



IMPERIUM EUROPA

**IMPERIUM EUROPA COMMENTS ON THE
NATIONAL ACTION PLAN AGAINST RACISM
AND XENOPHOBIA**



PARLIAMENTARY SECRETARIAT
FOR EQUALITY AND REFORMS

Towards a National Action Plan against Racism and Xenophobia

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

2020

THE GENERAL SECRETARY'S OPINION



In her foreword, Ms Cutajar exposes the agenda that will serve as a pretext for further unnecessary and damaging legislation which in parallel with the equality measures which she has been promoting, would erode our Constitutional rights. “The beauty and strength of our country lies in our diversity” she claims while hoping that other member states will join forces with us in order to foster inclusion and diversity.

Ms Cutajar proves her disregard to the principles of democracy by claiming that “globally, we are seeing manifestations of the extreme right, a dangerous ideology that is not acceptable in an equal society”. She stops short from explaining her statement. How can representatives of a democratic country coin such blatant, unfounded, biased and undemocratic statements? It is such statements that have no place and that should find no acceptance in an equal society.

She maintains her mantra that there should be no ‘we’ or ‘they’ mentality and goes on to mention the ‘Maltese dream’ before concluding her foreword with the pertinent question, “what leads to fear, or even hatred, towards an individual, simply because of skin colour or belief?”. In other words, Ms Cutajar is questioning the very basis for opposition to multiracialism and multiculturalism,

which is what this national action plan seeks to outlaw. The parliamentary secretary makes no effort to acknowledge the mounting national anger at the pro-immigration policies adopted by two governments. Trust in the EU has in fact plunged, because of this crisis, which has been Malta’s top political concern for a decade.¹

The Maltese have always been renowned for their generosity with people in real need. Is it surprising that the ‘racism problem’ coincides with the rising waves of illegal immigration? Is it not high time to value whether what some perceive as racism is in fact anger towards certain policies or perhaps opposition towards political manoeuvres that might also lead to a number of discriminatory outcomes, disgust at certain habits, rising criminality and the sheer media ban on anything that constitutes the ‘other’ opinion?

For the sake of correctness, it is wrong for a political person to state that “Malta has truly become a cosmopolitan country” as if there is no political responsibility to shoulder for this. Malta has been turned into a multicultural and multiracial hotchpotch within a few years and by two different parties in Government. Let us not forget Joseph Muscat’s pushback promise in 2013, 69 ‘nationalist’ lawyers promptly opposed pushback forcing Muscat to state that “promising pushback was a mistake”, thus betraying those who voted for him on this premise.

The consultation document omits quotations by Joseph Muscat who publicly stated that “with peanuts we get monkeys”² - and that according to him, Maltese people should not collect garbage.³ Instead of coming to terms with the words and promotions of her own leader, Ms Cutajar found it more convenient to put the blame on Imperium Europa in a document that is loaded with an urgency to address ‘institutionalised

¹ Diacono, T., 2020. Malta’s Trust In EU Plunges As Migration Ranked As Top Concern Despite COVID-19 Crisis. [online] Lovin Malta. Available at: <<https://lovinmalta.wpengine.com/news/maltas-trust-in-eu-plunges-as-migration-ranked-as-top-concern-despite-covid-19-crisis/>> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

² maltastory, 2019. Joseph Muscat 2013 Pay Peanuts Get Monkeys. [video] Available at: <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VJ7fbUulGcw&feature=youtu.be>> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

³ Times of Malta. 2020. ‘I Don’t Want Maltese Workers Picking Up Rubbish’ - Muscat. [online] Available at: <<https://timesofmalta.com/articles/view/i-dont-want-maltese-workers-picking-up-rubbish-muscat.708855>> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

racism', xenophobia and intolerance in Malta.⁴

We of Imperium Europa do not believe that Malta has a problem with 'institutionalised racism'. We believe that it has a problem with 'institutionalised discrimination'. We have been the target of such discrimination on many occasions.

In 2014 for instance, the Broadcasting Authority had breached our constitutional rights when it pulled an advert previously approved by the acting CEO of the Broadcasting Authority, Mr Pierre Cassar.⁵ Its statement read: "From a perusal of the statements being made on the media there is an evident association between the content of the spot and incitement to racial hatred". This decision was taken after Kurt Farrugia, the Government's Head of Communications back then, claimed that the government strongly denounced this clip. Article 118 of our Constitution makes it clear that the Authority shall not be guided by the opinion of another person or Authority.

We have also been subjected to numerous denigrating attacks and repetitive calls for bans, that include President Emeritus Fenech Adami ("they should be arrested") and Fr Joe Borg⁶ ("ban Lowell"). The media also teamed up against right-wing political views, refusing to publish articles from anyone affiliated with Imperium Europa. Nowadays this translates to the ban of a political party.

This year the Broadcasting Authority declared that the Public Broadcasting Services have 'systematically excluded' Imperium Europa from fair representation on current news events and programme participation, including the failure

to publish a press release about Lassana Cisse, who is also mentioned in this national action plan document.⁷

While Ms Cutajar's document constantly refers to surveys and spurious reports, we refer to facts. Indeed, Malta has a problem with institutionalised discrimination against non-major political parties. Our duopoly seeks to retain their advantage despite the poor state they're in, despite the shallow level of politics shaming our Nation. The Broadcasting Authority Act and the General Elections Act are already two highly discriminating pieces of legislation. To top it all we have a combined effort by the duopoly to ban dissenting political opinion. In her controversial equality bill, Ms Cutajar does not feel the necessity to include measures that safeguard political opinion and that ensure the equal treatment of political parties.

Despite the fact that the call is for the general public the Ministry seems to be more interested in opinion from those who "in particular identify as forming part of a racial and/or ethnic minority from across Maltese society".⁸

The definition given to the word 'racism' can be found on page 8 "an ideological construct or belief that a person's qualities are determined by their 'racial' and/or ethnic identity, and that members of other 'races' or ethnicities, based on physical and cultural attributes, are inferior to their own. By extension to this understanding, Islamophobia is a form of racism in the sense that it is the result of the social construction for a group as a race and to which specificities and stereotypes are attributed"⁹. One wonders whether French President Emmanuel Macron's recent statement

4 2020. Towards A National Action Plan Against Racism And Xenophobia. [public consultation document] Parliamentary Secretariat for equality and reforms, p.7. Available at: <https://meae.gov.mt/en/Public_Consultations/MJEG/PublishingImages/Pages/Consultations/TowardsaNationalActionPlanagainstRacismandXenophobia/Naprax%20Document%20-%20ENG.pdf> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

5 MaltaToday.com.mt. 2020. Update 2 | Broadcasting Authority Orders Withdrawal Of Far-Right Electoral Ad. [online] Available at: <https://www.maltatoday.com.mt/news/europe/39046/government_denounces_farright_electoral_ad#.X6Az6S8o_mo> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

6 Times of Malta. 2020. Ban Lowell. [online] Available at: <<https://timesofmalta.com/articles/view/ban-the-buffoon.305777>> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

7 2020. Towards A National Action Plan Against Racism And Xenophobia. [public consultation document] Parliamentary Secretariat for equality and reforms, p.7. Available at: <https://meae.gov.mt/en/Public_Consultations/MJEG/PublishingImages/Pages/Consultations/TowardsaNationalActionPlanagainstRacismandXenophobia/Naprax%20Document%20-%20ENG.pdf> [Accessed 8 November 2020] "The racially-motivated murder of Lassana Cisse Soulemayne just over a year ago is a tragic reminder of the consequences of indifference to hate speech and racism in Maltese society".

8 2020. Towards A National Action Plan Against Racism And Xenophobia. [public consultation document] Parliamentary Secretariat for equality and reforms, p.8. Available at: <https://meae.gov.mt/en/Public_Consultations/MJEG/PublishingImages/Pages/Consultations/TowardsaNationalActionPlanagainstRacismandXenophobia/Naprax%20Document%20-%20ENG.pdf> [Accessed 8 November 2020] "The consultation process seeks to engage different individuals and groups, in particular those who identify as forming part of a racial and/or ethnic minority from across Maltese society, so that their voices, experiences and perspectives might inform a NAPRAX committed to social justice and equality for all".

9 Sic

Fundamental rights
and freedoms of
the individual.

32. Whereas every person in Malta is entitled to the fundamental rights and freedoms of the individual, that is to say, the right, whatever his race, place of origin, political opinions, colour, creed or sex, but subject to respect for the rights and freedoms of others and for the public interest, to each and all of the following, namely -

- (a) life, liberty, security of the person, the enjoyment of property and the protection of the law;
- (b) freedom of conscience, of expression and of peaceful assembly and association; and
- (c) respect for his private and family life,

the subsequent provisions of this Chapter shall have effect for the purpose of affording protection to the aforesaid rights and freedoms, subject to such limitations of that protection as are contained in those provisions being limitations designed to ensure that the enjoyment of the said rights and freedoms by any individual does not prejudice the rights and freedoms of others or the public interest.

Constitution of Malta

ARTICLE 14

Prohibition of discrimination

The enjoyment of the rights and freedoms set forth in this Convention shall be secured without discrimination on any ground such as sex, race, colour, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, association with a national minority, property, birth or other status.

European Convention On Human Rights

would in fact be considered as racist. He said“ the problem is the ideology which claims its own laws should be superior to those of the republic” after a refugee beheaded a French teacher.¹⁰ A few days ago he also called for a Schengen overhaul and tighter borders after a wave of Islamist terror. Within the span of two months France had to deal with a stabbing of two people by a Pakistani Muslim, the beheading of a school teacher by a Chechen Muslim refugee and the murder of three people at a Catholic Church by a knife-wielding Tunisian terrorist just hours after entering France from Italy.¹¹

Together with this public consultation document one can find a legal brief that refers to multiple pieces of legislation. These include the Maltese constitution, the European convention, the Criminal Code, the Police Act, the Extradition Act, the Employment and Training Services Act and

others which establish and guarantee fundamental freedoms that include the freedom from discrimination on the basis of race, colour and religion. Let's not forget that these guarantees include freedom from discrimination on the basis of a person's political opinion, no matter how popular or unpopular this may be. One cannot selectively quote that part of a legal provision which supports one's theory best but the entire provision.

This public consultation document has abusively blamed Imperium Europa for the rise of racism. While we hold that our promotions were and remain anti-immigration, one cannot reach the conclusion that anti-immigration policy is racist. It is clear that Ms Cutajar abused of her Ministerial position to put Imperium Europa in a bad light.

Such tactics were also adopted by the German

10 Sky News. 2020.France And Islam On Collision Course As Emmanuel Macron Says Republic's Laws Must Be Supreme. [online] Available at: <<https://news.sky.com/story/france-and-islam-on-collision-course-as-emmanuel-macron-says-republics-laws-must-be-supreme-12118876>> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

11 CNSNews.com. 2020.Targeting Illegal Immigration, Macron Wants Europe's Borders Tightened After Wave Of Islamist Terror. [online] Available at: <<https://www.cnsnews.com/article/international/patrick-goodenough/targeting-illegal-immigration-macron-wants-europes-borders>> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

government against the National Democratic Party (NPD) for the adoption of far-right politics. "Federal judges in Germany have ruled against banning the far-right National Democratic Party (NPD). It is the second attempt to ban the NPD that has ended in failure."¹² If such tactics are illegal in Germany, a country that enjoys a reputation for its adherence to European law and the respect of fundamental human rights, it stands to reason that it is likewise illegal for the Maltese government to adopt the same.

Last June the German Federal Constitutional Court delivered a landmark decision in favour of Alternative for Germany (AfD). The Federal Minister of the Interior had violated the rights of AfD by publishing an interview on the website of the ministry. The Court distinguished between the political opinion of the respondent as a party politician and the official perception of the ministerial office. The Court ruled that the comment created an imbalance which led to the applicant party being unable to participate in political competition on an equal footing. In the interview the Minister had replied "what frightens me about the AfD is this collective level of emotionality, these outbursts of anger, even when debating rules of procedure".¹³

Likewise, the public consultation document subjected Imperium Europa to unfair criticism by blaming it for the rise of racism. Following this claim the document goes on to mention the Lassana Cisse murder and unethically concludes that this sub-judice case was racially-motivated. Numerous bodies were prompt to blame racial-motivation for the murder of Cisse who was Black. During the 2019 electoral campaign Joseph Muscat

attempted to gain political advantage of the situation by pointing his fingers at 'racist ideology' and at parties that according to him 'foment hatred'. Later it transpired that the accused were fervent Labourites.

While it is no secret that NGOs receive funding in order to promote their agendas, the document reveals that victims of racist crimes were unaware of their rights.¹⁴ The conclusions of this survey are dubious because it is in the interest of the subject to claim discrimination. The survey does not question the effectiveness of funded NGO programs in getting their message across. Therefore one cannot claim that while awareness is limited "the situation is further aggravated due to under-reporting of racist abuse and discrimination due to a lack of trust in the authorities".¹⁵

On employment, the document quotes the 2019 Eurobarometer on discrimination which held that 9% of the Maltese population was uncomfortable working with Muslim colleagues and that 6% were uncomfortable working with Black persons.¹⁶ These figures prove that Malta does not have a problem with racism.

On housing, the document states that only 2% of African persons residing in Malta live in accommodation of their own. "While reception centres provide for basic shelter for migrants, they are only intended to be temporary solutions and integration into local communities is preferable in the long term"¹⁷. Malta's current economic situation no longer affords politics of idealism. We have to come to terms with our limitations, infrastructure and geography and adopt politics of realism instead. Since "reception centres are for

12 (www.dw.com), D., 2020.Germany's Constitutional Court Rules Against Banning Far-Right NPD Party | DW | 17.01.2017. [online] DW.COM. Available at: <<https://www.dw.com/en/germanys-constitutional-court-rules-against-banning-far-right-npd-party/a-37155332>> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

13 Bundesverfassungsgericht.de. 2020.Bundesverfassungsgericht - Entscheidungen - Verletzung Des Rechts Einer Partei Auf Chancengleichheit Im Politischen Wettbewerb Durch Veröffentlichung Eines Interviews Auf Der Internetseite Des Bundesinnenministeriums. [online] Available at: <https://www.bundesverfassungsgericht.de/SharedDocs/Entscheidungen/DE/2020/06/es20200609_2bve000119.html> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

14 2020.Towards A National Action Plan Against Racism And Xenophobia. [public consultation document] Parliamentary Secretariat for equality and reforms, p.8. Available at: <https://meae.gov.mt/en/Public_Consultations/MJEG/PublishingImages/Pages/Consultations/TowardsaNationalActionPlanagainstRacismAndXenophobia/Naprax%20Document%20-%20ENG.pdf> [Accessed 8 November 2020] "A 2014 survey of third country nationals found that those who were victims of racist crimes were often unaware of their rights and had difficulties with the complexity of reporting procedures".

15 ibid "thus, awareness of the protective legislation and entities remains limited, and the situation is further aggravated due to under-reporting of racist abuse and discrimination due to a lack of trust in the authorities and institutions responsible for upholding the law".

16 2020. Towards A National Action Plan Against Racism And Xenophobia. [public consultation document] Parliamentary Secretariat for equality and reforms, p.14. Available at: <https://meae.gov.mt/en/Public_Consultations/MJEG/PublishingImages/Pages/Consultations/TowardsaNationalActionPlanagainstRacismAndXenophobia/Naprax%20Document%20-%20ENG.pdf> [Accessed 8 November 2020]

17 2020.Towards A National Action Plan Against Racism And Xenophobia. [public consultation document] Parliamentary Secretariat for equality and reforms, p.15. Available at: <https://meae.gov.mt/en/Public_Consultations/MJEG/PublishingImages/Pages/Consultations/TowardsaNationalActionPlanagainstRacismAndXenophobia/Naprax%20Document%20-%20ENG.pdf> [Accessed 8 November 2020]

a temporary solution”¹⁸, we have to ensure that illegal immigrants that do not qualify for a refugee status should not remain here permanently. Those who qualify for a refugee status should not have an automatic right to decide in which member state to reside either.

Allocating a social housing quota for asylum seekers would certainly anger locals, a good number of who cannot make ends meet. If Maltese people cannot participate in a democratic process and cannot question our Nation's quo vadis, the only vent is a natural human emotion: resentment.

Birth rates indicate that the small number of women of African descent residing in Malta, are not discouraged by the lack of housing. Meanwhile Malta suffers the lowest fertility rate in Europe. Notwithstanding this low birth rate, Maltese couples are burdened with an increasing pressure to pay their taxes and loans to meet the inflated cost of housing. To make matters worse strident calls for abortion gather momentum.

According to the United Nations, the term ‘genocide’ includes “deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part, imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group and forcibly transferring children of one group to another group”.¹⁹ Uncontrolled immigration, the failure to adopt effective pronatalist measures and the failure to secure our border laws respectively create national repercussions that lead to a similar end result.

It is clear that while Malta has International obligations relating to its search and rescue area, the battle against human trafficking and smuggling is not being fought with the same energy and budgets dedicated to that in favour of compassion and pro-immigration measures. A year ago Italy's Salvini had accused NGOs of aiding and abetting human trafficking²⁰ while two months ago Greece arrested a number of NGO operatives as part of a counter-terrorism investigation.²¹ How many NGOs were investigated by the Maltese authorities?

The European Union has totally and absolutely failed to address Malta's illegal immigration crisis. Instead of taking control of the situation by devising effective measure to combat illegal immigration and by initiating mass repatriation programmes, the government resorted to silence those concerned through repetitive calls for new oppressive laws that have miserably failed elsewhere. It is unfortunate that Malta's political setup does not afford long-term strategies. The repercussion would be long-term problems for our Nation. The politician cannot speak about immigration, whether legal or illegal, unless he conducts a thorough study to establish Malta's maximum capacity. I have no doubt that such a study would indeed conclude that Malta is overpopulated (“full-up”) and that we cannot keep hosting tens and hundreds of immigrants. On the contrary, we need to implement repatriation programmes. On an EU level, France should be blamed for its short-sighted decision to destroy Libya. Such a decision has exacerbated the illegal immigration crisis we are facing.

Imperium Europa believes that Malta should work closer with other Nations such as Hungary, Poland, Austria and Slovakia. These Nations have adopted other, simpler, saner and more effective policies to curb illegal immigration. Policies which we have been promoting for years and for which we were constantly termed as racists, xenophobes, nazis, fascists and many other adjectives that clearly discriminate against us for our dissenting political opinion.

Dr. Adriano Spiteri

¹⁸ *ibid*

¹⁹ Nations, U., 2020. United Nations Office On Genocide Prevention And The Responsibility To Protect. [online] Un.org. Available at: <<https://www.un.org/en/genocideprevention/genocide.shtml>> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

²⁰ Independent.com.mt. 2020. Italy's Salvini Says NGO Ship Should Be Taken Out Of Use Permanently And Sunk - The Malta Independent. [online] Available at: <<https://www.independent.com.mt/articles/2019-05-20/world-news/Italy-s-Salvini-says-NGO-ship-should-be-taken-out-of-use-permanently-and-sunk-6736208407>> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

²¹ Greeknewsondemand.com. 2020. Greece Smashes Migrant Trafficking Network, Arrests Dozens Of NGO Operatives. [online] Available at: <<http://greeknewsondemand.com/2020/10/01/greece-smashes-migrant-trafficking-network-arrests-dozens-of-ngo-operatives/>> [Accessed 8 November 2020].

MISSION STATEMENT

BY NORMAN LOWELL, LEADER OF IMPERIUM EUROPA



We of Imperium Europa are a Cultural/Political Movement whose aim is to preserve Malta, a small Island with a history of thousands of years. We intend to preserve its People's Identity and Culture. We strongly oppose a Multicultural and Multi-Racial Malta. We oppose unrestricted Immigration from non-European Peoples. We look at the Multicultural experiment as a failure - We agree with Merkel on this: "Multiculturalism has failed".

We view with apprehension, indeed horror at the unfolding tragedy of rampant crime, the imposition of non-European Cultures like Sharia Law, the attack on Freedom of Opinion and Expression.

We do not believe in the Lie of Equality. We believe Equality is anti-Nature and anti-Life. Nature is hierarchical – Equality does not exist in Nature.

We of Imperium Europa stand for a United Europe, an organic unity of the European Peoples and Regions. We believe that only this IDEA can save our Civilisation.

DEFINITION OF RACE



Caucasian

Kaffrid

Mongoloid

Khoisanid

Australoid

According to Baker (Dean of Anthropology at Oxford University) and Carlton Coon (President of the American Anthropology Society for 40 uninterrupted years), they are defined as: Caucasian, Kaffrid, Mongoloid, Khoisanid and Australoid. In his 1974 *Race*, Professor Baker noted that the Negroid race was less evolved. He arrived to this conclusion by studying 21 different requirements that include building skills, cultivation of food-plants, use of wheels, exchange of property by use of money and cannibalism. Of course, other ethnologists could disagree with him and prove otherwise. Yet a ban on such discussions would lead to a situation in which we have to adopt one theory that is as unquestionable as the Holy Trinity.

It is undeniable that races differ from each other in their physical attributes, appearances and physical achievements. A cursory look at the Olympic results show that different races excel at different disciplines. For instance, East Africans dominate long distance running while West Africans excel in sprinting. Asiatics, with their fast reflexes, dominate the table tennis tournaments while Europeans are renown for swimming. In emphasising these racial differences, we are not saying that one is better than the other but acknowledging and celebrating these differences.

These differences are not only limited to physical attributes but also extend to intellectual capacity, intelligence quotient and temperament. This is evidenced by the achievements over the millennia

in various fields: technological and scientific breakthroughs, cultural and social achievements, architectural and engineering advances, space explorations, arts, music and so on.

Are we going to legislate against all the foregoing, or shall we continue living in denial? Are we also denying Herrnstein's and Murray's works such as the Bell Curve or Watson's qualified conclusion simply because it does not fit the current political agendas? Are we holding Nazi-style book-burning sessions in order to erase historical studies due to their inconvenient and politically-incorrect outcomes?

We do not believe in the generalisation of a person according to race, nor do we believe that a person should be discriminated against because of colour. Yet we do believe that there should be no restraints and oppressive laws especially when it comes to education and the political sphere. So, Race cannot be denied nor can any legislation forbid its discussion.

THE MULTICULTURAL & MULTIRACIALIST OBSESSION

According to the 2018 Eurobarometer, 63% of Malta's population is primarily concerned with immigration. Is this a racist concern? Is the Maltese population racist for espousing an anti-immigration conviction, for failing to acknowledge idea of forced integration?

This obsession and insistence that Malta should become a multicultural and multiracial island


was amply revealed by a number of leading politicians: Lawrence Gonzi: “multiculturalism is a strength”, Jason Azzopardi: “promising pushback was a mistake”, Helena Dalli: “Malta has a racism problem”, Joseph Muscat “promising pushback was a mistake”, Bernard Greco “Malta is not a bus-stop. It is not full-up”. Daily National, European and International news say otherwise but these spineless politically-correct politicians wouldn't dare admit that multiculturalism is a recipe for disaster when numbers exceed the threshold.

The consequences of such short-sightedness are already evident: no-go areas, ghettoisation, degradation of neighbourhoods and the resulting devaluation of property as well as the increase in criminality. These consequences erode the social fabric. We of Imperium Europa have steadfastly opposed multiculturalism and multiracialism for the past two decades. We have suffered

consequences that include arrest, defamation, ban and discrimination.

Such multiculturalism brings fractionalisation of society as happened in Lyon just a few days ago, Muslim crowds were hunting terrified Christian Armenians in the streets. Paris 2015, 120 people left dead in multiple terrorist attacks. Barcelona 2017, 13 dead and 130 injured. In Cologne, cultural diversity gave us the spectacle of numerous rapes during NY2015/6, forcing Angela Merkel to state “multiculturalism has failed”. And who can forget that mass murder by a Muslim fanatic who wilfully and purposely drove a heavy truck onto crowds killing 86 people and injuring 458 in Nice in 2016? Thus, we of Imperium Europa do not want Malta to follow this political failure. All these consequences are brought about by uncontrolled illegal and legal immigration. This is the root cause of all these problems.

Suspects held



Salah Abdeslam
(Arrested)



Mohamed Abrini
(Arrested)

Both men suspected of links to Paris and Brussels attacks

Stade de France



Bilal Hadfi
(Dead)



'Ahmad al-Mohammed'
(Dead)




'M al Mahmod'
(Dead)

Bars and restaurants



Chakib Akrouh
(Dead)



Abdelhamid Abaaoud
(Dead)
Suspected ringleader



Brahim Abdeslam
(Dead)

Bataclan




Omar Ismail Mostefai
(Dead)



Samy Amimour
(Dead)



Foued Mohamed-Aggad
(Dead)



Four men –Mohamed Houli Chemlal (from left), Driss Oukabir, Salah El Karib and Mohamed Aallaa – suspected of being part of a terror cell accused of killing 15 people in attacks in Spain leaves a Civil Guard base on the outskirts of Madrid prior to their court appearance on Tuesday.

We would rather follow the path of sane statesmen leading immigration-free countries of Eastern Europe.

Multiracialism is the natural outcome of multiculturalism. It means the subrogation of the host nation by incoming different races and ethnicities. When uncontrolled immigration leads to flow of an overwhelming number of immigrants, we lose our unique traditions and cultural heritage: Our Identity. And what is Our Identity? It is that which has forged us and preserved us over thousands of years, with some hiccups. Our Identity is European and Christian. The former we

sealed when we joined the European Union and the latter we have enshrined in our Constitution despite the fact that we keep ignoring this provision. These two pillars have served us very well. Why shall we risk all our forefathers fought for? Shall we in other words preserve our Valletta bastions and then forget who built them?

We of Imperium Europa agree to some multiracialism so long it is between assimilable peoples. We have no qualms against mixing with Swedes or French, Spaniards and Italians but we certainly object to the unlimited and forced

influx of unassimilable people. How can our idea be considered racist when it does not attempt at reducing the importance of another race or rendering it inferior, when it seeks to preserve this Nation's endangered fibre? The Dalai Lama himself claimed that "Europe is for Europeans and Africa is for Africans and Muslims". How can there be diversity without difference? How can we preserve diversity without control?

HATE

What is Hate? The etymological very Maltese word for hate "nobghod" is derived from the word boghod which means separation.

It is a subjective matter with no definition of its clear meaning. Shall we start hauling boxers to court for hating their opponent? Shall we ban heavy metal and rap music due to their hateful lyrics that coincidentally include numerous references to the terms 'white bitch'? Shall we ban Dante's Divina Commedia, the Gospels, the Old Testament, the Koran or the Talmud for the numerous references to hate? The right to express yourself in literature, art and opera for instance are to our civilisation.

Mass murder and mass rape by the immigrants against the host citizens constitute clear cases of racial hate yet we punish the action itself and not the thought. According to Anne Weber we cannot investigate conviction (*forum internum*) for it is an absolute right. We can however punish the action according to criminal law. We do not need new legislation to inundate our law courts further. And who will be this 'Czar' that decides what constitutes hatred?

Legislation like the one envisaged is another, more sublimated case, of hate. Hate towards those of a different opinion. Even worse, hate against all those of a particular political opinion.


Hate is an emotion and when emotion is suppressed and punished, other and worse forms of unchecked problems fester. We of Imperium Europa, as libertarians, are foursquare with the US First Amendment. Hate speech shall be considered as being part of the right to freedom of speech, a fundamental human right, so far as it does not incite violence or discrimination against particular persons.

The law which is being promoted does not distinguish between criticism and hate, between realism and racism.

ECONOMIC ASPECT

Behind the drive to limit our fundamental freedoms, to increase the flow of immigration, to force integration and to punish those who oppose this scheme is in reality a subterfuge for this ever-inflating economic Ponzi scheme, an economy based on financial speculation. Just take a look around Malta, its ruined countryside and urban landscape and the resulting increase in cases of depression. Is this the cosmopolitan paradise being envisioned?

The promotion of anti-racism laws is a smoke screen that seeks to safeguard slave labour by affording businessmen a fresh flow of people willing to work for lower wages.



IN CONCLUSION

We certainly do not agree with this document. We do not agree to a document proposal based primarily on spurious “reports” and allegations.

It is ironical that the second question encourages the participation of a minority of presumable non-Maltese. We of Imperium Europa are Maltese and since we were mentioned in the legal brief numerous times we can very well express ourselves on the numerous acts of institutionalised discrimination against us. It started with President EFA “they should be arrested”, carried on by Gonzi who hauled us to Court where we battled for freedom of expression for a decade. Lastly we had a PM who openly attacked us on television by implicating our policies to the Lassana Cisse murder committed by two Labourites, knowing very well that we had no time for proper redress.

This discrimination against us can be remedied and addressed by repealing the current hate laws and

re-establishing true democratic principles, guarding individual rights through the consultation of the electorate that shall decide. Over 10k people have certainly decided for our ideas but this turnout was abusively ignored. Over 47k people have petitioned against immigration, which has caused anger and opposition that through its stifling has been misconstrued as racism. Helena Dalli was wrong. Malta does not have a problem of racism.

Prior to mass immigration Malta has never had an issue with racism. Eliminate the root cause.

As Pope Benedict XVI put it, “not emigrating is a human right”, “every state has the right to regulate migration and enact policies dictated by the general requirements of the general good”. He was not a racist. Neither is Malta!



