013).

16. Requested assessments:

The Norwegian Food Safety Authority has not requested any assessment of D. mali.

17. Recommended type of assessment:

A pest risk assessment of *D. mali* should be done for Norway.

References

CABI Invasive Species Compendium (2013) Datasheet on *Diplocarpon* mali. http://www.cabi.org/isc/?compid=5&dsid=109745&loadmodule=datasheet&page=481&site=1 44#

Gruber H, Casera C, Marschall K, Kelderer M. 2014. Evaluation of the efficacy of plant protection products against Marssonina blotch. Ecofruit, 16 International Conference on Organic-Fruit Growing. Proceedings 17-19.02.2014. Hohenheim, Germany. pp 90-95.

Häsli A. 2013. Bioaktuell. *Marssonina*-Blattfallkrankheit - eine neue Bedrohung im Bioobstbau? http://www.bioaktuell.ch/de/pflanzenbau/obstbau/obstbau-pflanzenschutz/marssonina.html

Kellerhals M, Baumgartner IO, Schütz S, Patocchi A. 2014. Challenges in breeding high quality apples with durable disease resistance. Ecofruit, 16 International Conference on Organic-Fruit Growing. Proceedings 17-19.02.2014. Hohenheim, Germany. pp 15-21.

Schrader G, Steinmöller S. 2013. Express-PRA zu *Diplocarpon mali* Y. Harada & Sawamura. Institut für national und international Angelegenheiten der Pflanzengesundheit. http://pflanzengesundheit.jki.bund.de/dokumente/upload/7687c marssonina coronaria express-pra.pdf

Tamietti G, Matta A. 2003. First report of leaf blotch caused by Marssonina coronaria on apple in Italy. Plant Disease 87, 1005.

Vorley T, Oberhänsli T, Tamm L, Schärer HJ. 2014. Testing susceptibility of apple cultivars against *Marssonina coronaria*. Ecofruit, 16 International Conference on Organic-Fruit Growing. Proceedings 17-19.02.2014. Hohenheim, Germany. pp 191-194.

Zhao H, Han, Q, Wang J, Gao X, Xiao C-L, Liu J, Huang L. 2013. Cytology of infection of apples by *Diplocarpon mali*. European Journal of Plant Pathology 136, 41-49.

Vedlegg 1. PM 5/3(5). Decision-support scheme for quarantine pests (version 2011). EPPO. Kan lastes ned her: http://archives.eppo.int/EPPOStandards/pra.htm.

Vedlegg2. Guidance to the questions 7 and 10 in the scheme

Vedlegg 3. Ratings used for describing the level of uncertainty